

# JAMES FINTAN LALOR PATRIOT AND POLITICAL ESSAYIST

# COLLECTED WRITINGS

With a Biographical Note By L. FOGARTY, M.A. (bean t. uí tuama)





THE TALBOT PRESS LIMITED EIGHTY-NINE TALBOT ST., DUBLIN Printed - - · 1918 Reprinted - - · 1919 Revised edition - 1947

> Μαπ Ծίι-cuimne deaz-Zaedil dílis ατά anois i dplaiteas de

> > V

Printed in Ireland at the Talbot Press, Dublin

# CONTENTS

# Biographical Note ...

PAGE

11-11

... ix.

# " NATION " LETTERS AND ARTICLES

...

...

...

Letter to Gavan Duffy	 •••	 1
A New Nation	 	 7
Tenants' Right and Landlord Law	 	 26
A National Council	 	 38
Letter to John Mitchel	 	 42
Tenant Right Meeting in Tipperary	 	 47

# "FELON" LETTERS AND ARTICLES

Felon	,,				52
and Re	peal Clubs				67
Felon	Club				84
					89
1					92
					105
					110
	Felon  n	n	and Repeal Clubs            Felon Club	and Repeal Clubs           Felon Club	and Repeal Clubs           Felon Club

# PERSONAL LETTERS

Letter t	0	Richard	Lalor,	Esq.	 	•••		115
		Richard					c	11E
Letter t	0	Richard	Lalor,	Esq.	 •••	**.		117
Letter t	0	Richard	Lalor,	Esq.	 		•••	118

vii

CONTENTS

APPENDICES	I	PAGE
Letter from John Mitchel to James Lalor		120
The "Irishman's" Comment on Mitchel's Letter		123
John Mitchel to Smith O'Brien		125
John Mitchel to Smith O'Brien		125
John Mitchel to Smith O'Brien		126
John O'Connell's Letter		127
Definition of Political Economy		128
Letter from William Bloode (?)		131
"The Felon" Prosecution-I. Mr. T. Devin Reilly to	the	
Attorney-General		131
"The Felon" Prosecution-II. Mr. T. Devin Reilly to	the	
Under-Secretary		132
Letter from Mr. James Fintan Lalor to the Under-Secre	tary	100
for Ireland	•••	133
Letter from Rev. Nicholas Power to Patrick Lalor, Esc	1	134
Surrender of John Martin		135
Peter Lalor		138
Richard Lalor		139
National Bankruptcy	••••	140
Thomas Clarke Luby's Reference to Death of Lalor		145
Maurice Lenihan's Reference to Death of Lalor		145
Advertisement from the last number of the "Felon"		146

# BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

When Oweny Mac Rory O Moore, Chieftain of Leix, held his stronghold in "the castled crag" of Dunamase, his name was one that struck terror into the heart of the invading Gall. Under his leadership the Seven Septs of Leix waged incessant war against the planter and settler to whom their fertile acres offered a perennial invitation. Again and again the invader was repulsed: O Moore and his clansmen at the Pass of Plumes and at Moinín na Fola—near Ballyroan, gave Queen Elizabeth's emissaries every reason to regret having undertaken their subjection. After O Moore's death in 1600 the Seven Septs did not fare so well, and eventually Mountjoy planned and carried out their transplantation from Leix to " remote districts in Kerry".

The members of the O Lalour clans uprooted from their ancestral homes, at this time numbered in all eighty-seven. Of these the chiefs were:—Hugh and Domhnal Mac Seaghan O Lalour, Donough and Hugh Mac Diarmuid O Lalour, Domhnal Mac Theig O Lalour, Donogh Mac Domhnal O Lalour.

Subsequently, when occasion offered, the men of Leix fought their way inch by inch back to their old territories and finally regained much of their lost lands.

Thus it was that James Fintan Lalor, "the real Revolutionary of '48", was born in the townland of Tenakill, Abbeyleix, where generations of his forbears had flourished. The father of this great Irishman, Patrick Lalor, was not an obscure individual: indeed

ix

viii

# BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

X

it was he who made the Lalor name famous for the first time in modern history. In 1831 he launched a campaign against the Tithe system refusing to pay the sums claimed by the local clergyman. Following his example and precept, the entire countryside resisted this unjust tax; and at Carrigshock an engagement between police, process-servers, and military, on the one hand, and a determined gathering of men armed with spades and pitch-forks on the other, brought matters to a climax. Patrick Lalor, then member of Parliament for Laoighis, was examined before a Parliamentary Committee, and in a well-expressed statement, justified his principles and policy on the whole question of Tithes. Some four years later the Tithe Commutation Act was passed by the British Parliament, and afforded some relief to the struggling peasantry.

Patrick Lalor was a man of rigid honesty and iron will. His loyalty to O'Connell through all the vicissitudes of the great Liberator's career was unfailing; and until the Repeal movement degenerated under the John O'Connell regime, Patrick Lalor continued to be a well-known figure in the House of Commons and in Conciliation Hall.

"P. Lalor. An honest man. Retired in disgust." Patrick Lalor married Anne Dillon, and on 10th March, 1807,\* their eldest son, James Fintan was born. His first name is a family one; Fintan comes from the patron saint of the parishes Raheen, Mountrath and Ballyfin—in the first-named of which the Lalor children received baptism. There are few families in Laoighis without a 'Fintan'. The great Abbot St. Fintan (525-590) is still revered in that county; and the ruins of his monastery, situate about a mile and a half from Mountrath, serve to recall the golden age of piety, and its sad eclipse.

The early schooling of Fintan Lalor must have been of a desultory nature. He did not attend the hedgeschools, then fairly numerous, but received some tuition from "poor-scholars" residing from time to time in his father's house-Tenakill. For the most part he was self-taught, and states more than once that he was unlettered and unread: "I have all my life suffered from a dearth of books." "I have all my life been destitute of books." Notwithstanding all this, he entered Carlow Lay College\* with a fair knowledge of general literature, and an acquaintance-at least-with the classics. Dr. Kinsella, then President of the College, placed "the new boy" under the care of Maurice Lenihan who, though Lalor's junior by three years, had already spent two years in the College. The two boys shared a room, and from Maurice Lenihan's Reminiscencest we glean some knowledge of Fintan Lalor's formative years. When he entered College (1825) he was "undersized, weakly and rather deformed " having had spinal trouble since childhood.

\* Now Coláiste Muine, Cnoc Deas,

X1

<sup>\*1809</sup> is sometimes given as the year of Fintan Lalor's birth, and Arthur Griffith, in Notes on *Meagher of the Sword*, gives 1810. The date is difficult to verify as there was no State Registration of Births at that time, and the parochial Registry of Raheen, Lalor's birthplace, begins 1820.

The Reminiscences of a Journalist, by Maurice Lenihan. Limerick Reporter and Tipperary Vindicator, 1866-1869.

Maurice Lenihan: Historian of Limerick, by Rev. Francis Finnegan, S.J., "Studies," September, 1946.

xiii

 $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$   $\mathbf{n}$ 

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

He was short-sighted and, says Lenihan, "ill-adapted to the wear and tear of school-life." His physique precluded him from sharing the games and hardy exercises of the boys: through ill-health he was often confined to his room and unable to attend class. But even so, he was not idle. The books he admired, he read and re-read, making careful notes. The writings of Bolingbroke he studied very carefully at this time, and told Lenihan that he greatly admired their style. According to his companion "life passed for Lalor in a very monotonous fashion ". Yet his college days were not unhappy, and his inward life-now and always so intense and unshared-progressed peacefully and fruitfully. The College Debating Society gave the students constant practice in public speaking and Lalor, in spite of his natural diffidence, gave early indications of his argumentative powers. At this time the College magazine, The Oracle, flourished under the editorship of Dr. Kinsella. "At one o'clock each Sunday," says Lenihan, "all the students, ecclesiastical and lay, assembled to hear read the best essays which The Oracle gave forth, with the running commentary of the gifted Editor." Unfortunately copies of The Oracle, of this period, have not been preserved, and many youthful effusions by Lalor, Lenihan and their contemporaries, are lost to us. Though each boy wrote under a pseudonym, it should not be difficult to identify the 'prentice hand that later penned The Faith of a Felon.

English writers—Swift, Addison, Bacon, and Milton were studied and admired by Lalor, and undoubtedly the first-named had some influence in forming his style. In a conversation with Father Kenyon he, later, admitted to an intense admiration for Wordsworth; and in the realm of fiction, he confessed to a liking for Scott and Dumas. French contemporary writers Rousseau, Lamartine, Louis Blanc interested him, but to Thomas Davis he owed his most direct inspiration. Like many of his generation he was early caught up in the wave of enthusiasm set in motion by Davis, Duffy and Dillon in the new movement for the revival of the national spirit. With absorbing interest Lalor pored over each successive number of the *Nation*, finding in its pages the ideals of manhood and independence he had long sought for Ireland. "I owe you some gratitude", he said, in his first letter to Gavan Duffy, "you have given me a country."

On leaving Carlow College, Lalor was apprenticed by his father to Dr. Jacob of Portlaoighise. He had shown an aptitude for chemistry as a school-subject, and it was thought that he would continue its study under Dr. Jacob and eventually become a chemist or a doctor. He resided at Dr. Jacob's house and made it his custom to ride over to Tenakill for week-ends. At home he spent much time in a low, attic-like room under the eaves of the old house. Stuffed close with books and papers, scantily furnished, this "den", under the roof, was Lalor's place of escape. The household, comprising ten younger members, must have been noisy and often disturbing. Here in the atticroom he felt secure from interruption-his mother usually shielding him from annoyance by his small brothers. At this time his health must have been normal as, we are told, he was accustomed to rise at dawn and take a swim in the river, even in winter. It would seem that his bronchial trouble-later so detrimental to his life's work-had not then developed.

xii

1

# BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

He had no special companion amongst his brothers. Later Richard, the youngest, was to share in Fintan's activities in the national cause.

His apprenticeship to Dr. Jacob ended very precipitately. After having spent some eighteen months pursuing the study of chemistry he suddenly abandoned it, and set out for France. The horse, given him for the purpose of his weekly visit to Tenakill, was sold to defray the expenses of the journey to France. It is needless to record Patrick Lalor's extreme displeasure at this arbitrary behaviour of his eldest son. It proved to be but the first of many instances of Fintan's casting off the yoke of paternal authority.

Lalor, was at this time covetous of experience, action, adventure. His revolutionary sympathies led him to the French capital where he must have lived over again, in fancy, the crowded hours of 1789. Though his tastes were never extravagant, his travels cost money, and he was forced to seek remittances from home, where his mother was always ready to help him. She kept him supplied with the necessary funds, and made him feel sure that, through her mediation, his father would welcome him home to Tenakill. No detailed account of Lalor's tour in France, or of the acquaintances he made there, is available. No documents relating to this period of his life have been preserved.\*

Patrick Lalor was returned as member of Parliament

for Laoighis in 1832. It is quite likely that during this election campaign Fintan-now home againbegan to take an active interest in national politics. It is clear that his interpretation of life in Ireland, helped by all that he had heard and observed in France, now took definite shape. The dire state of the small farmers throughout Ireland-serfs and slaves in their own country-kindled his zeal for reform. The whole question of Tenant Right became for him a paramount national question, and he bent his thoughts and efforts in the direction of organising and instructing the farmers in his neighbourhood. He was himself a tiller of the soil and a son of tillers of the soil, and he could not live unmoved by the desperate conditions under which the peasantry then eked out a miserable existence. The system of Land Tenure then in vogue, was part of the foreigners' system-the law of the Gall as opposed to the law of the Gael. It was an alien code inferior to the democratic, co-operative clan system of ancient Ireland. In a word-it was part of the "civilisation" of the Conquest. And it became Fintan Lalor's mission to Repeal the Conquest and give the Gael back his ancient heritage.

About this time Fintan Lalor's mother died, and he became restless and eager for wider spheres and more congenial work. He went to Dublin and tried to earn an independent livelihood by private tuitions. But he was barely able to support himself. Ireland's capital, at this time was poverty-stricken and drab as Thackeray, Carlyle, and other travellers of the period record. Catholic Emancipation had been gained, but the great masses of the people felt little, if any, amelioration of their lot. The professions and

xiv

A

<sup>\*</sup>The present Editor was fortunate in securing all the traditional records possessed by the Lalor family, and in having access to all letters extant, through the kindness of Mrs. Lalor-Fitzpatrick, Tenakill, Fintan Lalor's niece, who died in 1939. But information prior to 1847 is vague, and letters of Lalor and his contemporaries are, for the most part, undated and unsatisfactory for the chronicler.

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

the Civil Service were thrown open to Catholics and they were free to stand for Municipal and Parliamentary representation. But in the capital glaring extremes of viceregal pomp and utter poverty were common, while the brooding shadows of want and disease lurked in the background. Some years were to elapse before the full effects of Emancipation, of Father Mathew's crusade, and of Thomas Davis's lifework became manifest.

In the autumn of 1845 Lalor applied for the position of Teacher and Librarian in the Mechanics' Institute, Belfast. His letters of recommendation from Dr. Robert Cane, Mayor of Kilkenny, Dr. Thomas Brady, Professor of Medical Jurisprudence, College of Physicians, Dublin, Dr. James N. Walshe, Ballinakill, and from Archibold Fitzpatrick, M.R.C.S.I., certify his character and qualifications. They state that "he is a moral man, a man of integrity and honour without reproach" and fully "suited to fill the office of teacher and librarian". Dr. Fitzpatrick credits him with "a knowledge of classics, general literature, some of the sciences particularly elementary and agricultural chemistry". Those testimonials were cherished by Lalor, preserved by him amongst his papers, buried for a time in a Tipperary garden, and having crossed and re-crossed the Atlantic, they are now in the National Library of Ireland.

Accounts vary as to Lalor's stay in Belfast. That he was successful in obtaining the post in the Mechanics' Institute is fairly evident. Letters from his brothers and friends at this time were addressed to him at 63 Great Patrick St., Belfast, and also to Mary St., Belfast.\* Early in 1847 he wrote his first

\*See Appendices, p. 131.

letter to Charles Gavan Duffy, Editor of the Nation. This letter was written from his home in Tenakill.

It is interesting to note that an earlier draft of Lalor's famous letter to "The Landowners of Ireland " (April 19th, 1847) was written in 1844, and is still extant.\* It covers some fourteen quarto pages and is incomplete. There are corrections in Fintan Lalor's writing, but the text is written in a careful, boyish hand. It forms the basis of the letter entitled A New Nation (page 7) but the style of the composition is not as virile and persuasive as that of the published Letter. He may have written it with the intention of submitting it to the *Nation* or to some local paper; there is however, no known published writing of Lalor's prior to January, 1847. In the 1844 MS. he seeks the founding of an Agricultural Society to forward the interests of farmers, and looks askance at the development and extension of manufacture "more especially manufacture depending on a foreign market for existence or prosperity. To any man of moral feeling" he writes, "to any man who is in faith and feeling a Christian, to any man who has preserved uncorrupted the smallest portion of the original sensations, or the sympathies and antipathies of human nature, a manufacturing system such as it exists at this day in England, when it is analysed and examined in detail, is far from being a gratifying subject of contemplation, whether as regards moral or material results.

"It creates and accumulates and crowds together into one filthy and fermenting mass, the most miserable and vicious and insecure and dangerous population that ever yet existed upon earth, or that ever will, I

\*Lalor Papers, National Library of Ireland.

xvi

do hope, for the sake of human happiness, exist again in any time or country. They pass their lives, from the cradle to the coffin, shut up from the sun and the sky and the air, working in the furnace and the factory, dwelling in the filthiest lanes of a filthy town amid everything that is most offensive and disgusting, and revolting, and abominable, to the human senses. The very air they breathe is a stench and a poison. Natural health in the full sense of the word, they never have. Their life is one long disease by early labour and early profligacy."

Such a state of degradation he fears would follow a similar development of manufacture in Ireland. "And should it ever ", he says " come to be a question amongst us whether we shall cease to export cotton cloth to other countries, or to grow corn for our ownwhether those who work in the factory shall cease to be multiplied, or those who work in the fields shall cease to exist-or should the question arise in its extreme shape—should it be whether the manufacture of cotton, or the manufacture of corn shall cease altogetherwhether the loom or the plough shall stand still in this land-whether grass shall cover the fields of Ireland or the streets of Manchester, I do hope and trust that the question will be considered and decided on other principles than those of that vile and vicious political philosophy which looks alone to public wealth-to money and the things which are bought with money, without reference or regard to other things of higher account in the true economy of states, than even wealth; things more essential to national happiness, greatness and stability, than even wealth, things which money cannot buy, and for the loss of which no amount of public wealth can compensate."

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

Thus he defends the agricultural state and ends his treatise in ironic vein: "Nor do I mean to say that the manufacturing system ought not to be encouraged, that the greatest possible extension of that system ought not to be encouraged-that such extension is not worth any effort or any sacrifice-except the sacrifice of an agricultural population. I do not dispute or deny the moderation and modesty and reasonableness of the demands made on us by the manufacturing interest, which demands are merely these-that England shall manufacture everything (except corn) for the whole world, and shall continue to do so for ever; that no other country shall ever manufacture anything even for itself, that their present markets shall be retained and new markets created, at any expense of blood and treasure, of national justice, or of public morality, colonies founded, defended and kept-with their consent or against their will-armies kept up, navies kept up, and the sword used without scruple or stint, whenever it may be necessary to gain a market or to defend a market. Such are the constant demands of the manufacturing system and I do not wish to say that they are otherwise than most moderate and modest and reasonable."

The value of this manuscript is considerable; it indicates the growth of Lalor's economic theories in the years preceding his entry into public life. It illustrates too—when compared with his published letters in the *Nation* and *Irish Felon*, the development of his prose style. The loose, somewhat too "eloquent" diction of this early product crystallised, later, into the crisp prose of his mature work. At his best he deserves to rank with the masters of English prose. The structure

xviii

XXI

### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

of his sentence, though unstudied, is perfectly adapted to his theme—glowing, enkindling phrases alternate with slow deliberate and logical statements of fact. When engrossed with his argument he emphasises and repeats with a refrain effect—all with subtle grace and verve of movement.

Two events hastened the tempo of Fintan Lalor's life at this time. One was the advancement of the national campaign of Davis and his colleagues: the second was the overwhelming calamity of the Famine. Undoubtedly the latter event was not unprecedented and unforeseen. From 1845 on there had been failure of the potato crop in certain districts throughout Ireland, and in several European countries. Nothing having been done in Ireland by an alien government no scientific effort having been made to arrest the spread of the potato blight, it grew with cumulative virulence in the ensuing years.

Michael Davitt points out\* that O'Connell foresaw, and endeavoured to avert the Famine disaster as early as 1845. He sent a deputation to the then Lord Lieutenant of Ireland (Heytesbury) to point out the necessity for taking measures similar to those taken by the Executive of the Irish government at the time of the 1740-41 Famine. O'Connell's demands were: all exports of grain to be stopped, and ports of Ireland thrown open to the importation of foreign food; distilling and brewing to be suspended, and a loan of a million-and-a-half of money to be made to Ireland on the security of the annual proceeds of the woods and forests. "These timely and practical proposals" says Davitt "were, of course, rejected by the English government."

\*The Fall of Feudalism in Ireland, page 53.

Looking back, after a century's lapse, with an impartial mind, one is forced to accept the fact that the whole policy of English government in Ireland was then hostile to the Irish people. Acts of Parliament, Reports of Commissions, the articles on Ireland in the *Times* and other newspapers, all betray a callous complacency. "Remedial measures" took the form of Coercion Acts and wholesale clearances. The Famine was looked upon as a timely intervention of Providence to speed up the extermination of the dangerously numerous Irish peasantry. Provided the interests of the Landlord garrison were safeguarded, the cruel horrors of Famine and Fever might sweep across the land unheeded.

When Lalor wrote his first letter to the Editor of the Nation, he was consumed by a desire to end the desolation he saw all about him. He chafed at his own powerlessness, but threw himself heart and soul into the work of winning over the Nation and the Confederation to his plan for an agrarian revolution. He felt his inadequacy for the task, but that did not deter him. "Inability to do a great deal is but a poor reason or apology for doing nothing" he had previously said, and all his life he acted with the idea in his mind that no matter how tremendous the obstacles in his path, he must never waver, never weaken.

The proposal put forward by Lalor came as a clarioncall to the members of the Confederation. His letter to Charles Gavan Duffy, January 11th, 1847, was not immediately published, but was passed around amongst the active members of the Confederation. A very spate of correspondence followed, and Lalor had to write individually to Doheny, McGee and others to expand, or explain his proposals. The following letter

XX

i

to Thomas D'Arcy McGee\* has not been published *in extenso* before; and it is undoubtedly of value in its explanation of Lalor's attitude to Repeal:—

# " Tenakill,

# Sat., March 30th.

# DEAR SIR,

XXII

Your letter of the 8th inst. I received on Wednesday last. I was glad to receive it, for in truth I had altogether given up the idea of hearing from Mr. Duffy; and was about investing my limited means in money, talent and capacity for work, in another undertaking, when your letter arrived.

It gives me the greatest satisfaction to learn that so many of the Council agree with me in recognising the indisputable right of the people of Ireland in the soil of Ireland, to have and to hold in fee from God and from Him alone;—and the present and pressing necessity of enforcing that right. If Duffy, Dillon, Mitchel, Devin Reilly, Doheny, and you, be fully agreed on the matter, it is settled; because, though the six names are a minority of the Council, yet they form a majority of the writers and speakers, so far as I am informed. Indeed among the remaining members, I can recollect the name of no other *writer*, nor of any speaker except Barry, O'Gorman, and Meagher.

I regret to perceive that you seem to have in some degree misconceived my meaning and intention. I *don't* want to make of the tenure question the main topic of the Confederation. I don't want to make Repeal subservient to land interests. I don't wish—far from it—to consider the two questions as antagonistic. I wish to combine and cement the two into one, and so to

\*This letter is referred to in the Letter to John Mitchel, page 43.

perfect, reinforce, strengthen and carry both. On the relative merits and importance of the questions —the right to the land, and the right to legislation, considering them for a moment as different, you and I may differ but need not quarrel. It is not at present a matter of any consequence;—nor can it become so except by some attempt or agreement on the part of the Confederation to carry Repeal by making a league with the landowners without stipulating for full and effective security of tenure. But I am sure no such infamous arrangement will ever be offered, accepted, or entered into by the Confederation.

My principle is this: that the entire ownership of Ireland moral and material up to the sun and down to the centre, is vested by right in the people of Ireland—that they and none but they are landowners and lawmakers of this island; that all laws not made by them are null and void, and all titles to land are invalid not conferred or confirmed by them; and that the full right of owners may and must be asserted and enforced by any and all means which God has put in the power of man.

Do you assent to my principle in its full integrity of cwnership of the land as well as legislation? Your reason may assent, yet your feelings revolt. Now listen. There was in Ireland in 1647 a certain Sir Charles Coote. He bought lands in Ireland and paid for them too with lead and steel. There is now in this country in 1847 another Sir Charles Coote, his lineal descendant, who holds his land and stands in his shoes—whether or not he treads in his footsteps. His rents are beyond £40,000 a year. The tenantry of his many estates throughout this county paid up their rents last November, were forced to pay them, and are now famishing to death. To the Relief Fund of the parish he resides in, he gave thirty pounds,—1/6 per cent.

xxiii

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

on his annual income. To no other parish did he give one penny though his estates are everywhere.

You may however think it hard that many landlords different from Coote in character and conduct should lose their lands. But recollect, that I would make Ireland, *in fact*, as she is *in right*, mistress and queen of all those lands—that she is a lady of soft heart and grateful disposition and may reward allegiance, may confer new titles or confirm the old.

On the relative merits of the two questions I never wished or meant to "cut down" Repeal (in the best sense of the term not in its vulgar acceptation), nor to put its importance in competition, or contrast with that of the land question. All I meant then, or now, to say is this—that the land question contains, and the legislative question does *not*, materials from which victory is manufactured; and that therefore, if you be desperately in earnest and determined on success, it is on the former question, not on the latter, that the battle must of necessity be fought.

Private reasons bar the way of my going to Dublin for the present: but I am as anxious as you can be to have a few hours' conversation with you and the other Confederates, and will go to Dublin as soon as I can."

The leaders of the Confederation were impressed by the "startling programme" put forward by this unknown patriot, and many of them—Mitchel and Reilly—were completely won over to his doctrine. But still they hesitated: it was still possible, they argued, to win over the best of the Landlord class. William Smith O'Brien had come over to Repeal, and later to the *Nation* party and to the Irish Confederation, and many others whose true nobility of character, and Irish blood, had forced them to choose the Nation's side in the crisis. And so, one last effort must be made to win the support of the landlords, one last appeal made to "the lords and gentlemen" of the land to stand with Ireland against England and help to form a new social system—a new Nation. Had they but known it, the Landlord community of this island were, in that hour, given the option of pronouncing sentence of life or death on their own class. Forty years later, the sentence then tacitly pronounced by them, was put into execution.

Early in April 1847—at the suggestion of Gavan Duffy, Lalor addressed the Landowners of Ireland in a letter to the Nation.\* It took the form of A Proposal for an Agricultural Association between the Landowners and Occupiers. It was a well-reasoned plea for unity in the hour of Ireland's extremity—its vigour and fire carrying a live current from the heart of the writer to his pen. By this one treatise alone Lalor earns a distinguished place amongst thinkers and teachers on social questions.

Following this came the Nation letters entitled Tenants' Right and Landlord Law, and A National Council.<sup>†</sup>

About this time Lalor resided at 98 Great Britain (now Parnell) Street, Dublin. He was constantly occupied with interviewing individual members of the Confederation, and in expounding his policy in the clubs. He directed his efforts towards preparations for a possible No-Rent movement in the harvest. That his friends amongst the Confederates—Gavan Duffy, Mitchel, McGee, and Reilly withheld their wholehearted co-operation was a source of bitter chagrin to him. They all, individually agreed with his plans, but

\*P. 7. †Pp. 26 et passim.

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

xxvi

for reasons—not convincing to his mind—they temporised. "My opposition to Lalor's policy" wrote Gavan Duffy,\* "was based not on moral, but on strictly political grounds. I believed it had not the slightest chance of success." Smith O'Brien was of the opinion that Lalor's doctrines if adopted, or even countenanced by the *Nation* "would dissipate all hopes of winning any section of the gentry".† Father John Kenyon, later a very important member of the Confederate Council, accepted Lalor's plans, and held firmly to the belief that the wrongs of the tenant-farmers could only be redressed by revolutionary measures; but he thought the time had not yet come.

No word of censure of his co-workers in the National Cause was uttered by Lalor at this juncture. In his *Letter to John Mitchel*<sup>‡</sup> he gave vent to his indignation, but in no published writing did he express the bitter disappointment he felt. He had dwelt long on his revolutionary proposals before making them public, and not only did he think that the time had come for action, he thought it too long overdue. His feelings had been harrowed and appalled by the scenes he witnessed every day in the Famine-scourged country. His pride as a man and an Irishman, had been outraged by seeing the dehumanising effects of clearances and coercion on his unfortunate neighbours.

Exasperated by his own impotence in the face of so urgent a demand, he determined to take steps in his own county and in the neighbouring counties, to arouse the farmers to action. He had had letters from various men of influence in Cork, Limerick, Tipperary

> \*My Life in Two Hemispheres, p. 243. †Ibid., p. 239. ‡Page 42.

and Clare and determined on calling a representative meeting at Holycross, Co. Tipperary. Michael Doheny, a native of the latter county, promised to give his support. The first step was to be the formation of a League of Tenants; the next would be the collection of a fund without which the movement could not develop. Lalor wrote to his old school-friend Lenihan then editor of a prosperous Limerick newspaper, to enlist his support. Two letters from Lalor, at this period, were published by Lenihan in his Reminiscences twenty years later. They are as follows:—

"Dear L. I send you a printed copy of the requisition with some additional names in writing. There are many outstanding lists which have not as yet been sent in. But indeed I never troubled myself to get names. Ten names are quite as effective as ten thousand, to authorise and legalise the meeting.

The amount to be paid does not, of course, depend on my conscience. I shall endeavour to make it as liberal as I can.

> Faithfully yours, J. F. L."

" Cashel (en route) Monday.

Dear L.

өөнөөнөөнөөнөнөнөнөнөнипппппппп

You may now at length announce that a county meeting to form a Tenant League will be holden at Holycross on Sunday, the nineteenth of September. The requisition is most extensively signed. In fact I could get 10,000 signatures if I chose. A deputation from Cork League will attend. I had a letter from Trenwith promising to attend.

Power, that I am resolved not to call on or write to a single priest in the diocese of Killaloe. I commit to *him* the task of procuring their sub-

xxvii

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

scription to the requisition, and their support to the movement.

I hope to see you in a couple of days. The meeting at Holycross will, I think, be enormous. The whole South Riding is in the movement.

# Faithfully yours,

# J. F. L."

ורם והם הה הה הם והם והם והם והם והם הה הה הה הה הה הה הה הה

When the 10th dawned a very large gathering assembled at Holycross. But there were several absentees-amongst them the Cork delegation and Maurice Lenihan himself! Lalor, as secretary to the meeting, read the resolutions. "I wish you to understand ", he said, " that if you expect from me anything more than a plain statement of the general purpose of this meeting, and of the sense and effect of this particular resolution, you are much deceived and will be greatly disappointed. I never have been and never shall be a professional public speaker, and I am untrained and untaught. I am nothing more than a plain farmer forced into action by the terrible necessities of the time and the feelings that rise out of them. But we have not come here to make or listen to speeches. We have assembled here to do work; and if the work be done and well done, it matters little about the words we may use. My words will pass away and perish, but your work this day will never pass out of Ireland."

The objects for which he desired to form this League of Tipperary farmers were, he stated: to assert the natural right of the occupying tenants to a sufficient share of the crop they had harvested, and to the seed that would ensure a sufficient crop for the following year. Then he went on to explain the objects and achievements of the Ulster Tenant Right League, asserting again the fundamental right of the people

to the land of Ireland. His words were clear and logical, but unadorned by any rhetoric. Always he disliked eloquence and relied on the naked weapons of truth and justice to enforce his meaning. To himself his plans and projects were crystal clear; to his rural audience they sounded abstract-scarcely intelligible. "Unfortunately," writes Duffy,\* "he altogether wanted the physical gifts which control a multitude, and he was encountered by William Connor, then known as the Farmer's Friend, who scorned his abstract theories, and insisted that all that was wanted was valuation of rents and perpetuity of tenure. The farmers leaned to what they regarded as the more practical proposal, and Lalor received the first of many painful lessons how little his southern peasants realised the vision he had conceived of savage wolf-dogs ready to be unmuzzled."

Meanwhile the Confederate leaders were watching the progress of Lalor's propaganda in Tipperary and in the adjoining counties. Mitchel, in particular was following Lalor's movements with interest as he fully shared his hopes and ideals. Richard Lalor, now a member of the Confederation, wrote to Fintan (about three weeks after the Holycross meeting) and mentions having had a discussion with Mitchel on the subject of that meeting and its objects. John Mitchel was convinced that the Tenant versus Landlord movement should be pressed forward, and suggests to Richard that Fintan should have a Central Tenant League in Dublin and endeavour to attract the farmers of the North.

During the winter of '47 Fintan Lalor confined his activities to the counties bordering on Laoighis, and we are left but scanty records of the concluding months

\*My Life in Two Hemispheres, p. 241.

xxviii

### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

XXX

of that year. Early in January '48 he writes to Tenakill from Rodney Cottage, New Kent Road, London; but his business there was evidently of family interest only. With his brother Jerome he kept up a sporadic correspondence: Jerome would seem to have taken Fintan's spiritual welfare very seriously and wrote sometimes in the tone of a mentor. Richard, on the other hand writes on matters of national import, when he is not simply enclosing a modest sum of money in response to the frequent requests of his absent brother. Few letters of Peter Lalor\*-of Ballarat fame-seem to have been preserved by Fintan, and none at all from his father. There was a decided estrangement between Lalor and his father, and about the beginning of '48 Lalor left home forbidden by his father to return while he took any part in revolutionary movements.

• • •

The following months brought sweeping changes. The overthrow of Louis Philippe in Paris, and the uprisings in other European capitals in the early spring of '48 turned men's thoughts towards revolution. In Ireland sympathy and enthusiasm knew no bounds. In the ranks of the Confederation in Dublin all differences as to policy were forgotten. There were no more pacifists—silent now were the voices that bade the oppressed peasant endure his lot in patience. John Mitchel, who with Devin Reilly and a small band of followers had started the *United Irishman* to urge more advanced measures than those propagated by the *Nation*, now rejoined the Confederates. There was a stir of movement, organisation, determination amongst the club members. Weapons of any and every descrip-

\*See Appendices, p. 138.

tion were being sought: drill, discipline, preparedness were in the air.

The enemy too, watched, armed, and prepared. A new Act of Parliament, the "Treason Felony Act" was passed, and freedom of speech and freedom of the press ceased to be. The 17th March was deemed by Dublin Castle a likely date for the Confederate insurrection and the authorities there determined to be ready. New regiments were sent for, and in Dublin alone 10,000 troops occupied large buildings such as the Custom House, the old Parliament House, Trinity College, etc. There were continual parades, infantry practice, movements of machine guns, and squadrons of cavalry armed to the teeth were to be met with all over the city. The sole use made of this magnificent and awe-inspiring army was to escort one "felon", heavily chained, from Newgate to the North Wallone John Mitchel, Editor of the United Irishman.

1

In the spring of '48 the work of organising the Confederate Clubs was the principal task of Lalor and his colleagues. There were, however, no immediate plans for armed insurrection. "If opportunity offers, we must dash at that opportunity" said Lalor "if driven to the wall, we must wheel for resistance. Wherefore, let us fight in September if we may—but sooner if we must." Some of the leaders wished to launch the Rising in Dublin, but in view of the increased strength of the garrison in that city, they were out-voted. Few who had read Mitchel's challenging leaders in the *United Irishman* were surprised when he was arrested on 13th May. No untoward anxiety was felt by his friends, as it was common knowledge that no jury of Irishmen would convict him. They did not foresee all

xxxi

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

that "packed juries, partisan judges and perjured sheriffs" could accomplish.\*

John Martin hastened from Newry to replace by his *Irish Felon*, the suppressed journal edited by his friend Mitchel. Martin was no revolutionary, but his high sense of patriotism, and his loyalty to Mitchel's cause, forced him to take action. Lalor, Reilly, and Brennan were the chief writers for the *Irish Felon*. The paper was eagerly bought up—each number being sold out within a few hours of its issue. "We can't print within 10,000 of the number of copies we could sell", wrote Lalor to his brother. Five numbers only had been published, when the plant was seized by the police, and the office wrecked.

Bundles of the paper, being sold by street vendors, were seized. Copies in the hands of readers—male and female, were forcibly snatched from them by the police, and torn to ribbons.

Side by side with *The Irish Felon* another organ of the revolution *The Irish Tribune* was printed. Three young men O'Doherty, Williams, and Antisell edited and owned this journal. The keynote of both the *Felon* and *Tribune* was—armed resistance; their avowed and published plan—insurrection as soon as the harvest was gleaned. It was indeed a high-souled, a noble purpose, even though Ireland at the time was "distracted, disarmed, and famished" while England was at peace and at the zenith of her power.

The government did not allow the Confederates to mature their plans, or choose the time for action. By the Suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act all the

\*Mitchel had become too dangerous. He was addressing the Small Farmers of Northern Ireland in the columns of *The United Irishman*, and drawing them into the Separatist ranks—thus jeopardising England's long-distance plans.

leaders and editors, and all Club members were liable to arrest. The choice was, therefore, forced on them : submission and arrest, or resistance. Thus the preparations were cut short by two months and the rising precipitated. The oft-told tale of Smith O'Brien's efforts to launch the revolution in Co. Tipperary makes sad reading. Yet even if the Insurrection failed as a practical military enterprise, it was in effect a triumph for Irish Nationality.

Many who record the events of the period—and especially the Fenian writers—repeat the words used by the English press and refer to Ballingarry as a "fiasco". This very facile expression is anything but just. To a man of O'Brien's character and disposition to assume the leadership of an unarmed "army" with neither "chest nor commissariat" was an act of heroism. To the desperate men who followed him for days on foot with little or no food it was indeed no "fiasco". To the men in jail in Dublin, pent up in the vile and noisome confinement of Newgate, cut off from the fighting units in the country and deprived of all news—July '48 was no "fiasco".

Fintan Lalor who had taken Father Kenyon's place on the Executive Council of Five\* evaded arrest when the staff of *The Irish Felon* were captured. He got away from Dublin determined to make contact with O'Brien, McManus, Meagher, Doheny and the others in Co. Tipperary. In the small black bag he carried were the balloting papers used in the then recent election of the Council of Five. These papers were in Lalor's writing and were in his possession when he was subsequently arrested. The police produced them at O'Brien's trial

\*The Council, as elected, comprised John B. Dillon, T. F. Meagher, Rev. John Kenyon, D'Arcy McGee, and T. D. Reilly.

xxxii

xxxiii

.......

xxxiv

in Clonmel, and used them against him to corroborate the evidence of Dobbyn, the spy. Lalor reached Borrisoleigh safely, and put up at the house of a friend. He made unsuccessful efforts to arouse the people to action, and received no response when he advised them to attack Ottway Castle with sticks, stones, and knives. In a day or two he was arrested at Ballyhane, some miles from Nenagh by "Splinter" Malone a very active Sub-Inspector of police who probably recognised Lalor from the description given in the "Hue and Cry" of 28th July. He was escorted to Nenagh jail by a strong escort of military and police. The Nenagh Guardian of August 2nd reports the arrest, and adds: "Mr. Lalor is not compelled to use the prison diet or to wear the prison dress but in other respects he is treated the same as all untried prisoners. He is a diminutive man-high back, of aquiline features, delicate looking, and about thirty-five years of age. There is not any specific charge against himhe being confined under the Habeas Corpus Suspension, and he will remain in gaol until it is the pleasure of the Lord Lieutenant to order his release or trial."

Amongst the friends who visited Lalor in Nenagh gaol were Father Nicholas Power\* and Maurice Lenihan. The latter had been asked by Patrick Lalor, some time previously, to try and dissuade Fintan from his "political courses". One may easily guess the manner in which Lalor—a prisoner and helpless in the hands of his enemies—received the sage counsel of his old school-fellow !

From Nenagh Lalor was brought to Dublin and imprisoned in Newgate. His health, now far from robust, broke down and most of his days in Newgate were spent in bed. His fellow-prisoners Martin, O'Doherty, Williams, and Gavan Duffy visited him and tried to cheer him. Besides physical weakness, he suffered despondency of spirit reflecting, day after day, on the pitiful state of his fellow-countrymen. He could see what Mitchel saw when he said: "All things stood still in Ireland, except the famine, and the 'addresses of confidence' from landlords, and the typhus fever, and the clearing of estates, and the wail of the Banshee."\*

That Lalor's spirit did survive the long soul-searing months in prison is a sure proof of his heroic nature. His own words-written a few months before-" In cases like this the greatest crime that man can commit is the crime of failure" must have mocked him in his solitude. And from every side came the enemy's ironic comments on Ballingarry<sup>†</sup> and in a thousand rumours came the word "failure". Yet his strength of purpose, his fidelity to the people's cause survived. As soon as his health showed some little improvement, he launched into a fresh journalistic enterprise, and into a fresh scheme to renew the work for Irish freedom. While still in Newgate he discussed his hopes for the future with Charles Gavan Duffy, and shortly after his release sent the following letter to Duffy then awaiting trial.

"Dear Duffy,—I know and feel how heavily your own affairs must be pressing on your mind just now, yet I cannot help asking your advice and opinion as to how I ought to act under present

\*Last Conquest of Ireland (Perhaps), page 173.

<sup>\*</sup>See Appendix for text of Father Power's letter to Patk. Lalor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>For a concise account of this historic episode see *Ballingarry*, by Donagh McDonagh, in *Thomas Davis and Young Ireland*. Edited by M. J. MacManus. 1945

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

circumstances, so far as you can give them, which I know can be but very imperfectly.

I am urged by several parties, of different shades of green, to join them in a new movement. I can no longer delay giving an answer, one way or the other, and acting accordingly, I must step out or stand by.

There is a very general fermentation going on below the surface.\* The movement everywhere is running spontaneously into secret organisation, and I think *natural tendency* ought to be aided not interfered with.

A new journal, conducting itself with *prudence* and *propriety*, would be indispensable to any new movement. Now on this matter, I wish not to adopt any course that could interfere with your intended arrangements in the event of acquittal. Will you let me know your own personal views, wishes, and feelings, on the subject, as I wish to be guided by them?

We ought to have but one journal, that is clear, and clear, too, that you should be at the head of it. *Two journals* would inevitably create *two parties*. Nothing could hinder that. But the immediate establishment of a *weekly* journal, at least, if not of a morning one, is required.

Now, why should I conceal it from you?—my own firm impression is that you need have little fear of being taken from Ireland, but I am greatly afraid they mean, and will continue, to keep you in *Richmond* with O'Brien and the others for the next eight, or ten or twelve months. For such a

\*Men of the Confederate Clubs met as members of Total Abstinence Societies in the winter of '48. At a meeting of one of these Societies, Lalor drew up and proposed the Resolutions, one of which was worded as follows :— "To effect, if possible, by the force and influence of combined example and exertion a moral, physical and social improvement in the condition and circumstances of the working classes of the community, in their mode of living, habits, feeling, and domestic economy."

-Lalor Papers, N.L.I.

case you ought at least be prepared and making provision. Is there anything to hinder you from being proprietor of a paper while there, or from writing its articles? I can see nothing—nothing at least that could not be got over. You could not superintend details, but you could write the more, *there* would be all the difference.

Your funds may probably have failed, but I have reasons for hoping that funds may be furnished."

This letter was received coldly by Gavan Duffy who stated that "he had no confidence in conspiracy "\* and was turning his thoughts towards "a patriot party" in parliament. There were, however, many members of the Dublin clubs who welcomed the idea of a secret organisation and who were prepared to support Lalor's newspaper project. Lodging at Capel Street, he gathered around him a small but earnest group of "old "'' '48 men. It was here Thomas Clarke Luby was first introduced to Lalor. Then a law-student in Trinity College he, with a friend named Watson, joined enthusiastically in Lalor's project for another rising. To propagate their ideas and raise the fallen hopes of the people they determined on founding a new journal; to raise funds for this purpose was the first step. Luby has left a fairly full account; of the efforts made by Fintan Lalor and his followers at this time. It is clear that a lack of funds and constant failure of co-operation-where co-operation had been promised, tried their patience and tested their faith. Lalor whose health was wretched after his confinement

\*My Life in Two Hemispheres, p. 315.

<sup>†</sup>Luby's "Recollections" were published as a series of articles in *The Irish Nation* (New York), 1882-'84. 

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

xxxviii

in jail, must have been often on the verge of despair. Yet his indomitable will kept the frail body from surrender; and once again "the inability to do a great deal" did not prevent him from trying. There were many meetings held—some of a semi-public kind, and about a thousand men in all were sworn in as members of the new "Confederation". At many of those meetings Lalor addressed the gathering\* with all his characteristic vigour and clarity—instilling something of his own enthusiasm into the hearts of his hearers. Yet the cold breath of doubt must often have chilled him.

On one occasion he paused, after a speech of much force, to say in subdued tones :—" But I am too apt to fancy that instead of the acorn, I already see the oak of the forest rooted deep and branching wide."

Joseph Brennan, now also released from prison, was an active organiser with Lalor, Gray, Keatinge, and Luby; with them later were Savage, O'Mahony and O'Leary. The movement was reviving in Kilkenny, Tipperary, Waterford and Cork, and the Dublin clubs were imbued with fresh hopes of a general rising before the following winter. Lalor and Luby concentrated their efforts on the raising of funds for the newspaper —their idea being to make the people themselves the share-holders and the journal the real voice of the people. But they did not neglect the militant side of the movement. Lalor, a keen student of military science, had conceived a plan whereby each company in his projected army would include representatives of the various trades—skilled men, each a self-supporting unit of the whole army. He also, Luby tells us, had "a curious plan of fortified, circular encampments". Here again, he shows himself to be in advance of his time.

In preparation for an appeal to arms in autumn '49, Lalor and Luby left Dublin in July and toured the North Riding of Tipperary. Their mission was effective in rallying the few "good men and true" who still hoped for an opportunity to strike a blow for Ireland. They planned to spend a few days with Father Kenvon in Templederry. Their stay, however, was prolonged owing to Lalor's falling ill with one of his all too frequent attacks of bronchitis. He soon recovered sufficiently to leave his bed, and the delay proved to be a pleasant interlude for the two visitors and for their host. Luby's description of their stay under'the priest's hospitable roof, brings us an intimate glimpse of the three friends. Their long evenings of jovial good fellowship, the triangle of argument so gravely upheld, the glancing shafts of Father John's witticisms, left in the memories of two, at least of the three, an afterglow that shone through the years. Luby, looking back after a quarter of a century feels again the enjoyment caused by the priest's keen and incisive humour-always clever but never unkind, and of Lalor's genial conversation on national, social and literary topics.

From Templederry, Lalor and Luby visited surrounding villages, and called on the men of influence who were sympathetic with the nation's struggle. From Borrisoleigh, by various detours, they moved on to Limerick, Thurles and back again to Dublin.

At this time the State prisoners—Smith O'Brien, Meagher, Martin, McManus, O'Doherty and Patrick

XXXXX

<sup>\*</sup>John O'Leary, present at one of those meetings, says of Lalor: "A great public speaker, I think he might have been had only opportunity offered." *Recollections of Fenians and Fenianism*, p. 36

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

O'Donoghue were in Richmond pending transportation to Van Diemen's Land. The men of the Dublin Clubs, with Edward O'Donoghue (brother to Patrick) resolved to attempt a rescue. At first Lalor discouraged their scheme, but later he and Luby entered fully into it. At the eleventh hour, an urgent message from John Martin prevented the attempt. Once again, as on the eve of Mitchel's transportation, the bold resolve of the younger men was frustrated—in vain their bid for "an honourable chance of throwing away their lives".

September 16th was the date on which a simultaneous rising in Tipperary and the neighbouring counties was to take place. A quantity of arms had been procured, and as the harvest was abundant, no scarcity of food was feared. The movement was, from the outset, a secret one, but few secrets were kept in Ireland at that time. The Castle and the constabulary were well aware of the plans of the insurgents : Lalor, Brennan, Gray, and others were individually shadowed by Dublin detectives, and all letters were opened, and re-sealed in the Post Office. In all points where any considerable gathering of armed men was expected the constabulary forces were doubled. Lalor, Brennan, Savage, Luby and O'Leary were in command of small bands of men in the vicinity of Cashel, and in the Clonmel and Thurles areas. But they were soon forced to abandon their attempts: no general rising could be hoped for in a country now depopulated and bled white by successive years of famine and misery. A few attacks on police barracks-as in Cappoquin-had some success, but they were not followed up by any forward drive. Arrests were made, and the scattered companies

dispersed. Savage and Brennan left Ireland and found work to do for Irish freedom in America.\*

Lalor was arrested near Clonmel, and was later removed to Dublin. His release, for health reasons, was granted towards the end of the year.

Thus ended the '49 movement. No obvious victory had been gained: the starved and homeless peasant was still seeking, in vain, some respite from suffering. Yet to Lalor, personally, this final effort, gave satisfaction: by thus striving he demonstrated his belief that the struggle did not end in '48—that it should never end until freedom had been won. It had been his opportunity to prove his words and to put into practice the faith that he taught. By this move he checkmated the fate that had confined him in Newgate the previous year, while his colleagues took the field. He had made good his words—reiterated his plan " to try a fall with the enemy" and proved that he was not one of those who " cheer men on to the foot of the breach, or the foot of the scaffold, and then desert them."

Three months later, at the early age of forty, he died of a severe bronchial attack. On 3oth December, 1849, his mortal remains were accompanied to Glasnevin cemetery by a procession four-deep extending the full length of O'Connell Street.

Gathered around his death-bed his chosen friends had watched his passing. It would seem that, dying, he fused into their souls his own zeal for justice, and his bitter hate of a system by which a privileged few

xI

<sup>\*</sup>Savage and Brennan, and many more '48 men, who found a haven in America, were in great measure responsible for keeping the Separatist ideal before the minds of the Irish in America. Most of them rose to high and honoured posts in their adopted country, and their descendants provided moral support and "the sinews of war" for the Fenians and for Sinn Féin.

### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

could trample down the entire peasant population of Ireland. Thomas Clarke Luby\* and John O'Leary dedicated their lives to the cause for which Lalor had so steadfastly worked. They played their parts as leaders in the Fenian movement, and lived to see Lalor's ideas given practical effect by Michael Davitt and the Land League.

• • • • • • •

Michael Davitt, on his release from Dartmoor determined on waging war against landlord tyranny. "It was" he said of the *New Departure* "to be actively carried on more on the lines of Fintan Lalor's principles than on those of Duffy . . . What was wanted was to link the land or social question to that of Home Rule, by making the ownership of the soil the basis of the fight for self-government. Tactically it would mean an attack upon the weakest point in the English hold on Ireland in the form of a national crusade against landlordism, while such a movement would possess the additional advantage of being calculated to win a maximum of auxiliary help from those whom the system had driven out of the country."<sup>†</sup>

Davitt pursued his campaign with relentless energy, and brought it to a successful issue. That the Land War did not end British Rule in Ireland as well as loosening the grip of the landlords, was no fault of Michael Davitt's.

When the work of the Land League was being strenuously carried on, a Californian journalist— Henry George—toured Ireland, and attended many of Davitt's meetings. He was the correspondent of John

\*For Luby's reference to the death of Lalor see Appendices p. 145. See also Lenihan's reference to same.
†The Fall of Foudalism in Ireland, p. 121.

Boyle O'Reilly's Irish World. He addressed many meetings on behalf of Davitt's League and lectured in Glasgow, London and America. His book Progress and Poverty published in 1879 achieved world-wide fame and was translated into several European languages. In it he attacks the whole system of private property in land, and shows a thorough knowledge of the wider application of Lalor's theories. He has stated that the only book which influenced him was Herbert Spencer's Social Statics; but as he often paraphrased Lalor's words it is almost conclusive that he was much indebted to him. Unlike Davitt,\* who paid many generous tributes to James Fintan Lalor, naming him "the prophet of Irish revolutionary land reform " and who admitted that the proposals put forward by Lalor "were seeds sown for another generation of Irish land reformers," Henry George, in his published writings, never once mentioned the Irishman's name. George did, however, give the help of his fervid pen to the Land League, and by his strong advocacy of their cause in America, gave an immense impetus to their work. Thus he repaid any debt he may have owed Ireland for having himself drawn inspiration from Fintan Lalor's writings.

John Devoy, a life-long worker in the Fenian Brotherhood, quoted Lalor's views on the land system in Ireland, and held the opinion that reform of that system was a necessary preliminary to legislative independence. Using Lalor's metaphor once again he says: "The Agrarian question should be used as the engine with which to drag Home Rule." "Devoy

\*The Fall of Feudalism in Ireland, p. 55 et passim. See also Preface to Lecky's Leaders of Public Opinion in Ireland, 1903 edition.

xl11

xliii

### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

rightly held ", says his biographer,\* " that the roots of Fenianism lay in Lalor: he started the small secret society from which Luby and O'Leary built up the Fenian organisation after the crash of '48. And he rightly held that Fenianism prepared the way, through Lalor's gospel, for the Land League."

Parnell inclined, from the outset, to Davitt's (and Lalor's) attitude towards the land question. Working along different lines he purposed achieving the same end. Like Gavan Duffy and other Young Irelanders in '48 he thought self-government the first essential—land reform a collateral step. Later Parnell, "the embodied conviction of Ireland's nationhood" agreed with Davitt's revolutionary plans, and threw his whole weight into the fight against landlordism. Yet the "divine discontent" with which Lalor was imbued—the stuff out of which the red-hot steel of revolution is forged—was unknown to the great Separatist statesman: Lalor alone would strip and "bid Ireland strip" on this issue.

• • •

The "Land for the People" gained, an era of material prosperity set in. The rural population of Ireland found themselves enjoying a bourgeois respectability and contentment, and sought only greater material well-being, and greater security. P. H. Pearse described the years that closed the nineteenth century as "the one sickening chapter in a story which has everywhere else some exultation of pride." The golden heritage of Freedom for which so much had been gallantly sacrificed was disregarded. The names of Tone, Davis, Lalor, Mitchel, Davitt were seldom spoken

\*Desmond Ryan: The Phoenix Flame. 1937.

—the story of their struggles, their hopes, their aspirations, forgotten. No Irish school-boy reading history as a school subject encountered those heroic names; although he learned in detail the chronicle of men who were extending the sway of British imperialism in distant spheres.

James Connolly<sup>\*</sup> asserted that writers of history in Ireland had boycotted the writings of Lalor and "slurred over his name". This rebuke would seem to be justified, but the word "boycott" suggests something deliberate and calculated. In reality Lalor's writings and those of Tone and the Forty-eight patriots were simply allowed to go out of print and the prevailing indifference clouded over their memories.

The Gaelic Revival was the harbinger of a season of more prolific national growth. Racial pride-a halfforgotten virtue-revived when Griffith and Rooney laid the foundations of Sinn Féin. In 1895 D. J. O'Donoghue published a small volume of extracts from Fintan Lalor's writings, culled from the neglected files of the Nation and the Felon. Arthur Griffith, pursuing the path indicated by Davis, set about re-educating the people of Ireland; and in the early years of this century edited and published Mitchel's, Doheny's, Meagher's writings, as well as the life and writings of Thomas Davis. To Griffith, more than to any individual, this generation of Irishmen owes its renascence. His life-long struggle against "the enslaved mind" of his country, recalls his own judgment of John Mitchel, which applies with equal justice to himself :--- "His indomitable spirit

\*Introduction to *The Faith of a Felon*. (Pamphlet issued by The Socialist Party of Ireland).

xliv

#### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

and haughty manhood raised again out of carcasses and ashes a defiant Irish Nation ".\*

With Fintan Lalor, however, Griffith shows no real sympathy, and in his considered verdict on Lalor† fails to give a just interpretation of the latter's teaching. In Griffith's opinion Lalor subordinated national independence to abolition of land usurpation; but Lalor takes great care to explain his attitude to both questions. In concentrating his attack on "the landlord garrison" he was planning to undermine England's real bulwark in Ireland. "Repeal of the Union was to him," says Griffith, "never a matter of concern to contrast with the settlement of the question of the ownership and possession of the soil". But more than once Lalor has emphasised his opinions on both points.<sup>‡</sup> He means "not merely to repeal the Union, but to repeal the Conquest ": his aim is: "the constitution that Tone died to obtain, independence, full and absolute independence for this island, and for every man within this island ".

Lalor had been, from the first, a diligent student of Thomas Davis's writings, and, like all his generation and like all subsequent workers for Irish independence, he was greatly influenced by Davis's national outlook. Regarding the agrarian question Davis had said: §" We seek prospective laws, which shall tend, by a natural and easy change, to reduce the great estates, and create a body of small proprietors in fee

\*P. 430 Jail Journal by John Mitchel. Edited by Arthur Griffith. 1914.

Preface to James Fintan Lalor. The Talbot Press. 1918.‡See pp. 52 et passim.

§The Voice of the Nation, by Thomas Davis, quoted by Prof T. W. Moody, in his Thomas Davis, 1814-1845. throughout every part of Ireland". To reduce the great estates, to reverse the British Government's policy in Ireland during the famine period, had become of still greater urgency, when Lalor took up his pen. To him, as to Davis, the safety of the great mass of the people, meant more than the moneyed interests of the few.

"The Land theory of Lalor", Griffith says, "resolves itself into the abolition of dual ownership and the creation of a peasant proprietary". But it is not so easy to condense Lalor's principles into a phrase. It must be remembered that he was not merely theorising; he was writing in the midst of desolation and horror. He was "forced into action by the terrible necessities of the time, and the feelings that rise out of them ". He was no Utopian dreamer, though his idea of land held by communal consent, seems now almost to imply the restoration of a golden age. He was convinced, and tried all his life to convince others, that each man had a fundamental right to the amount of land he could till for his own use and maintenance. Thus he fought the monoply of land by the landlord garrison, as he would to-day fight the same grasping monopoly, and as he would denounce investments in land which "belongs by right to the men who till it". Although the evils of landlord tyranny, and of the artificial famines of the last century, have been abolished, no serious effort has been made in Ireland to limit the extent of individual holdings, or to cope with the rapacity of land capitalists.

Discussions on John Mitchel's "debt" to Lalor have been many and varied. When Lalor first formu-

xlvi

D

lated his policy, Mitchel-like the other Confederate leaders-was impressed, was almost enthusiastic. He thought, however, that "the time was not ripe" to carry it out. This luke-warm advocacy of his plansthis delay to put into practice what Lalor saw to be the only possible chance to prevent the utter wiping out of the peasant population, infuriated him. He wrote individually to several leaders, including Mitchel, urging them to act-denying that his plans were premature. About this time Mitchel in a letter to Smith O'Brien admits that his views on the land question are "nearly identical with Lalor's". The following year (1848) Mitchel, writing to Lalor, states his regret for not having strongly advocated Lalor's plans the previous year.\* Very soon after-in the second number of The Irish Felon-Lalor made clear his attitude to Mitchel and his high regard for him. Six years later, when Mitchel founded The Citizen (New York) he defended himself from an accusation of "piracy of certain views of Lalor's "+ He admits, as he previously admitted in private correspondence, that he "adopted Lalor's opinions, preached, and acted on them, entirely with Lalor's approval ".

Notwithstanding all this, the fact emerges that Lalor and Mitchel did not, at any period, work together. Both were men of sterling honesty of purpose, and of selfless devotion to their cause, they had much in common and could have been completely complementary of one another. The one great regret, the one real disaster in that fateful year '47, sprang from their inability to combine forces and " pull together ".

There were elements in Lalor's character that often aroused antagonism, even amongst the men who shared

\*Pp. 120-123. †Citizen, 2nd May, 1854.

his views. His impatience, dogmatic, exacting, petulant, must be admitted to have been his great weakness. His sensitiveness was another stumbling block, and his contant extreme awareness of his physical disabilities.\* Yet Luby testified to the very genial and pleasant qualities of Lalor as a fellowworker, and to his rare gifts of humour and sympathy in the social circle. His kindness of heart often showed itself in small acts of charity to children, as when, in company with Luby, he gave a sixpence to a small staring urchin and told him "to run away and buy himself some cakes."

"Lalor was the most powerful political writer that our cause had called forth, if I except Davis only" wrote Mitchel.† P. H. Pearse places his name beside those of Tone, Davis, and Mitchel and says: "Tone sounded the gallant reveillé of democracy in Ireland. The man who gave it its battle-cries was James Fintan Lalor". He gave to Ireland, too, original ideas, clearcut, strong, bearing the hallmark of genius.

He had not, it is true, Tone's power to command, nor the soaring idealism that gave Mitchel his wings. He had not the magnetic personality, the poetic vision of Davis: he had not the gifts that attract men's love and loyalty. He had on the other hand, a real grip of facts and an iron will to get the better of difficulties no matter how tedious, no matter how sordid. He had a practical mind and memory for details and for organisation. He was one in heart with the tiller of the soil whose cause he espoused. He knew his peasantry—knew also his "landed gentry", and

\*He was low-sized, frail, with stooped shoulders, and suffered from deafness.

+Last Conquest of Ireland (Perhaps), p. 195.

xlviii

although he overestimated the fighting strength of the former, he never suffered any illusions about the latter. When he addressed the Landowners of Ireland he did so, as one of the great masses behind whom were ranged the "hand and soul" of a single common purpose. "You are far less important to the people, than the people are to you" he warned them; and herein his foresight and wisdom have been verified.

. . . .

The history of Forty-eight is seldom told without reference to "what might have been". Had the Nation party left Conciliation Hall three years earlier, had Thomas Davis lived, had Lalor's aggressive programme been carried out, had the Dublin clubs attempted Mitchel's rescue\* from Newgate, the Revolution might have been accomplished. The time invited. The hour had struck. For the great European peoples the dawn of freedom had come. "Old Earth was rocked by the storm-breath of democracy. That great Lazarus, the People, had come forth from its tomb." Yet the era of Revolution-memorable 1848-passed leaving Ireland still enslaved, its territories still held in the robber's grasp "in despite, defiance, and contempt of the people". Years were destined to pass-years of agitation, betrayal, inanition, strife, before a later generation took up the work so brilliantly begun by "Young Ireland".

# L. F.

# James Fintan Lalor

TO CHARLES GAVAN DUFFY, EDITOR OF THE "NATION."

TINAKILL, ABBEYLEIX, January 11th, 1847.

I am one of those who never joined the Repeal Association or the Repeal Movement-one of Mr. O'Connell's " creeping, crawling, cowardly creatures " -though I was a Repealer in private feeling at one time, for I hardly know that I can say I am one now, having almost taken a hatred and disgust to this my own country and countrymen. I did not join the agitation, because I saw-not from reflection, but from natural instinct, the same instinct that makes one shrink from eating carrion-that the leaders and their measures, means, and proceedings, were intrinsisally and essentially vile and base; and such as never either could or ought to succeed. Before I embarked in the boat I looked at the crew and the commander ; the same boat which you and others mistook in '43 for a war-frigate, because she hoisted gaudy colours, and that her captain swore terribly; I knew her at once for a leaky collier-smack, with a craven crew to man her and a sworn dastard and forsworn traitor at the helm-a fact which you and Young Ireland would seem never to have discovered until he ordered the boat to be stranded, and yourselves set ashore.

I would fain become one of the "National" party, if they could consent to act along with me and I

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;There is no doubt," said Arthur Griffith in his Preface to Doheny's *Felon's Track*, "that an attempt to rescue Mitchel would have been a failure in its object. But there are occasions when it is wiser to attempt the impossible than to acquiesce."

with them. But I confess I have my many doubts-I have had them all along; and they have been terribly strengthened by the two last numbers of the Nation-I mean those of December 26 and January 2; the last (January 9) I have not yet seen. It is not figure, but fact, that reading those two numbers made me ill. I have long been intending to write to you to resolve those doubts, and have only been prevented by sickness. I must now defer doing so for some little time longer, and my reason for writing the present hurried note is this: It has just occurred to me that at the meeting on Wednesday, an Association may possibly be formed on such a basis, and resolutions or pledges adopted of such a character, as would exclude and excommunicate me and many beside.

These resolutions or pledges may relate either-Ist to the end; 2nd to the means. Now remark-Ist, as to the end :---Should the end be defined strictly, in terms or effect, to be Repeal, simple Repeal, and nothing but or besides Repeal, I would thereby be excluded. For, in the first place, I will never contribute one shilling, or give my name, heart, or hand, for such an object as the simple Repeal by the British Parliament of the Act of Union. I shall state my reasons hereafter, not having time now. Don't define the object, nor give it such a name as would define it. Call it by some general name-independence if you will-and secondly, I will never act with, nor aid any organisation limiting itself strictly to the sole object of dissolving the present connection with Britain and rigidly excluding every other. I will not be fettered and handcuffed. A mightier question is in the land-one beside which Repeal dwarfs down

into a petty parish question; one on which Ireland may not alone try her own right, but try the right of the world; on which she would be, not merely an asserter of old principles often asserted, and better asserted before her, an humble and feeble imitator and follower of other countries—but an original inventor, propounder, and propagandist, in the van of the earth, and heading the nations; on which her success or her failure alike would never be forgotten by man, but would make her, for ever, the lodestar of history; on which Ulster would be not " on her flank," but at her side, and on which, better and best of all, she need not plead in humble petitions hes beggarly wrongs and how beggarly she bore them, nor plead any right save the right of her might.

And if the magnitude and magnificence of that other question be not apparent and recognisedany more than the fact that on its settlement now depends the existence of an old and not utterly worthless people-it is partly, indeed, because the mass of mankind see all such questions, at first, through a diminishing glass, and every question is little until some one man makes it great; but partly also, because the agitation of the Repeal question has been made to act as a proscription of every other. Repeal may perish with all who support it sooner than I will consent to be fettered on this question, or to connect myself with any organised body that would ban or merge in favour of Repeal or any other measure, that greatest of all our rights on this side of heaven-God's grant to Adam and his poor children for ever, when He sent them from Eden in His wrath and bid them go work for their bread. Why should I name it?

2

\_

National independence, then, in what form of words you please; but denounce nothing—proscribe nothing—surrender nothing, more especially of your own freedom of action. Leave yourselves free individually and collectively.

2nd. As to the means :---If any resolution or pledge be adopted to seek legislative independence by moral force and legal proceedings alone, with a denunciation or renunciation of all or any other means or proceedings, you may have millions of better and stronger men than I to join you; but you won't have me Such pledge, I am convinced, is not necessary to 'egalise any association. To illegalise there must, I conceive, be positive evidence of act or intentionleeds done or words spoken. Omitting to do anything can surely form no foundation for a legal charge. What! Is silence a proof of criminal intention? I speak, of course, in ignorance, being no lawyer, thank God. But whether I be correct or not, I never will subscribe or assent to any such pledge or resolution, as regards the use of none but legal means-any means and all means might be made illegal by Act of Parliament; and such pledge, therefore, is passive obedience. As to the pledge of abstaining from the use of any but moral force, I am quite willing to take such pledge if, and provided, the English Government agree to take it also; but, "if not, not." Let England pledge not to argue the question by the prison, the convict-ship or the halter, and I will readily pledge not to argue it in any form of physical logic. But dogs tied and stones loose is no bargain. Let the stones be given up; or unmuzzle the wolf-dog. There is one at this moment in every cabin throughout the land, nearly fit already to be untied-and he will be savager by-and-by. For Repeal, indeed he will never bite, but only bay; but there is another matter to settle between us and England. There has already, I think, been too much giving in on this question of means and force. Merely to save or assert the abstract right for the use of other nations or other times won't do for me. We must save it for our own use, and assert it too, if need be, and occasion offer. You will receive, and, I hope read this on to-morrow morning before the Committee meet. My petition to you is that you will use your influence to prevent any of these resolutions from being adopted, which would cut me off from co-operating with the new Association, should one be founded. Don't mention my name. It is one not worth half a farthing ; but such as it is I don't wish to give it to the Seceders until I have some better guarantee than I possess as yet that their new organisation will be anything better, stronger, or nobler than a decently conducted Conciliation Hall, free from its open and brazen profession of meaness, falsehood, cowardice and corruption, but essentially just as feeble, inefficient, and ridiculous.

Is there any apology needed for addressing you in this manner? I don't know. Perhaps I have no right; though I have been a seceder since I ceased to be a child. I owe to you some gratitude. You have given me a country. Before your time I was an alien and an exile, though living in my own land. I hope you won't make me one again.

This letter has been hastily written; and I have not acquired the faculty of expressing what I wish with clearness or facility. Still I hope you will understand, or at least that you will not misunderstand me. The *Nation* of last Saturday might possibly

# JAMES FINTAN LALOR

give me information which would render my writing plainly unnecessary; but I don't receive it until Wednesday, being in partnership with another person.—I remain, your obedient servant,

JAMES F. LALOR.

11

To Charles Gavan Duffy.

# A NEW NATION.

Proposal for an Agricultural Association between the Landowners and Occupiers.

TO THE LANDOWNERS OF IRELAND.

# TINAKILL, ABBEYLEIX,

# April 19.

I address you, my lords and gentlemen. from a great distance-the distance that separates you from the people-for I am one of the people. This is a disadvantage of some account, and might be discouraging at a season more settled. But I know that in periods of peril, when distress and disaster are present, and danger and dread are in the future, men are allowed to assume rights which must lie in abeyance during ordinary times. This is my reason and right in addressing you-that I am excited and authorised by the feelings and emergencies of the occasion. This is my claim to a hearing. Not that I ask it in my own cause or in that of the class I belong to; not that I urge it for sake of the masses of men who are unable to ask it for themselves; but that I claim a hearing and crave to be heard on your own behalf-on behalf of your own interest, and honour, and existence, as owners of that soil on which thousands now are famishing to death for want of food.

My general object in addressing you is that of calling public notice, if I can, to the full extent of the effects which I think must inevitably follow fast on present events, if the course of those events be not checked or changed. All the facts I possess I have considered and

7

6

T

-----

8

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

counted in one view together, in their connexion and consequence, and inferred the result. This is a task which few others, I fear, have undertaken, nor is it any matter of surprise. Within sight and sound of this dismal calamity, amid the actual horrors of every passing hour, it is scarcely possible to look far into the future, or take thought and care for remote results. In the presence of famine men are blind to its effects. It is doing its work in the dark, and no watch is set or warning raised. From every house and every voice throughout this land there is but one cry nowthe cry for food. Food for to-day and for to-morrow ---for this year and the next. But not all the clamour and outcry that has been raised throughout Ireland during the last few months has added a single pound to the supply of food either for this year or the next. What men were unable to do, they set about doing; what they were able to do, they left and are leaving undone. For something else is wanting, and requires to be provided, besides food for to-day or to-morrowelse a revolution is at hand. A revolution of the worst type and character-not such as when a nation breaks up under armed violence, to re-unite and rise on structure as strong as before; but such as when it falls in pieces, rotting to a final and fætid ruin.

Beside the general object mentioned, I have a particular and more definite purpose, which will develop itself as I proceed. It would be useless to state it formally before it can be fully understood. Though I write more especially for you, my lords and gentlemen, landowners of Ireland, yet I write also for the public; and shall address myself to either, as occasion may seem to demand.

The failure of the potato, and consequent famine,

# NATION LETTERS

is one of those events which come now and then to do the work of ages in a day, and change the very nature of an entire nation at once. It has even already produced a deeper social disorganisation than did the French revolution-greater waste of lifewider loss of property-more than the horrors, with none of the hopes. For its direction still seems dragging downwards, while her revolution took France to the sun-gave her wealth, and victory, and renown -a free people and a firm peasantry, lords of their own land. It has unsettled society to the foundation ; deranged every interest, every class, every household. Every man's place and relation is altered; labour has left its track, and life lost its form. One entire class, the most numerous and important in Ireland, has already begun to give way; and is about being displaced. The tenant-farmer of ten acres or under is being converted into an "independent labourer." But it is accomplishing something more than mere social derangement, or a dislocation of classes. It has come as if commissioned to produce, at length and not too soon, a dissolution of that state and order of existence in which we have heretofore been living. The constitution of society that has prevailed in this island can no longer maintain itself, or be maintained. It has been tried for generations ; it has now, at least, been fully and finally tested; and the test has proved fatal. It was ever unsound and infirm; and is now breaking to pieces under the first severe experiment, an experiment which that of any other country would have easily withstood. Nor heaven nor human nature will suffer it to be re-established or continue. If the earth, indeed, with all things therein was made wholly for the few and none of it for the many, then

it may continue; if they be bound to submit in patience to perish of famine and famine-fever, then it may continue. But if all have a right to live, and to live in their own land among their own people; if they have a right to live in freedom and comfort on their own labour; if the humblest among them has a right to a full, secure and honest subsistence, not the knavish and beggarly subsistence of the poorhouse, then that constitution cannot and it shall not be re-established again. When society fails to perform its duty and fulfil its office of providing for its people; it must take another and more effective form, or it must cease to exist. When its members begin to die out under destitution-when they begin to perish in thousands under famine and the effects of faminewhen they begin to desert and fly from the land in hundreds of thousands under the force and fear of deadly famine-then it is time to see it is God's will that society should stand dissolved, and assume another shape and action; and he works his will by human hands and natural agencies. This case has arisen even now in Ireland, and the effect has already followed in part. Society stands dissolved. In effect, as well as of right, it stands dissolved, and another requires to be constituted. To the past we can never return, even if we would. The potato was our sole and only capital, to live and work on, to make much or little of; and on it the entire social economy of this country was founded, formed and supported. That system and state of things can never again be resumed or restored; not even should the potato return. A new adjustment is now to be formed, is to form and develop itself; a new social order to be arranged; a new people to be organised. Or otherwise that people itself is about to become extinct. Either of these is inevitable; and either is desirable. In condition and character and conduct, a stain to earth, a scandal among the nations, a shame to nature, a grievance to Heaven, this people has been for ages past—a dark spot in the path of the sun. Nature and Heaven can bear it no longer. To any one who either looks to an immediate directing Providence, or trusts to a settled course of natural causes, it is clear that this island is about to take existence under a new tenure; or else that Nature has issued her decree—often issued heretofore against nations and races, and ever for the same crime—that one other imbecile and cowardly people shall cease to exist, and no longer cumber the earth.

The power of framing a new order of arrangement is in your hands, my lords and gentlemen, if you choose to exercise it. The work of reconstruction belongs of right to you, if you have the wisdom and the will to do it. It is in emergencies and occasions like the present, rather than in ordinary and settled times, that a national aristocracy is required; and if they be not worthy of such occasions, they are worthless altogether. It is a time like this that tries and tests the worth of a class, as it tests the worth of individual men. Not to time should the task be committed, nor to chance; not to the government of England, which is incompetent to the case; not to the parliament of England where you are made a mark for pelting at; nor to the desperate remedies of men whom you have, yourselves, made desperate. Ireland demands from you now something more than her present dole of daily food-a mode and system of procuring full food for herself. She looks to you for this-that she be

not condemned to live as a beggar on public aims, nor as a pauper on public works and poorhouse rations; but aided and enabled to find or form a mode of making her own bread in all future time by free, unforced, and honest labour. She has lost her means of living; she requires some other, more sufficient and secure than those she has lost. Her demand, in full and fine, is for what is of more effective worth and weight than all the political constitutions that were ever fashioned; for what senates or sovereigns cannot make or unmake, but men must make for themselves-her demand is for a new SOCIAL CONSTITUTION under which to live. This is the task you are called on to undertake the work you are wanted to do, or forfeit your footing in this island of ours-a work to which political constitution is little in comparison and light in importance. Political rights are but paper and parchment. It is the social constitution that determines the condition and character of a people, that makes and moulds the life of man.

We are now living in the midst of a social anarchy, in which no man knows with certainty what he is, or what he can call his own. Never was government or guidance more necessary to a people; but government or guidance there is none, for the one great purpose needed. An extreme and extraordinary case has arisen—one that seldom arises in modern times and not to be treated by any ordinary law. A new structure of society has to be created; and the country has a right to require of you to counsel, and conduct, and lead her; because you own her soil; because your own worth and value are in question your own interest and position involved and committed; because the work cannot so speedily and safely

be done without your aid; because in some respects and in some degree you are considered chargeable with the calamitous crisis that has occurred ; because your rights of ownership are thought by numbers to be the main or only obstacle to the creation at once of a sound system of social prosperity and happiness, which would be formed by the natural energies and social instincts of mankind, if those energies were left free to act, and not fettered or interfered with by your claims of dominion; and finally because you ought of right to be where you have never chosen to be-at the head of this people. And at their head or at their side you must now stand, or your aid will not be taken. On other terms it will not now be accepted ; and the work will be done by other hands than yours. You are far less important to the people than the people are to you. You cannot stand or act alone, but they can. In the case that has arisen the main power is in their hands, the little in yours. Your power of position has departed. You cannot reform and re-organise a whole people without their consent and co-operation. You cannot act against them-you cannot act without them. They can do what is wanted of themselves and without your assistance. They have the will and may learn the way. A dissolution of the social system has taken place. The failure of the potato was the immediate exciting cause. Into the predisposing causes it is ueedless for the present to enquire. There was no outrise or revolt against it. It was not broken up by violence. It was borne for ages in beggarly patience, until it perished by the visitation of God in the order of nature. A clear original right returns and reverts to the people-the right of establishing, and entering

into a new social arrangement. The right is in them because the power is in them. The right lodges where the power lodges. It is not a case to which governments or parliaments are competent. The sole office and duty of government under the circumstances is that of supporting the destitute, and maintaining public order during the period of transition, and re-organisation. Should it attempt doing more than this, it will be assuming a power which it does not possess, and cannot even make an effort to exercise without committing injustice, doing injury, and suffering defeat. With the great body and mass of the people, in their original character and capacity, resides of necessity the power, in its full plenitude, of framing or falling into a new form of organisationa new mode of living and labour. Your aid, my lords and gentlemen, is most desirable, if accorded on terms, and in a mode which would be thought likely to contribute to general benefit and happiness. On other terms or for other objects-with a view to your own personal interests alone, and on terms to assert and secure your own position at any cost to the country and community-if offered on such views and terms, your service and aid will not be accepted; and the present condition of anarchy will be protracted by strife and struggle, terminating possibly in violent convulsion, from which you, at least, would come out the losers, whoever might be the winners. To ensure against such a contingency it is necessary that you should now combine and co-operate with that people from whom, for long ages, you have stood apart, aliens and enemies to them as they to you. They count more in millions than you count in thousands. If you desire that they and you should now join

hands to carry the boat over the rapids, it must be on terms which they will accept; on terms of advantage to them as well as to you-and the first condition and very basis of a union must be the distinct acknowledgment and assertion, in its widest extent, in its fullest force, power, and plenitude, of the principle of ALLE-GIANCE TO COUNTRY. On any other basis no federation can form or be formed to take effect or be of force in Ireland now. To save mistake I ought to mention, and mark what it is I do not mean, as well as what my meaning is. I do not mean that you should declare for Repeal. I scarcely know that I can call myself a Repealer, further than thisthat I would not say aye to the question if it were put to me to decide. The results of Repeal would depend on the means and men by whom it should have been accomplished. It might give to Ireland all that Ireland wants, and withering in want of-equal liberty, and equal laws, science and art, manufacture and trade, respect and renown; wealth to the merchant, security and comfort to the cottage; its pride of power and place to the castle, fame and fortune to genius and talent, all of that which ennobles and endears to man the land he lives in-this it might do. It might subject us to an odious and ignoble tyranny. I am far from wishing you to take any course that would pledge you to Repeal, or to any other political measure. I do not write with a view to Repeal, or any other political object whatever. My meaning is far more general, and states itself in more general terms. Nothing is requisite or required that would commit you in particulars, to any political party, cause, or course of conduct.

But a full act and avowal of attachment and

14

16

# JAMES FINTAN LALOR

allegiance to this island, in priority and preference to any and every other country-this is required, and will be strictly required; not in mere idle form of protest and profession, but in full efficient proof and practice. That Ireland is your own mother-country -and her people your people-that her interest and honour, her gain and her glory, are counted as your own-that her rights and liberties you still defend as part of your inheritance-that in peace you will lead her progress, and carry her banner in battle-that your labour shall be in her service, and your lives laid down at her need-that henceforth you will be not a foreign garrison but a national guard; this you must declare and adopt, as the principle of your proceeding, and the spirit of your action, and the rule of your order; for these are the duties of nobility Adopt this principle, and you are armed; on it is your safety and your strength; the future is fettered at your feet, and your name and race shall flourish and not fail. Ireland is yours for ages, yet on the condition that you will be Irishmen, in name, in faith, in fact. Refuse it, and you commit yourselves, in the position of paupers, to the mercy of English ministers and English members; you throw your very existence on English support, which England soon may find too costly to afford; you lie at the feet of events, you lie in the way of a people, and the movement of events and the march of a people shall be over you. Allegiance to this fair island; it is your title of tenure to the lands you hold, and in right of it you hold them. If you deny and disown it you assert another title, and must determine to hold your inheritance by force, at your own will and to our injury, in despite and defiance of us and ours for ever.

# NATION LETTERS

This would be a bootless and feeble insult, and dangerous withal; for your title is worth little indeed under the law you would appeal to : that while from Ireland you take rank and revenue, blood and birth and name-everything that makes home, and binds to country-you yet look not to her, but to another land, for home and country; that you desert and disown, if not hate her old native people; that in England are your hearts and hopes, and that all your household gods are English. This crime is charged to you: unjustly charged I trust it is-for a worse crime, and more infamous than disloyalty or treason to kings or crowns is disloyalty or treason to country. It is a crime not made by lawyers, but made by God; a crime against nature itself-against all its laws, affections, interests, and instincts. Yet the charge is not made against you without colour of truth and show of reason. On every question that arises, in every contest and collision, whether of honour or interest, you take side and cause with England. All blame for this does not rest on you; but some of it does. Much and most of it rests on a class of men whose claim to attention, however strong, I must defer to a future letter. All such ground of charge must be removed and renounced. For ever, henceforth, the owners of our soil must be Irish. To all who own land or living in Ireland, Ireland henceforth must be the Queen-island. She holds in her hands the hostages for their fealty, and will not longer put up with TREASON. On no other common ground or general principle can a federation take place between the nobles of the land and the nation at large, than that of common faith and fealty to this their common country.

The formation of the Irish Party was hailed at the time by many as one step of a movement in the direction of Ireland. It may perhaps, indicate a change of ideas, if not of feelings. You have probably begun to find out that if your feelings are English, yet your fortunes are Irish; that Ireland's peril is perilous to yourselves; that in renouncing your country and adopting another, you renounce and revolt from the laws of nature; and that nature herself is strong enough to punish the treason. You have, moreover, got some slight cause to doubt whether England esteems your attachment as of any value, your interest as of much importance, or your very existence as worth the expense and peril of supporting. But we recognise nothing Irish in this party except its name; nothing that can entitle it to command or call round it the hearts or hopes of this people; or raise it to any higher position than that of a mere club and a petty club, formed by a class for the single object of saving its own little interests from injury, at any cost to the country. Whether for its professed or its private objects, shelter as an Irish party or as a landowners' club, it is equally and utterly inefficient, and can do nothing for the salvation of the country or for yours. It excludes the people. It embraces no great public principles, passions, purpose, or policy. It bears no banner, and shows no motto. It rallies no support, and inspires no confidence; proposes nothing, and promises nothing. To resist the minister, should his measures of relief or improvement be deemed injurious to the landowners-this appears the sole object of the Irish Party. But your claims as landowners are no longer maintainable or defensible on their own merits and means. To

maintain, you must connect them with those of youcountry. A union between parties of the same class a union of landowners with each other is adequate to no purpose now. The union required is a union between all classes of whom the people is composed. You are powerless without a people beside or behind you. You must call the commons into your council; and make their private interests and public objects—nay even perhaps their public passions—a part of your policy. The Irish Party must expand and enlarge into the Irish people; or another, and more effective Association be framed.

To organise a new mode and condition of laboura new industrial system ; to frame and fix a new order of society; in a word, to give to Ireland a new social constitution under which the natural capacity of this country would be put into effective action; the resources of its land, labour, and capital developed and made available; its slumbering and decaying energies of mind and muscle excited, directed, and employed; and the condition and character of its people reconstructed, improved, and elevated; this I have already stated is the general object which now calls for the united action of the landowners and people of Ireland in association assembled. The energies of nature and action of time, working together in their wonted course and current, will indeed, in long or short be adequate, without aid or effort of ours, to form a new and effective settlement of society; but the fabric thus formed will be raised out of the relics, and rest on the ruins of the present existing people in all classes. For their own safety and preservation it is necessary that all those classes should now combine to take the direction of that revolution which will

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

otherwise effect itself, and which indeed is in actual process of being effected without their consent, control, or guidance. That position has become too perilous to maintain. Your path of safety, as well as of honour, is now the public highway. No bye-way of your own will carry you through the perils that beset, and the greater perils that are before you. There are many and important questions at issue between you and the landholders, between you and the labourers, between you and the people, between you and other classes of the people, between those classes among themselves. No government, no legislation, no general statutes, no special statutes, no power on earth but the parties concerned, no mode on earth, save that of voluntary agreement, can settle those questions. Why should we not meet and settle them amicably ? Leave them not to be settled by time, or to be settled by strength.

What to create a complete and efficient industrial economy: to form and give force to a new state and mode of existence; to organise and animate, and put into healthy vigorous action that complex living machine, a social system; to frame and adjust the fabric of society in its mightiest proportions and minutest parts with all its vast and various interests, arrangements, orders and conditions, independent, yet involved, conflicting, yet co-operating-what to do all this? A work impossible to man; and which, in extent, or detail, he never yet undertook or attempted to perform. A work of which the theory and principles are beyond his knowledge or discovery, and the practical execution beyond his utmost power. Nature has reserved it to herself, to effect by a process of her own, for which no artificial process was or can

#### NATION LETTERS

be substituted with success. A work we cannot do, God's hand alone, not man's, can do it. True-and neither can you form in all its parts the smallest plant that grows. But sow the seed and the plant forms. The powers of vitality but require to be set in movement, and the contrivances of nature left free to act. Even so it is in the case we consider. That work may be done, and you must do it or others will; and you must do it at once for it cannot be waited for. Nor is it, when examined, an undertaking that need dazzle or daunt by its magnitude or multiplicity, the meanest mind of all amongst us. It includes no such complication of difficult questions as it may seem to; and the only question actually involved is one easy of settlement, when put into comparison with its apparent mass. Its theory contains itself in a single principle; its practical solution is comprised and completed in a single operation. Lay but the foundation and the work is done. Lay the foundation ; nature effects the rest ; society forms and fits itself, even as the plant grows when the seed is sown. Lay deep and strong the only foundation that is firm under the foot of a nationa secure and independent agricultural peasantry. A secure and independent agricultural peasantry is the only base on which a people ever rises, or ever can be raised ; or on which a nation can safely rest.

A productive and prosperous husbandry is the sole groundwork of a solid social economy. On it and out of it springs the mechanic, and artisan, and trading dealer; fed and fostered by it these swell into the manufacturer and merchant, who multiply into merchants and manufacturers; sustained by it still, these enlarge, and gather, and solidify into

companies, corporations, classes-into great manufacturing and mercantile systems and interests, which often, like unnatural children, disown and desert the mother that bore and the nurse that fed them ; without it there is neither manufacture or tradenor means to make them, for it is agriculture alone that furnishes those means. Food is our first wantto procure it our first work. The agricultural class, therefore, must precede and provide for every other. It is first in order of nature, necessity, and time. It is an abundant agriculture alone that creates and sustains manufactures, and arts, and traffic. It is an increasing agriculture alone that extends them. For it is the surplus of food it accumulates, after providing ordinary subsistence, that forms new wants and demands, and the modes and means to meet and satisfy them. Such is the actual process; a process that never yet was reversed, or carried out in any other course or order; so it was at first, and so will it be for ever-in every time, in every clime, in every country. Adopt this process; create what has never yet existed in Ireland an active and affluent husbandry, a secure and independent agricultural peasantry, able to accumulate as well as to produce-do this, and you raise a thriving and happy community, a solid social economy, a prosperous people, an effective nation. Create the husbandman, and you create the mechanic, the artisan, the manufacturer, the merchant. Thus you will work on the ordinance of God, in the order and with the powers of nature. All the natural motives and means with which man is endowed will come then to your relief and assistance, and do the rest. Any further interference with the course and process of natural laws would be useless and mischievous.

Neither monarchs nor mobs ever yet were able to manage or modify that natural process with success; or ever attempted to enforce interference without doing grievous injury and gross injustice. The abortive and mischievous legislation of both old and recent times affords lessons enough of this, if we choose to learn them.

There seems to be a vague impression on a large portion of the public mind of this country that national attention and exertion, as well as individual effort, should be directed into a course the reverse in its steps and stages of that natural order which I have pointed out. We are in the habit of hearing it asserted that a large development of manufacturing industry is what Ireland needs, and that to establish it should be her chief objects. It is even assumed, not unfrequently, that a manufacturing system must precede, and is the only mode of promoting, the improvement and prosperity of agriculture itself. This is an error I could wish to see abandoned. It distracts effort and attention from the point on which both ought to be directed, and on which they could act with effect. I am prepared to prove-what, indeed, any man may prove to himself-that neither by the private enterprise of individuals or companies, neither by the force of national feeling anyhow exerted, neither by public association or public action of any kind or extent nor by government aid, if such aid could be expectedneither by these or any other means and appliances can a manufacturing system be established in Ireland, nor so much as a factory built on firm ground, until the support of a numerous and efficient agricultural yeomanry be first secured. Good friends, you that are recommending us to encourage native manu $\mathbf{24}$ 

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

facture and to form manufacturing associations; tradesmen and townsfolk of Ireland will you cease to follow a phantom, and give hand and help to create such a yeomanry?

My general object, the formation of a new social economy thus resolves itself into the formation of a a new agricultural system. The principles on which that new system is to be founded must either be settled by agreement between the landowners and the people, or they must be settled by a struggle. What I think those principles ought to be, if they be made articles of agreement, as well as the practical mode of arriving at and arranging such agreement, I shall take another opportunity of stating.

You, however, my lords and gentlemen, it would appear from your present proceedings, have already settled among yourselves the entire future economy of your country-determined the fortunes and fate of this entire island-disposed of the existence of this little people of eight millions. The small landholdings are to be " consolidated " into large farms, the small landholders "converted" into "independent labourers "; those labourers are, of course, to be paupers-those paupers to be supported by a poor law---that poor law is to be in your hands to manage and administer. Thus is to be got rid of the surplus of population beyond what the landowners require Meantime, by forcible ejectments, forced surrender, and forced emigration, you are effecting the process of "conversion" a little too rapidly, perhaps for steady and safe working.

And so, it seems, you have doomed a people to extinction and decreed to abolish Ireland? The undertaking is a large one. Are you sure your

#### NATION LETTERS

strength will not be tested? The settlement you have made requires nothing to give it efficacy, except the assent or acquiescence of eight millions of people. Will they assent or acquiesce? Will Ireland, at last, perish like a lamb and let her blood sink in the ground, or will she turn as turns the baited lion? For my own part I can pronounce no opinion; and for you, my lords and gentlemen, if you have any doubts on the question, I think it would be wisdom to pause in your present course of proceeding until steps can be taken and measures adopted for effecting an accommodation and arrangement between you and the present occupiers of the soil, on terms that would preserve the rights and promote the interests of each party. If you persevere in enforcing a clearance of your lands you will force men to weigh your existence, as landowners, against the existence of the Irish people. The result of the struggle which that question might produce ought, at best, to be a matter of doubt in your minds; even though you should be aided, as you doubtless would be, by the unanimous and cordial support of the people of England, whose respect and esteem for you are so well known and so loudly attested.

I have the honour to remain, my lords and gentlemen, your humble and obedient servant,

JAMES F. LALOR.

# TENANTS' RIGHT AND LANDLORD LAW.

"I may be told that this famine is a visitation of Divine Providence, but I do not admit that. I fear there is blasphemy in charging on the Almighty the result of our own doings. . . . . God's famine is known by the general scarcity of food of which it is the consequence. There is no general scarcity, there has been no general scarcity in Ireland, either during the present or the past year, except in one solitary species of vegetable. The soil had produced its usual tribute for the support of those by whom it was cultivated. . . The vice inherent in our system of social and political economy is so settled that it eludes enquiry. You cannot trace it to the source. The poor man on whom the coroner holds an inquest has been murdered, but no one killed him. Who did it? No one did it. Yet it was done."

I have just now seen in the *Nation* of last Saturday, May I, the foregoing extract from the lecture of Dr. Hughes on the "Condition of Ireland."\*

Doctor Hughes does not seem sufficiently to understand how the failure of a single root can have produced a famine. "The vice of our political and social economy is one that eludes inquiry." But is it indeed so obscure ? Has it then been able to conceal or disguise itself ? It must be dragged out. In self-defence the question is now forced on us, whether there be any particular class or institution specially chargeable ? It is a question easily answered. Into the more remote causes of the famine it is now needless to enquire, but it is easily traced back to its immediate origin. The facts are few, and are soon told and speedily understood, when the conditions of the country it had to act on have first been stated. I state them from recollection; I have no returns

> \* For context, see Appendix 4. 26

\_\_\_\_

at hand to refer to, but I shall be found generally correct.

There are in Ireland, or were last year, 231,000 agricultural families, comprising 319,000 adult male labourers, depending altogether on wages for subsistence. If I commit any mistake, it is that of overstating the number of such families. There was not constant employment to be found for those 319,000 men, and the rate of wages was very low. The labourer, partially employed and poorly paid, was unable, on the mere hire of his hands, to feed himself and those who looked to him for food. He borrowed for six months (May I to Nov. 1st) from some neighbouring farmer the use of a quarter of an acre of land. He paid for this six months' use the sum of  $f_{.2128.6d}$ . The farmer, however, manured the land ; he manured it by paring off with the plough a thin layer of surface, which the labourer left to dry, made up into heaps, and burned into ashes, which he spread over the ground. On the land so manured-for in no other mode was it ever manured-he planted potatoes, and was so able to live; and he did live on, from year to year, from youth to grey hair, from father to son, in penury and patience. Whether the penury made the patience, or the patience made the penury, I stay not to enquire. Certain it is that they commonly go together. The details I am giving are sufficiently well known here, but I write for England. Such as I state him is, or was, the Irish labourer, that "independent labourer," whose free and happy condition is now offered and recommended so strongly to the small landholder, as preferable to his own. Last vear this man did as usual. He planted his potatoes; but when he came to dig them out there were none

to be digged. Two hundred and thirty thousand families began to die of hunger; and famine ran wild into fever.

The cultivated soil of Ireland is distributed, or was last year, into about 880,000 landholdings, each occupied by a family. Of this number of landholders, 510,000 were in occupation, each of farms varying in size from one acre to ten, and none of them exceeding that extent. This class of men differed little in the appearance, but very much in the reality of circumstance and condition, from the class of men labourers. Their circumstances varied with the size of the holding; but the lowest family among them stood far above the labourer. Their means of subsistence were somewhat greater, their securities for subsistence were far greater. They did not, as the labourers did, commonly starve or suffer hunger through the summer months-the famine months as we call them in this country. Those of them who held farms of from five to ten acres of holding enjoyed some little share of the comfort of life, which the careless and mirthful temperament of Ireland heightened into happiness. The men dressed well on Sunday, and the women gaily, at least in all parts of the country with which I am acquainted. The smallest landholders of this class were labourers also—labourers with allotments labourers with assurance against positive starvation. Each man had at least a foothold of existence. Each man had potato-ground at least; at a high rent, indeed, but not so high as the one-acre rent. Still, however, the lowest grade of these men were miserable enough; but not so utterly so as the mere labourer. Their country had hope for them too, while she had none for the labourer. To avoid if I can, confusion

or complication of statement, I put out of view for the present the holdings of size beyond ten acres each, amounting in number to about 370,000. But such as I state it was the condition, so far as affects the small occupiers I speak of, in which the famine found Ireland.

Two circumstances of this man's situation, and those not unimportant, remain yet however to be stated, in account for the past, and in calculation for the future. One of them is, that he held his land by no other assurance, legal or moral, than his landlord's pecuniary personal interest in retaining him as a tenant. He had commonly no lease of his holding, or, if he had it was rendered null in effect by numberless circumstances which I cannot stop to state. The feelings that exist in England between landlord and tenant, coming down from old times, and handed on as an heirloom from generation to generationthe feeling of family pride, the feeling of family attachment, the habit of the house, the fashion of the land, the custom of the country, all those things that stand for laws, and are stronger than laws-are here unknown; as, indeed, they are beginning to decay and die out in England. But the working farmer of Ireland who held his own plough, and acted as his own labourer, was able to pay a higher rent for his land than the farner of any other class; and hence alone he continued to hold it. This was his title of tenure-his only title ; his security against the grazier and against the extensive tillage farmer; his sole security for leave to live.

Such is the first circumstance requiring note. The second is this :--The occupier I speak of, if his holding was very small, put the entire of it in tillage ; if large

31

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

he put a portion in pasture. In either case his tillage ground was appropriated to two crops—a potato crop and a grain crop. He sowed grain for his landlord, he planted potatoes for himself. The corn paid the rent, the potato fed the tenant. When the holding was small, the grain crop was insufficient, alone to balance rent; a portion of potatoes made up the deficiency by feeding a hog. When the holding was larger, the grain crop was often more than sufficient, with the help of a hog, to clear rent and tithe rent, and county rate and poor rate. In such cases the cultivator had a small overplus, which he could actually dispose of as he liked, and he commonly laid it out in the purchase of mere luxuries, such as shoes, wearing apparel, and other articles of convenience. So stood the landholders of ten acres or under.

Last year this man did according to custom. He planted potatoes for his own support, he sowed corn for his landlord's rent. The potato perished; the landlord took the crop. The tenant-cultivator paid his rents-was forced to pay them-sold his grain crop to pay them, and had to pray to man as well as to God for his daily bread. I state general facts; I stop not to count scattered and petty exceptions. Who is it says the landlords got no rent last year? Bernal Osborne says so-and adds that the conduct of the Irish farmers in withholding their rents was most disagreeable and discreditable, and disgraceful. One hundred voices and pens have said and repeated it. The landlords are in parliament and in the "Compositor's room"; the tenant-cultivators are not. The lion is no painter. It may be so that in districts of Tipperary the tenants, or many of them, kept their corn for food-thus paying themselves for their

labour, capital, and seed, and saving their own livesinstead of paying the land rent. It may be said that in those districts the full rents were not paid; it may be said that in Galway, Mayo, Cork, and elsewhere they could not be paid. The oat crop failed partially, as the potato failed wholly; and when these were the crops in the ground the landowner, of course, in many cases lost a portion of his rent, as the tenantcultivator lost his entire provision of food. But these exceptions are inefficient against the facts I state. I say and assert that the landowners took entire possession of last year's harvest-of the whole effective sum and substance of that harvest. The food for this year's subsistence, the seed for next year's crop-the landlord took it all. He stood to his right, and got his rent-and hunger was in five hundred thousand houses, pinching dearth in all, deadly famine in many. Famine, more or less was in five hundred thousand families; famine, with all its diseases and decay; famine, with all its fears and horrors; famine, with all its dreadful pains, and more dreadful debility. All pined and wasted, sickened and drooped; numbers died-the strong man, the fair maiden, the little infant-the landlord got his rent.

Relief committees were formed and public works set on foot. The landowners grew bustling, if n t busy, in the work of demanding relief and dispensing it. To the local relief funds very many of them, indeed, contributed nothing; but there were others who contributed even so large a sum as  $000.000\frac{1}{4}$  per cent. on their annual income, and were most properly appreciated and praised as beneficent individuals, while several gave a percentage of double or thrice

32

that amount-and Ireland rang with applause. They demanded the Labour Rates Act; called for works which would increase the productive power of the soil; and grew clamorous in the expression of pity for their suffering countrymen, whom they charged government with delivering up to famine by adopting an erroneous and insufficient system of relief. Finally under the flag of their country, they met in the Rotunda, and formed an Irish party for the professed object of establishing and supporting an Irish policy for Irish purposes; that is to say, for the purpose of taking care that the pecuniary interests of the landowners of Ireland should suffer no detriment. more especially by any extension of poor law relief. Such is the history of the present famine. Does it furnish or suggest an answer to the concluding query of Dr. Hughes?

But another famine is in preparation, and will surely come, no matter for fallacious statements of an increased breadth of tillage.

The lord of the soil had got his rent, and become a public and professed patriot. The cultivator of the soil had lost his provision of food, and gone out on the public roads, for public wages. The preparations for tillage were, of course neglected. The tenant had neither seed nor subsistence; or, if he had any small provision of either, he was soon deprived of it by the relief system. Whatever seed he might have saved from the landlord; whatever little means he possessed for making manure; whatever small capital was in his hands to work on with, were taken from him by relief committees and relieving officers. The law was laid down, and acted on very generally, that no man should obtain either gratuitous relief or public employment until he should first be completely pauperised. If he had seed corn, he should consume it, if he had a cow he should sell it-and not a few of them said, as they are still saying, "if he had land he should give it up "; otherwise he could have no title to relief. This was to say, they chose rather to maintain wholly for ever after the first few months, than to maintain partially for those few months; rather to give permanent support than temporary aid; rather to create a pauper than to assist a struggling worker. This was to declare in favour of pauperism, and to vote for another famine. I am putting no blame on the parties to this proceeding. The reasons for it were plausible in appearance. I am merely stating a fact, and charging nothing more than mistake. "We must guard against the evils," said the official authorities " of indiscriminate relief, and avoid the risk of pauperising the feelings of the peasantry, encouraging the spirit of dependence, and training them to the trade of beggars." To me it seems it would have been safer to incur the risk of pauperising their feelings than the certainty of pauperising their means; and better even to take away the will to be independent than to take away the power. "When there are such numbers utterly destitute," said the relief committee, "why should we give a man relief who has a barrel of oats in his possession ? It would be wasting silver and cheating the poor." What was it to them that the barrel of oats, if kept for seed, would have produced 12 barrels at harvest ?--- a return of 1,200 per cent., on the cost of feeding the man while consuming his poor little provision of corn seed.

The tenant was left without seed or substance. The

### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

effect is, that the smaller class of holdings remain uncropped and untilled, and in many cases abandoned. This class of holdings constituted a large portion of the tillage lands of Ireland. The largest class of farms are exclusively under grass. The proportion of pasture diminishes as the farm grows smaller. The smallest class of holdings are exclusively in tillage; and these are not in the usual course of preparation for being cropped, but will, to all appearance of evidence remain waste this year. The season is passing. The potato will not be planted to any efficient extent. No adequate substitute has been adopted or found-no adequate additional quantity of corn crop, or of any crop has been sowed, or is in course of sowing. A famine for next year is all but secured. Numbers of the small occupiers have surrendered their holdings. The landlords are assisting the natural operation of the famine instead of arresting it—putting the tenant out of his foothold of land instead of aiding him to retain and cultivate it. In every district the tenantry are being evicted in hundreds by legal process, by compelled surrenders, by forced sales for trifling sums-the price being very frequently paid by a receipt for fictitious or forgotten arrears. These men are being converted into "independent labourers "; and the number already evicted will form a very considerable addition to a class too numerous even now for the demands or resources of the country-too many to be absorbed-too many to be supported. Another famine comes next year-a a famine of undiminished powers of destruction to act on diminished powers of resource and resistancea famine of equal origin to act on weakened conditions. Additional numbers of the small occupiers are thrown out of occupation of land-the entire body I am speaking of are thrown out. It will not stop short of that, or stop even there. Who can limit such an operation to ten-acre holdings, or limit it at all? They lose this land ; they acquire, in lieu of it, that valuable species of Irish property, "independent labour." Stop one moment to look at the fact. Five hundred thousand families added to the two hundred and thirty thousand who form the present mass of labour-six hundred and seventy thousand adult males converted into "independent labourers "-six hundred and seventy thousand hands added to those three hundred and nineteen thousand already so successfully engaged in independent labour. But surely I overstate. No one will believe this can happen until it has actually happened. No one believes in the future-no one sees to-morrow as he sees to-day. I may not be correct to the very last figure, but I am effectively correct. But is it I that say this result will come-is it I alone? Every speaker in Parliament whose words carry weight forestates this result, defends, justifies, urges it; and not a voice rises to protest against the principle, the feasibility, the consequences. It is the policy and purpose of every act that is passing through the legislature. "Whereas it is desirable that the conversion of the inferior classes of Irish landholders into independent labourers shall take effect as speedily and safely as possible, and without serious damage or danger to the English interest or the English garrison in Ireland." I read this as the preamble of every Irish act of the session. It is assumed and set down that such conversion is to take place-not partially neither, but universally. No authority assumes, no argument

34

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

asserts, that the small occupiers are *too many*, and ought to be reduced. The assertion is that the small occupier is a man who ought not to be existing. He ought to be, and is henceforth, an independent labourer. No cause, moreover, is operating against one of the class that is not operating against all.

But the confiscation will not be limited to ten-acre holdings. There are causes in operation which will render it impossible for tillage land to pay as high a rent as land under grass. Many causes-some natural, others artificial-render it impossible to produce corn in this country at as low a cost, quality for quality, as it can be produced in most others. Our corn will soon be undersold in the market by a superior article-a result rendered surer and speedier by the present increased demand for foreign corn. Shortly too, the house-feeding of cattle can no longer be carried on. Even if the repeal of the corn duty should realise the utmost expectations of its advocates, and if there should be, consequently, a proportionate increase in the demand for beef, mutton, butter, and wool, yet the tillage land of Ireland turned into grass land, will be fully adequate to supply the increased demand. House-feeding will be unable to compete against grass-feeding, or to pay for itself. Together with corn, therefore, the root crops will no longer be raised; a regular system of active cultivation is sustained by corn alone. The agriculture that employs and maintains millions will leave the land, and an agriculture that employs only thousands will take its place. Ireland will become a pasture ground once again, as it was before, and its agricultural population of tillage farmers and labourers will decay and die out by degrees, or vanish and become extinct at once; even as heretofore, from the same cause in many times and countries, a population as numerous, melting away by a rapid mortality or mouldering out by slow but sure decay, have perished and passed away from the earth; for classes of people, nor entire populations, nor nations themselves, are not fixed or immortal, any more than the individual men that compose them.

The eight thousand individuals who are owners of Ireland by divine right and the grant of God, confirmed (by themselves) in sundry successive acts of parliament, have a full view of these coming results I have stated, and have distinctly declared their intention of serving notice to quit on the people of Ireland. Bernal Osborne states that the small landlords are unable (after having paid their rents) to support themselves out of the land, and that they must be got completely rid of. The landowners have adopted the process of depopulating the island, and are pressing it forward to their own destruction, or to ours. They are declaring that they and we can no longer live together in this land. They are enforcing self-defence on us. They are, at least, forcing on us the question of submission or resistance; and I, for one, shall give my vote for resistance.

Before I examine that question, and state what I conceive to be the true grounds, limits, and mode of resistance, I purpose making one other and last appeal to the landowners to adopt the only course that can now save a struggle.

## A NATIONAL COUNCIL.

# Tuesday, 25th May.

SIR,—In the leading article of last Saturday's *Nation* it is stated that the "Reproductive Committee" has changed its name, enlarged its basis, and constituted itself into what the writer would seem desirous to consider to be the nucleus of a "National Council." He seems also to attach an importance to the transaction, of which, I fear it is wholly undeserving.

The Nation gives no report. I have seen none elsewhere of the proceedings of the meeting at which the alleged alterations were made. I know nothing, therefore, of the name, nature, principles, or purpose, of the new association, into which the committee has resolved itself. I write, consequently, in ignorance, and on mere supposition. But I know that of necessity, it will consist effectively, if not avowedly of landowners only. Its composition and character will be determined and limited as strictly by circumstances as they could by formal rule of constitution. Originating in Dublin, without any virtual constituency through the country to empower or support, formed by its own private act, not by public action, it will never in public estimation, be anything more than an association of landowners, and it will be practical wisdom to attempt no revolt against a public decision, and to assume no other character or functions than those which general opinion will have certainly assigned to it. Should it be able to establish and extend itself, a few individuals from other classes might doubtless be induced to join it-a few mercantile and professional men, tradesmen, and tenant-farmers; but never in sufficient number to enable it to assume the character, or exercise the functions of a National Council. Let it profess to be, what in fact, it is, an association of landed proprietors, and pretend to be nothing more. This will be its true and most effective policy. But no association of landowners, acting alone, can settle a single question of all those which are now fermenting in every house and every heart throughout the island. Be its objects what they may, the noblest or meanest, the greatest or pettiest, not one of them can be effected without the assent and aid of those who occupy the soil and inhabit the land, and who will continue to be occupiers and inhabitants in despite and defiance of open force or covert fraud, of avowed enemies or hollow friends.

If its founders, however, be honest, earnest, and capable, and should they succeed in obtaining the adhesion of any considerable number of the landed proprietors, the nascent association may be made to form one component part of a national council, of which the Commons of Ireland—tenant-farmers and trading classes—would constitute the other portion.

As the most ready and feasible mode that occurs to me of organizing such Council, I beg to present, for consideration and correction, the hasty draft of plan which is stated in the following suggestions :—

I. That the Reproductive Committee do immediately constitute itself into an association of landowners, to be composed exclusively of Irish landed proprietors.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

2. That should such proposed association of landowners become too numerous to act as a deliberate assembly, it shall appoint a managing committee of one or two hundred members, empowered and instructed to assume the office of standing, and speaking, and acting, as the accredited organ of the landed proprietors of Ireland.

3. That a tenant-league or association of tenantfarmers be formed with as little delay as possible, in each of the several counties of Ireland.

4. That every such county league of tenant-farmers shall appoint a managing committee of not less than *five* nor more than *twelve* members—the number to be fixed according to the extent and population of the county.

5. That a trade society for the revival and promotion of Irish manufacture be established in each of the thirty most populous cities and towns of the kingdom.

6. That every such trade society shall appoint a secretary, or a president and secretary, or a managing committee, of from three to eight members, according to the greater or smaller population of the town or city.

7. That these tenant-league committees, tradecommittees, and trade officers, either under special powers and instructions to that effect, if allowed by the Convention Act or otherwise, through the concurrence of accidental circumstanes, or other perfectly legal and moral contrivance, shall assemble together in Dublin, to consult and determine upon such questions affecting the interests of the tenant-farmers and trading classes of Ireland, as may be brought before them. and shall further be empowered (or permitted) to treat, confer, and enter into agreement with the landowners' association on all these several questions.

8. That those committees be further vested with full powers (or allowed full permission) to hold such conference with the landed proprietors, in whatever mode may be found most eligible and satisfactory and on such guarantees and securities as may be deemed sufficient.

This is a very hurried and imperfect sketch of my ideas on the mode in which I think a National Council might be constituted, such as the people of Ireland would acknowledge and accept in that character. The primary proceeding of forming the several tenantleagues and trade societies is the only essential portion of the plan. There are many modes in which the ulterior proceedings might be conducted without violating the Convention Act. If the society formed by the "Reproductive Committee" recommend and carry out this proceeding, or some analogous proceeding, they will have deserved well of their country, saved and strengthened their own class, and done a deed in history.

# LETTER TO JOHN MITCHEL.

#### TENAKILL, ABBEYLEIX,

## Tuesday, June 21st, '47.

DEAR SIR,-On receiving yours of Saturday, 19th, I determined to go at once to town without waiting for your answer to mine of Friday last, which I was aware had been posted too late for that evening's mail. I was four miles from home on my way to the coach office vesterday when a mounted servant overtook me with your letter of Sunday. On reading it I returned home: and if your opinions be those of the majority of the acting (I should perhaps say talking) members of the Council-as I take for granted they are—I scarcely know whether I can call, or consider myself any longer a member of the Confederation. Indeed I have little doubt that you go farther with me than the great majority. At least I had more dependence on you than on any other of the numberalways excepting Devin Reilly. But perhaps I was wrong.

I know them and you by speeches and writing only. But men may speak and write forcibly, and yet act very feebly, and be very competent to criticise, yet utterly incompetent to construct. Ireland's greatest and last opportunity was in your hands—a revolution that would have put your own names in the blaze of the sun for ever was in your hands; you have flung it away as the cock flung the diamond, useless to him, as the crisis was to you. Vain to him the flash of the gem he could not polish; vain to you were the lightnings of heaven and the meteors of earth which you could or would not kindle and guide.

Three letters of mine were published. It was the second, not the third I said was published in smothering silence.

The sentence cut out of my last was "formed by and out of the same body which had produced the 'Irish Party' formed at a private and close meeting, without public requisition, consultation, or concurrence,"—was this a misstatement of fact? No matter.

I never recognised the landowners as an element, or as part and portion of the people. I recognised them as "aliens and enemies" whom I solicited to join with and *become* a part of us, and of a new Irish nation—as a "foreign garrison" whom I required to become a "national guard" before it should be too late. It is now too late. In two months, at least, we might appeal in vain. Let us appeal to them, if you will, during those next two months; but let us appeal by the only argument they can understand the argument of *acts*—the argument of PREPARATION.

In reply to the first letter I ever received from you (March 9) I wrote to you a hurried note in which I did not precisely state my views and principles. But I stated the main principle to Mr. D'Arcy McGee in a letter of the same date, which I requested him to hand you. Did he do so? I suppose not. At least you appear to be under mistakes as to my objects which I cannot permit you to retain. I have nothing to do with the landlord—and—tenant question, as understood. The question of the tenure by which the actual cultivator of the soil should hold his land is

44

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

...........

one for an Irish Parliament. My object is to repeal the Conquest-not any part or portion but the whole and entire conquest of seven hundred yearsa thing much more easily done than to repeal the Union. That the absolute (allodial) ownership of the lands of Ireland is vested of right in the people of Ireland-that they, and none but they, are the first landowners and lords paramount as well as the lawmakers of this island-that all titles to land are invalid not conferred or confirmed by them-and that no man has a right to hold one foot of Irish soil otherwise than by grant of tenancy and fee from them, and under such conditions as they may annex of suit and service, faith and fealty, etc., these are my principles. To such landowners as could be brought to recognise this right of the Irish people, and to swear allegiance to this island-Queen, I would grant new titles. Those who might refuse should cease to be landowners or quit this land, and their lands be vested in the occupying tenants. The mode of argument to be employed in convincing the landlords of the truth of the principle I have stated, and of persuading them to recognise it (and Independence) is very simple. To show them we are owners de jure, we have only to prove we are owners de facto. Easily done. Our means, whether of moral agitation, military force, or moral insurrection, are impotent against the English Government, which is beyond our reach; but resistless against the English garrison who stand here, scattered and isolated, girdled round by a mighty people, whom their leaders alone have turned into mean slaves and sneaking beggars. Should the landlords be blind to the argument I have mentioned,

#### NATION LETTERS

and England come to the relief and rescue of her garrison, then of course there should be resistance and defence, just of the kind required to drill and discipline, as the hare-course, short and sharp, trains and tempers and hardens the blood-hunter. The question of time is everything. I want a prepared, organised, and resistless revolution. You would only have an unprepared, disorderly and vile jac querie. You plead against locking the stable door until the horse has been stolen, or is about to be stolen. But the lock and key have yet to be forged You won't help to forge them. But you may possibly overtake us and help to see the door locked by others Good. You throw away the elections too, for on no other argument than mine will you get a frieze coat to vote for you. Ireland was ready to strip for battle, and none flinched but the fire-eaters. I respectfully decline to be proposed as member of the "Irish Council." You won't help to form tenantleagues? as a support or a check. I want that one guarantee of the good faith of the Confederation. Under assurance of support from them I made use in my published letters of what must now appear as cowardly threats, never meant to be fulfilled. I now understand why and how Ireland is a slave. Show this to Mr. Duffy, and to Mr. D'Arcy McGee, or to anyone else at your own discretion. A jew months' law for the English garrison is all Mr. Duffy requires. Egad !---Mr. Duffy was bred a townsman! A few months-and the star of Ireland has gone down for ever. Three-fourths of the tenant-farmers of this county are served with ejectment notices, and this year the bailiff follows in the track of the reaper. The corn will be seized in the sickle.—A few months !—

42

G

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

Who, what, and where is Devin Reilly? He made two speeches at the Confederation, which is all I know of him. If the man be equal to the speeches not always the case—he ought to be the foremost man in the Confederation. As this may be possibly my last letter to you, I conclude it with some pain and regret.

Yours truly,

JAMES F. LALOR.

John Mitchel, Esq.

## TENANT RIGHT MEETING IN TIPPERARY.

Mr. James F. Lalor was appointed Secretary to the meeting, and briefly explained the objects for which it was called. He said the principal object for which it was called was to establish in Tipperary the tenant right of Ulster-(cheers)-a right which declared that any man who obtained possession of land as occupying tenant should be understood as having the perpetual possession of it so long as he paid the rent—that the rent was not to be fixed by competition for the land by the landlord or agent, or be regulated by the highest bidding, but by the general standard of the country, or by common consent or by arbitration, or by some other equally fair and equable mode. So long as the rent was paid the tenant right secured the tenant against losing possession of his holding. He might sell it but could not otherwise lose it while he paid his rent. Ejectments were unknown where the tenant right was established—the landlord could not make an entry; but if the rent fell into arrear he might sell the possession; or tenant right, in the same way as his own estate might be sold for debt. The tenant right gave the tenant as clear a right to the possession of his holding, while he met his engagement, as the landlord to the fee. The object then of the meeting was to establish the tenant right of Ulster in Tipperary, to secure the tenant perpetuity in the land and enable him to live as the tenant in Ulster lives. He would not detain the meeting longer than with these few observations, but would read to them some resolutions and allow others to speak.

## LALOR'S RESOLUTIONS.

"That of natural right, on the grant of God, the soil of Ireland belongs to the people of Ireland, who have therefore a clear vested right of property

48

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

in the soil to the extent of full, comfortable, and secure subsistence therefrom, which never could or can be parted with, pass, or perish; and which no power on earth, nor any length of adverse possession can take away, annul, bar, or diminish.

"That the people of Ireland have for ages been deprived of their natural right of property in their own soil, that their right has been in practical effect utterly defeated and diverted, and that it now requires to be asserted, enforced and established.

"That the claim of the occupying tenant of the soil to a full and sufficient subsistence out of the crops they have raised, and to a sufficiency of seed for next year's crops, is prior and superior to every other claim whatsoever.

"That the subsistence of the people of Ireland is in danger, and requires to be defended, protected, and secured.

"That in defence of our rights of life and property and security of subsistence, we do hereby resolve to constitute ourselves into a public league or association, under the name of the Tipperary Tenant League."

The sixth resolution :--

"That the sole and only title that can be pleaded to any right of private property in the substance of the soil is merely and altogether conventional, and in order to be valid must be founded on common consent and agreement—be created by compact, and conferred or confirmed by the will and grant of the people, as defined or declared in the form of positive and precise laws; and as it is thus created by the law, the law, therefore, may regulate, restrain, limit or qualify it." NATION LETTERS

The eighth resolution :--

"That the tenant right above referred to is as follows—that is to say, that any person or parties now having or holding the actual possession or occupation of any portion of the soil of this county, shall be deemed and taken to have a permanent and perpetual possession of the same, for and during so long as he shall continue to pay such rent as shall be fixed and determined by the adjudication and award of sworn arbitrators, or other fairly constituted and impartial tribunal, such adjudication and award to be made and given on such principles and in such mode as may hereafter be determined by convention and agreement between the landlord and occupier."

Other resolutions :---

"That the landlords of this country are hereby requested and called on to subscribe to and recognise the tenant right as stated in the terms of the previous resolutions, and that all lawful means be used to induce them to do so.

"That tenant farmers and people throughout the several counties of Ireland, are hereby called on to adopt the declaration of tenant right contained in the foregoing resolution, and join in covenant and agreement with us in asserting and establishing by force of public opinion the right and custom therein stated, as the standing law of tenure in Ireland.

"That provision be made for organising the league now established into divisional or parochial committees."

MR. LALOR—You see we did not come here to make speeches, but to do business. There should be a general committee of management to give effect to the resolutions, and a fund formed to be placed at

# JAMES FINTAN LALOR

the disposal of the committee. But the very first thing will be for the committee to endeavour to gain the assent of the landlords, for without their assent the object of the whole will fall to the ground."

At this point a certain Mr. William Connor claimed permission to address the meeting. He introduced himself as a worker on behalf of the farmers in other counties, and stated that he had come from Dublin to attend the meeting. In a long and spirited address he dwelt on the relative positions of landlord and tenant, arguing that the trump card held by the latter was the unfair competition, from which sprang the two great grievances—exhorbitant rents, and insecurity of tenure. This summed up the whole question, he said, and the two remedies he proposed were (I) a fair valuation of the land; (2) a perpetuity to the tenant in his farm. He objected to Mr. Lalor's resolutions on the ground of their dubious wording.

As the resolutions had been carried, Lalor interposed with an appeal to the Chairman. Hereupon a lively argument ensued, Connor insisting on continuing his speech, Lalor denying his claim to take up the time of the meeting by lengthy harangues. Connor made use of the phrase : "The tenant right is to a great extent a delusion." To this the platform objected, and Connor was not permitted to proceed with his speech. Before he was silenced Connor made a personal attack on Lalor, saying that he and his father had oppressed " their tenantry" (though as a matter of fact they had no tenants) and refused to allow him (Connor) to organise meetings in their district. The Chairman then intervened and Connor retired,

The meeting closed, Lalor summing up as follows :— "We are determined to establish the tenant right of Ulster in this county by every means in our power by all constitutional means—to have effect from this day forward: and the several other counties of Ireland be called on to adopt similar resolutions that a general and powerful effort be made to secure the tenant in the possession of his holding. The landlords should be requested to give their assent to the tenant right, without which the tenant would never be satisfied or the country tranquil. It will be of importance to take measures for the immediate organisation of the various divisional committees, to give effect to the resolutions passed this day. As a fund to defray expenses will also be essential, I move that a fund be raised by voluntary subscriptions."

(The motion was adopted.)

## MR. LALOR'S LETTER.

## To the Editor of the "IRISH FELON."

DEAR SIR,—In assenting to aid in the formation and conduct of a journal intended to fill the place and take up the mission of *The United Irishman*, I think it desirable to make a short statement of the principles and conditions, public and personal on which alone I would desire to be accepted as a partner in this undertaking. I think there is none of them to which you will object or demur, and that I may already consider them as articles of agreement. There are some of them which may possibly strike you at first as admitting question, or requiring to be qualified; but I am convinced you will find our views to be essentially the same, although perhaps put into a different dialect and a different form of expression.

And in the first place and prior to everything else I feel bound to state that I join you on the clear understanding that I am engaging, not in a mercantile concern, nor in any private speculation or enterprise whatever, but in a political confederacy for a great public purpose. Money must not be admitted among our objects or motives; and no money must be made by those, or any of those concerned in the conduct of this journal. You and I, and each and all of us must determine to leave this office as poor as we enter it. This condition is more important than may appear on first view; and I believe it absolutely requisite to make, and insist on it as a principle of action. You may not, and indeed cannot be aware of all its necessity, nor of many of the motives and grounds on which I desire to have it entered as an article of agreement between ourselves, and between us and the public. In a letter intended for publication (if you see fit) I do not for the present think proper to give any full statement; but in private I feel assured that I shall be able to satisfy your mind on this matter.

To establish an ordinary newspaper, on the common motive of vesting a capital to advantage, is doubtless quite legitimate. But to found such a journal as The Felon, on the views which you and I entertain, for the mere purpose, in whole or in part of making a fortune, or making a farthing, would be a felon's crime indeed, deserving no hero's doom, lamented death, or honoured exile, but death on the scaffold amid the scoff and scorn of the world. For years we have seen men in Ireland alternately trading on the government, and trading on the country, and making money by both; and you do not imagine perhaps, to what a degree the public mind has been affected with a feeling of suspicion by the circumstance-a feeling deepened, extended, and justified by all we see or know ourselves. For indeed the craving to get money-the niggard reluctance to give money-the coward fear of losing or laying out money -is the bad or coarse point that is most apparent in the character of all ranks and classes of our people; and I often fear it argues an utter absence of heroism from our national temperament, and of all the romantic passions, whether public or private. In other countries men marry for love ; in Ireland they marry for money. Elsewhere they serve their country for their country's thanks or their country's tears-here

they do it for their country's money. At this very time when Ireland, to all appearance, is stripping for her last struggle, on this side of ages, there are I am convinced many people among the middle class who refuse to fall into the national march, or countenance the national movement, merely from the hope-in most cases as vain as it is vile-of obtaining some petty government place; or from the fear of losing some beggarly employment or emolument; and I know myself, in this county many and many a sturdy and comfortable farmer who refuses to furnish himself with a pike, merely and solely because it would cost him two shillings. For ourselves-I say nothing of others-let us aim at higher and better rewards than mere money rewards. Better and higher rewards has Ireland in her hands. If we succeed we shall obtain these; and if we do not succeed we shall deserve none. In cases like this the greatest crime men can commit is the crime of failure. I am convinced it has become essential to our own fame and our effectiveness-to the success of our cause and the character of our country to keep clear and secure ourselves from the suspicion that our only object may be nothing more than a long and lucrative agitation. The Confederation pledged its members to accept no office, or place of profit, from an English government. That pledge was efficient, perhaps for its own professed purpose, but not for othersfor an "agitation" has places and profits of its own to bestow. Let them say of us whatever else they will-let them call us felons, and treat us as such, but let them not at least have the power to call us swindlers. We may never be famous : let us not become infamous. For the proprietors of this paper, let their capital be

replaced, but nothing more. For the conductors and contributors, let their entire expenses be defrayed, if you will, on the most liberal estimate, but nothing more. If any surplus remains, large or little, it is required in support and aid of our general objects, and to that purpose I am clearly of opinion it ought to be devoted. It is perfectly plain to me that a newspaper cannot of itself achieve those objects, any more than a battery can carry a camp or a fortress. A public journal is, indeed, indispensable; but it is chiefly in order to cover and protect other operations, and those operations must be paid for. For they will not pay for themselves. A public fund is wanteda large one is wanted—it is wanted immediately ; and we have no present mode of forming one, except of throwing into it the whole surplus profits of the Felon.

But some of us may have families—we may perish in this enterprise—and what of them? Leave them to God and to Ireland; or if you fear to trust either, then stay at home and let others do the work.

For these, and other still more important reasons, needless to be stated as yet, I certainly could have wished that this journal had been established on a subscribed capital, and the effective ownership vested in a joint stock company of, say, eight hundred or a thousand proprietors. What is there to hinder that this arrangement should be made even now? It would contain securities and create powers which no other could offer or pretend to. There are, indeed, some practical difficulties in the way; but they might easily, I think, be overcome. Whether any such arrangement be adopted or not, I believe, however, that I am fully warranted in desiring—and I think our own

\_\_\_\_\_\_

true interest and honour concur in demanding that the *Felon* office shall not be a commercial establishment, but organised and animated as a great political association. And, for my own part, I enter it with the hope and determination to make it an armed post, a fortress for freedom, to be perhaps taken and retaken again, and yet again; but never to surrender, nor stoop its flag, until that flag shall float above a liberated nation.

Without agreement as to our objects we cannot agree on the course we should follow. It is requisite the paper should have but one object and that the public should understand what that object is. Mine is not to repeal the Union, nor restore Eighty-two. This is not the year '82; this is the year '48. For Repeal I never went into "Agitation" and will not go into insurrection. On that question I refuse to arm, or to act in any mode-and the country refuses. O'Connell made no mistake when he pronounced it to be not worth the price of one drop of blood; and for myself, I regret it was not left in the hands of Conciliation Hall whose lawful property it was and is. Moral force, and Repeal, the means and the purpose, were just fitted to each other, Arcades ambo, balmy Arcadians both. When the means were limited it was only proper and necessary to limit the purpose. When the means were enlarged, the purpose ought to have been enlarged also. Repeal in its vulgar meaning, I look on as utterly impracticable by any mode of action whatever, and the constitution of '82 as absurd, worthless, and worse than worthless. The English government will never concede or surrender to any species of moral force whatsoever; and the countrypeasantry will never arm and fight for it-neither

will I. If I am to stake life and fame it must assuredly be for something better and greater, more likely to last, more likely to succeed, and better worth success. And a stronger passion, a higher purpose, a nobler and more needful enterprise is fermenting in the hearts of the people. A mightier question moves Ireland today than that of merely repealing the Act of Union. Not the constitution that Tone died to abolish, but the constitution that Tone died to obtain, independence, full and absolute independence, for this island, and for every man within this island. Into no movement that would leave an enemy's garrison in possession of all our lands, masters of our liberties, our lives and all our means of life and happiness-into no such movement will a single man of the greycoats enter with an armed band, whatever the town population may do. On a wider fighting field, with stronger positions and greater resources than are afforded by the paltry question of Repeal, must we close for our final struggle with England, or sink and surrender. Ireland her own-Ireland her own, and all therein, from the sod to the sky. The soil of Ireland for the people of Ireland, to have and to hold from God alone who gave it-to have and to hold to them and their heirs for ever, without suit or service, faith or fealty, rent or render, to any power under Heaven. From a worse bondage than the bondage of any foreign government, from a dominion more grievous and grinding than the dominion of England in its worst days--from the cruellest tyranny that ever yet laid its vulture clutch on the body and soul of a country, from the robber rights and robber rule that have turned us into slaves and beggars in the land that God gave us for ours .- Deliverance, oh Lord; De-

# JAMES FINTAN LALOR

liverance or Death-Deliverance, or this island a desert! This is the one prayer, and terrible need, and real passion of Ireland to-day, as it has been for ages. Now, at last it begins to shape into defined and desperate purpose; and into it all smaller and meaner purposes must settle and merge. It might have been kept in abeyance, and away from the sight of the sunaye, till this old native race had been finally conquered out and extinguished sub silentio, without noise or notice. But once propounded and proclaimed as a principle, not in the dusk of remote country districts, but loudly and proudly, in the tribunes of the capital, it must now be accepted and declared, as the first great Article of Association in the National Covenant of organised defence and armed resistance; as the principle to take ground, and stand and fight upon. When a greater and more ennobling enterprise is on foot, every inferior and feebler project or proceeding will soon be left in the hands of old women, of dastards, impostors, swindlers, and imbeciles. All the strength and manhood of the island-all the courage, energies, and ambition-all the passions, heroism, and chivalry-all the strong men, and strong minds-all those things that make revolutions will quickly desert it, and throw themselves into the greater movement, throng into the larger and loftier undertaking and flock round the banner that flies nearest the sky. There go the young and the gallant, the gifted, and the daring, and there too go the wise. For wisdom knows that in national action littleness is more fatal than the wildest rashness; that greatness of object is essential to greatness of effort, strength, and success; that a revolution ought never to take its stand on low or narrow ground, but seize on the broadest and highest ground it can lay hands on ; and that a petty enterprise seldom succeeds. Had America aimed or declared for less than independence she would probably have failed, and been a fettered slave to-day.

Not to repeal the Union, then, but to repeal the Conquest-not to disturb or dismantle the empire, but to abolish it forever-not to fall back on '82 but act up to '48-not to resume or restore an old constitution, but to found a new nation, and raise up a free people, and strong as well as free, and secure as well as strong, based on a peasantry rooted like rocks in the soil of the land-this is my object, as I hope it is yours; and this, you may be assured, is the easier, as it is the nobler and more pressing enterprise. For Repeal, all the moral means at our disposal have been used, abused, and abandoned. All the military means it can command will fail as utterly. Compare the two questions. Repeal would require a national organization; a central representative authority, formally convened, formally elected; a regular army, a regulated war of concerted action, and combined movement. When shall we have them? Where is your National Council of Three Hundred? Where is your National Guard of Three Hundred Thousand? On Repeal, Ireland, of necessity, should resolve and act by the kingdom, all together, linked and led; and if beaten in the kingdom there would be nothing to fall back upon. She could not possibly act by parishes. To club and arm would not be enough, or rather it would be nothing; and for Repeal alone Ireland will neither club nor arm. The towns only will do so. A Repeal-war would probably be the fight and defeat of a single field-day; or if protracted, it would be a mere

58

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

game of chess—and England, be assured, would beat you in the game of chess. On the other question all circumstances differ, as I could easily show you But I have gone into this portion of the subject prematurely and unawares, and here I stop—being reluctant besides to trespass too long on the time of her Majesty's legal and military advisers.

I would regret much to have my meaning, in any degree, misconceived. I do not desire, by any means, to depreciate the value and importance of Repeal, in the valid and vigorous sense of the term, but only in its vulgar acceptation. I do not want to make the tenure question the sole or main topic or purpose of the Felon, or to make Repeal only secondary and subservient. I do not wish-far from it-to consider the two questions as antagonistic or distinct. My wish is to combine and cement the two into one; and so, perfect, and reinforce, and carry both. I, too, want to bring about an alliance and "combination of classes "-an alliance more wanted and better worth, more feasible effective and honourable, than any treasonable alliance with the enemy's garrison, based on the surrender and sacrifice of the rights and lives of the Irish people. I want to ally the town and country. Repeal is the question of the town population; the land tenure question is that of the country peasantry; both combined, taking each in its full extent and efficacy, form the question of Ireland-her question for the battle-day.

The principle I state, and mean to stand upon, is this, that the entire ownership of Ireland, moral and material, up to the sun, and down to the centre, is vested of right in the people of Ireland; that they, and none but they, are the land-owners and law-

makers of this island; that all laws are null and void not made by them; and all titles to land invalid not conferred and confirmed by them; and that this full right of ownership may and ought to be asserted and enforced by any and all means which God has put in the power of man. In other, if not plainer words, I hold and maintain that the entire soil of a country belongs of right to the people of that country, and is the rightful property not of any one class, but of the nation at large, in full effective possession, to let to whom they will on whatever tenures, terms, rents, services, and conditions they will; one condition, however, being unavoidable, and essential, the condition that the tenant shall bear full, true, and undivided fealty, and allegiance to the nation, and the laws of the nation whose lands he holds, and own no allegiance whatsoever to any other prince, power, or people, or any obligation of obedience or respect to their will, orders, or laws. I hold further, and firmly believe, that the enjoyment by the people of this right, of first ownership of the soil, is essential to the vigour and vitality of all other rights; to their validity, efficacy, and value; to their secure possession and safe exercise. For let no people deceive themselves, or be deceived by the words, and colours, and phrases, and forms, of a mock freedom, by constitutions, and charters and articles, and franchises. These things are paper and parchment, waste and worthless. Let laws and institutions say what they will, this fact will be stronger than all laws, and prevail against themthe fact that those who own your land will make your laws, and command your liberties, and your lives. But this is tyranny and slavery-tyranny in its widest scope, and worst shape; slavery of body and soul from

60

63

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

the cradle to the coffin-slavery, with all its horrors, and with none of its physical comforts and security; even as it is in Ireland, where the whole community is made up of tyrants, slaves, and slave-drivers. A people whose lands and lives are thus in the keeping and custody of others, instead of in their own, are not in a position of common safety. The Irish famine of '46 is example and proof. The corn crops were sufficient to feed the island. But the landlords would have their rents in spite of famine, and in defiance of fever. They took the whole harvest and left hunger to those who raised it. Had the people of Ireland been the landlords of Ireland, not a single human creature would have died of hunger, nor the failure of the potato been considered a matter of any consequence.

This principle, then, that the property and possession of land, as well as the powers of legislation, belong of right to people who live in the land and under the law—do you assent to it in its full integrity, and to the present necessity of enforcing it? Your *reason* may assent, yet your *feelings* refuse and revolt—or those of others at least may do so. Mercy is for the merciful; and you may think it pity to oust and abolish the present noble race of land-owners, who have ever been pitiful and compassionate themselves.

What ! is your sympathy for a class so great, and your sympathy for a whole people so small. For those same land-owners are now treading out the very life and existence of an entire people, and trampling down the liberties and hopes of this island for ever. It is a mere question between a people and a class—between a people of eight millions and a class of eight thousand.

They or we must quit this island. It is a people to be saved or lost—it is the island to be kept or surrendered. They have served us with a general writ of ejectment Wherefore, I say, let them get a notice to quit at once; or we shall oust possession under the law of nature. There are men who claim protection for them, and for all their tyrannous rights and powers, being as "one class of the Irish people." I deny the claim. They form no class of the Irish people, or of any other people. Strangers they are in this land they call theirsstrangers here and strangers everywhere, owning no country and owned by none; rejecting Ireland, and rejected by England; tyrants to this island, and slaves to another; here they stand hating and hatedtheir hand ever against us, as ours against them, an outcast and ruffianly horde, alone in the world, and alone in its history, a class by themselves. They do not now, and never did belong to this island. Tyrants and traitors have they ever been to us and ours since first they set foot on our soil. Their crime it is and not England's that Ireland stands where she does to-day-or rather it is our own that have borne them so long. Were they a class of the Irish people the Union could be repealed without a life lost. Had they been a class of the Irish people that Union would have never been. But for them we would now be free, prosperous and happy. Until they be removed no people can ever take root, grow up and flourish here. The question between them and us must sooner or later have been brought to a deadly issue. For heaven's sake, and for Ireland's let us settle it now, and not leave it to our children to settle. Indeed it must be settled now-for it is plain to any ordinary sight that they or we are doomed. A cry has gone

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

up to heaven for the living and the dead—to save the living, and avenge the dead.

There are, however, many landlords perhaps, and certainly a few, not fairly chargeable with the crimes of their order; and you may think it hard they should lose their lands. But recollect, the principle I assert would make Ireland *in fact* as she is of *right*, the mistress and queen of all those lands; that she, poor lady, had ever a soft and grateful disposition; and that she may, if she please, in reward of allegiance, confer new titles, or confirm the old. Let us crown her a queen ; and then let her do with her lands as a queen may do.

In the case of any existing interest, of what nature soever, I feel assured that no question but one would need to be answered. Does the owner of that interest assent to swear allegiance to the people of Ireland, and to hold in fee from the Irish nation? If the assent may be assured he will suffer no loss. No eventual or permanent loss, I mean; for some temporary loss he must assuredly suffer. But such loss would be incidental and inevitable to any armed insurrection whatever, no matter on what principle the right of resistance would be resorted to. If he refuse-then I say away with him-out of this land with him-himself and all his robber rights, and all the things himself and his rights have brought into our island-blood, and tears, and famine, and the fever that goes with famine. Between the relative merits and importance of the two rights, the people's right to the land, and their right to legislation, I do not mean or wish to institute any comparison. I am far indeed from desirous to put the two rights in competition, or contrast, for I consider each alike as the natural complement of the other, necessary to its theoretical completeness, and practical efficacy. But, considering them for a moment as distinct, I do mean to assert this—that the land question contains, and the legislative question does *not* contain, the materials from which victory is manufactured; and that, therefore, if we be truly in earnest and determined on success, it is on the former question, and not on the latter that we must take our stand, fling out our banner, and hurl down to England our gage of battle. Victory follows that banner alone, that and no other. This island is ours, and have it we will, if the leaders be true to the people, and the people be true to themselves.

The rights of property may be pleaded. No one has more respect for the real rights of property than I have ; but I do not class among them the robber's right by which the lands of this country are now held in fee for the British crown. I acknowledge no right of property in a small class which goes to abrogate the rights of a numerous people. I acknowledge no right of property in eight thousand persons, be they noble or ignoble, which takes away all rights of property, security, independence, and existence itself, from a population of eight millions, and stands in bar to all the political rights of the island, and all the social rights of its inhabitants. I acknowledge no right of property which takes away the food of millions, and gives them a famine—which denies to the peasant the right of a home, and concedes, in exchange, the right of a workhouse. I deny and challenge all such rights, howsoever founded or enforced. I challenge them, as founded only on the code of the brigand, and enforced only by the sanction of the hangman.

64

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

Against them I assert the true and indefeasible right of property—the right of our people to live in this land and possess it—to live in it in security, comfort and independence, and to live in it by their own labour, on their own land, as God and nature intended them to do. Against them I shall array, if I can, all the forces that yet remain in this island. And against them I am determined to make war—to their destruction or my own.

These are my principles and views. I shall have other opportunities to develop and defend them. I have some few other requisitions to make, but I choose to defer them for other reasons besides want of time and space. Our first business, before we can advance a step, is to fix our own footing and make good our position. That once done, this contest must if possible, be brought to a speedy close.

# TO THE CONFEDERATE AND REPEAL CLUBS IN IRELAND.

# (The "IRISH FELON," No. 2.)

The paper that follows was written in the last week of January, 1847—just one year and five months ago—and was forwarded to one of the leading members of the Confederation, for private circulation among the council of that body. I now address it to you just as it was written, except that I have made one or two verbal alterations, and omitted one sentence. It might possibly be better to revise and re-write it altogether, in order to adapt it more closely to the change of date, and to present conditions. But even were I to do this there would be little to alter; and I have reasons for preferring to publish it just as it stands.

It requires to be recollected that I was addressing a particular and picked audience, and was consequently entitled to *assume* things which it would be necessary to *prove* in addressing the general public. I assume, for example, that "moral means" alone are incompetent to achieve Repeal, because I believed that this was admitted by those I wrote for.

I see no reason to prevent me mentioning that in about a month from the date and delivery of my paper, I received a letter from John Mitchel, stating that on perusal and consideration of its contents, he had fully adopted my views, and that he meant to act on them so soon as occasion should fit and serve.

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

It is scarcely necessary to state that the measure I wish to have substituted for a simple Repeal of the Union was—absolute independence, with abolition of the tenures by which the lands of this country are now holden in fee for the British crown.

It will be seen that the present paper was to have been followed by a second. That second was written; but it assumed the form of a private correspondence, addresses to several members of the Confederation, and to others—the greater portion of it to John Mitchel, between whom and myself there was from the first an *almost* perfect agreement. May his fetters weigh light, and his spirit live among us !

## January 25, 1847.

In putting on paper the following ideas on the course of action which the Irish Confederation ought to take-as I am convinced it must soon and speedily fix on that course, in some more determinate shape and precise terms than it has yet thought fit to adopt-I wish it to be understood and apparent that I do not mean, and have not time to draw out anything that can purport to be a perfect and complete statement of my views on the subject, and still less to exhibit in detail the principles on which they are based, or the argument in support of them. My sole wish or intention is to suggest. Any attempt to convert or convince would be useless. Individuals are never converted; they must convert themselves. Men are moved only in masses; and it is easier to convert a million of men than a single man. But neither is the attempt necessary. To you, or any other of those for whom this paper in intended, the end of the clue line is enough. You will be able, if you chose. to follow

it out yourself. To lead on link by link would be needless and absurd.

To any one who considers their speeches, resolutions, and proceedings, it will, I think, appear manifest and marked, as it does to me, that the "seceders" have gone into organised action upon mere vague impulse and general feeling; with their objects undefined, their principles unsettled, their course unmarked; without any determinate plan, or consequently any fixed purpose; for no purpose can long remain fixed, but must be ever veering and wavering, without a plan to guide, control, and sustain it; and a purpose without a plan to confine and confirm it, is no purpose at all. Such a plan, too, is wanting as a warrant and guarantee, to yourselves and to others that your object is feasible, and your means adequate; that you have gauged your enterprise, and measured your means; and that the work you call upon us to do will not be wasted. There are few worse things, even in the ethic: or economy of private life, than labour mis-directed; but what should be said of those who would, for want of a full and exact survey and calculation, mislead, and exhaust the labour and means and strength of a people. It is not principles alone, however pure, nor purposes the highest and noblest, that ever command success; and few will be willing to go into a ship without chart or compass, even though it steer its course by the stars of heaven.

Assuming therefore, as I have a clear right to assume, that the leading members of the Confederation, or a certain number of them, cannot long defer coming to some agreement among themselves as to what their objects are to be; and that some surer and better defined plan for attaining those objects

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

must be laid down and adopted than "sixty members reading-rooms, and rose-water,"—I proceed to submit the following considerations :—

- I. Repeal, as commonly understood, taken by itself and STANDING ALONE, on its own merits and means, is an impracticable absurdity. Impracticable, because it cannot be effected except by means which would dissolve the connexion altogether, any means that can be used being either too feeble or too strong—either inadequate or incompatible. Absurd, because both common sense and history concur in telling us that the resulting arrangement could not possibly endure or be endured.
- 2. It is *impracticable*. It does not contain, nor can it command the means of possible success. It has no force to call into action on which it can rely, whether moral, military, or mixed. Its *moral* means acting in the mode admitted by the constitution, and within the limits allowed by law, are wholly incompetent; and such as they are in Mr. O'Connell's possession, to be used, abused, or not used at all.
- 3. That those means are incompetent, I could easily show; but surely it is unnecessary. The fact of incompetency will, I think, at once be recognised; or if any one denies it, I require of him to state, in positive and precise terms, the mode of action in which those means can be made effective. The complete and ridiculous failure of every such attempt ought to be evidence sufficient on this point. The fact briefly stated is this—that a "moral agitation" ex-

hausts its whole power—its power of influencing opinion, and of producing danger, damage, and inconvenience—it exhausts this power on the country in which it takes place. It was not England, but Ireland itself that suffered evil and injury by our "glorious agitations" and "gorgeous ethic experiments." The most powerful moral agitation that could be "got up" in Ireland would not act upon *London*. If "Emancipation" be quoted, I can prove the quotation false in application to the present case.

- 4. But it is no less certain that those means, whether efficient or impotent, are, in full effect, the property of Mr. O'Connell. What may possibly have been the hasty and premature protest of the seceders against the Repeal question, has forced him to adopt the policy of not giving it up in terms. I attach no blame to the seceders for this somewhat precipitate proceeding. But the effect is that Repeal, in its constitutional shape, remains still his private property, in full, effective possession, to manage or mismanage to make much or little of, to sell or suspend, surrender or exchange as best he can. The mass of the people can neither estimate nor understand the points in dispute, nor the reasons for secession; and can never be brought to join what could so easily be represented as an antagonistic and hostile movement. If any member of council doubts this opinion, I challenge him to test it.
- 5. The use of military means, if you had them, would be more than adequate. Those means

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

would do more than repeal the Union; nor could they be limited to any such result. This might be no objection; and I mention the fact here, not as an objection, but for another and different reason, which I need not state as yet. But in truth on this question you possess no such means nor can you command or create them; neither, if you had them, could you employ them with success.

6. You possess no military means. Repeal is not an armed man, but a naked beggar. You fail in finding the first and fundamental element of military force-you fail in finding men. The only martial population that Ireland possessesthe small farmers and labourers-will never wield a weapon in favour of Repeal. This might be enough to say; but the full and entire fact ought to be told, that you can never count again on the support of the country peasantry in any shape or degree, on the question of Repeal. Their interest in it was never ardent; nor was it native or spontaneous, but forced and fictitious. Such as it was, it is now extinct, and can never be re-created. The small farmers, more especially, are weary and heart-sick of Repeal, as well as of agitation—that agitation which has been called a bloodless one, but which to them was not bloodless. You have with you on Repeal-provided you can take them from Mr. O'Connell-the town population of three provinces, and a portion of that of Ulster. Such and more is the real amount of your force. This statement may be disagreeable, and disagreeable statements are not easily believed. But you may trust in its truth, and it requires to be made. No error could be more fatal than a false estimate of your force. But, be this true or false in reference to *moral* means, you can never make Repeal a *military* question. You are without an army—I need not ask where is your arsenal?

7. But even had you those means or if you could create them-if you had at command the whole military power of the people and the full means of a popular armament, I say you cannot use them to effect on the question of Repeal. To make it successful, your fight must be a *defensive* one. The force of England is entrenched and fortified. You must draw it out of position; break up its mass; break its trained line of march and manoeuvre, its equal step and serried array. You cannot organize, or train, or discipline your own force to any point of efficiency. You must therefore disorganize, and untrain, and undiscipline that of the enemy, and not alone must you unsoldier, you must unofficer it also; nullify its tactique and strategy, as well as its discipline; decompose the science and system of war, and resolve them into their first elements. You must make the hostile army a mob, as your own will be; force it to act on the offensive, and oblige it to undertake operations for which it was never constructed. Nothing of all this could you do on Repeal. A Repeal-war should, of necessity, be an aggressive one on your part. You must be the attacking party. On all the questions involved in Repeal, England is in occupation of the disputed points :

72

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

and you must assail them. You must send your forces against armed positions, marshal your men for a stricken field, and full in its front, meet England's might in unbroken mass on its ordered march. But further and finally, you must get time and licence for preparing, enlisting, organizing, drilling. A REPEAL,-war would have to be prepared in presence of the enemy. Need I point out to "Ulster on your flank ?"

Enough of this, and far more was needed. I doubt if a single man ever held the belief, *full* and *firm*, that Ireland could at any time be brought to buckle a belt and march out for Repeal. The tone and topics adopted by the Nation in '43 and '44 I never attributed to anything but this—that a "glorious agitation" affords no poetry," while insurrection does. It was the mere craving of genius for a magnificent subject, instead of a mean one.

- 8. There is yet another class of means and mode of force better founded in moral right, and more efficient in action, than either agitation or military insurrection. I can find no fit and defining name for it on the spur of the moment. Its theory may briefly be stated as founded on the principle of natural law—a principle beyond dispute, denial, or doubt :—
  - I That no man has any right to assume or claim any species of authority or jurisdiction whatsoever over any other man, against the will, or without the consent of that other.
  - II. That should he attempt to exercise such assumed authority over another man with-

out his consent, that other is not bound to obey.

III. And that, should he take proceedings for enforcing obedience, such proceeding may be lawfully, and ought to be, resisted by any and every means and mode of force whatsoever.

This is the rigid expression of the principle, in its first form; and this principle, so expressed, is the nucleus round which a nation gathers and grows. Enlarged into size and expanded into shape sufficient to give ground for a people to stand on, and to fit for operation, the principle I state is this—that every distinct community or nation of men is owner of itself; and can never of right be bound to submit to be governed by another people.

Its practical assertion forms the *third mode* of action which this country might have recourse to; and consists :--

- I. In refusal of obedience to usurped authority.
- II. In maintaining and defending such refusal of obedience.
- III. In resisting every attempt to exercise such usurped authority, and every proceeding adopted to enforce obedience.
- IV. In taking quiet and peaceable possession of all the rights, and powers of government, and in proceeding quietly to exercise them.
- V. In maintaining and defending the exercise of such rights and powers, should it be attacked.
- 9. I have just thought of a name for this system of means, and for want of a better, I may call

74

## JAMES FINTAN LALOR

it moral insurrection. The difference between it and true military insurrection amounts to nothing more in practical effect than the difference between the *defensive* and the aggressive use of physical force—a difference, however, which is often important, whether as regards moral right or mechanical efficacy.

10. As an instrument for effecting Repeal this class of means is liable to the fatal objection stated against the preceding class. The right of moral insufrection is worthless without a military force to sustain it, and unless you be prepared and willing to use that force. On the question of Repeal, you have no such force. That question is too far away from the hearts of the peasantry. They do not feel, and scarcely understand it. They may be brought to see its light, but never to feel its heat. Other circumstances, too, render the right not available in favour of Repeal. You never could organise such an insurrection on that question. The practical assertion of the right consists of two parts :---I. Abolition of British government.

II. Formation of a national one.

I. How would you proceed to accomplish the former? By a general refusal to obey the entire existing law? Impossible. You could not do this even mentally to your own satisfaction; much less could you do it in actual fact. Or by selecting, and seizing some one particular law to take your stand on, trample down, and nullify? What law? The law you select for assailing must have four requisites:—First, it must form no part of the moral code; second, it must be essential to government—a part of its substance not a mere accident—one the abrogation of which would be an abrogation of sovereignty; third, it must be one easily disobeyed; and fourth, difficult to enforce; in other words, a law that would *help* to repeal itself. There is none such to serve the purpose of Repeal. In Ireland, unluckily, there is no direct and general state-tax, payment of which might be refused and resisted.

II. The second component part of the system —formation of a national government—is rendered impossible by the fact that the owners of the soil are not on your side, and are not *Irish*, but English all, in blood and feeling.

r. If those men could now at length be brought to adopt and acknowledge Ireland as their mother-country, and to give you their adhesion and support, this latter mode of moral insurrection might be put in action with success. To try the experiment of inducing them to do so seems to be the present policy and forlorn hope of the Confederation and the Nation. I am quite willing to join in trying that experiment, PROVIDED it be based and conducted on the condition that the commons of Ireland, as well as its nobles, be consulted and cared for-that the land-owners will consent to take the land-holders into council-to admit them as portion of the "Irish party"-making of that "party" a great national league-and finally, to frame and subscribe terms of accommodation and amnesty for the past, and articles of agreement for the future, between themselves and the

8

76

,

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

tenants of the soil—one of those articles to be security of tenure in some effective shape or other, to the present occupiers of the land. On this basis, and on no other, would I be willing to try the experiment; but not to make it a "life's labour." Until the—day of—I am willing to try it—no longer.

- 12. But the success of that experiment is scarce to be hoped, especially now that the famine has been recognised as an "imperial calamity"—and the policy of the Confederation contains apparently no dernier resort—nor its proceedings any preparations for having recourse to it. The policy I wish, and mean to press on your attention, does contain such dernier resort; and the course of proceeding I would fain have the Confederation adopt contains, and comprises within it, the preparatory movement.
- 13. Repeal is not alone impracticable—as commonly understood, a simple repeal of the act of Unionit is an absurdity. The resulting connexion and state of things could neither endure nor be endured. Reflection tells us so-history agrees. Two independent co-equal, and sovereign legislatures, forming one state under one crown, is an arrangement repugnant alike to common sense and experience. Reason repudiates, and history never heard of it. Two wheels in the same machine, of equal power, independent, unconnected, and not under control of the same prime mover, would be a better arrangement. Inanimate wheels perchance, might work together but under the action of human interests and passion's separate sovereign legislatures never

could. The examples quoted in favour of such an arrangement are beneath being urged, and beneath being answered. Between Sweden and Norway it may possibly subsist, for aught I know; and it may continue to subsist, so long as the pulse of those countries continue to beat twenty per minute, and their blood remains at twenty degrees Fahrenheit. But when their atmosphere begins to beat up, and their blood to thaw and flow-when they shall have got a Times in Sweden, and a Nation in Norwaythen will the two wheels begin to clash and crash-stop the machine, or shiver it to atoms. It subsisted between England and Ireland for eighteen years. But eighteen years is less in the life-time of an nation than an hour in the life of man; and as well might you urge that two quarrelsome men, ill-affected to each other, might safely and reasonably enter into partnership for life, because they had made shift to pass an hour together, without knocking each other down. And this, too, was the very form of connection which TONE and LORD EDWARD died to repeal—as well as many others beside, whose epitaph has now at last been written, since the "better times" came; that epitaph being short, sublime, and consoling-and encouraging too-such as Ireland awards to her dead-" a gang of miscreants."

14. No mode of connexion between the kingdom could be solid, desirable, or lasting, except a *federal union*, such as that existing between New York and Pennsylvania. But a federal union must be the result of *negotiation*, and

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

agreement between the federating parties. I deny the competency of the Imperial (British) parliament to frame the act, or make the terms of federation. But in order to negotiate, the parties must stand on equal terms, and each be *independent* of the other. *Independence*, therefore full and entire independence, is a necessary preli inary to any permanent, or satisfactory arrangement with Britain. The steps are independence, negotiation, and federal union. What the terms should be I will not state—I dislike needless theorising.

- 15. Do not suppose I am insisting on useless forms. My object is very different. I think every one should familiarize his mind to the foregoing proceeding; for such is the proceeding, or one analogous, which must eventually be adopted. You will NEVER, in form of law, repeal the Act of Union. Never, while the sun sits in heaven, and the laws of nature are in action. Never, before night goes down on the last day.
- 16. But a declaration of independence is yet far away —at least in the distance that is measured by *events*, if not in the distance that is measured by *days*. I return to Repeal.
- 17. I sum up by again asserting that Repeal is destitute of all intrinsic force, and that *standing alone* on its own merits it does not furnish or command the means of success.
- 18. Indeed so plainly apparent is the impossibility of carrying Repeal, that its best and truest leaders are forced to throw themselves on a blind and helpless appeal to *futurity*. Broad daylight is on the present, and shows too clearly

there is neither means nor hope. The future is dark; and the dark is full of shadows which fancy may shape to what forms it will; and folly may take the forms to be real. But men may keep theorising and dreaming too long the building up or restoring an airy and ideal nationality, which time is wearing down, and wasting away, faster than they can work it up; and when they awake from their dreams they will find, I fear, that one other people has gone out of the world, as nations and races have gone ere now.

19. For a revolution is beginning which will leave Ireland without a people, unless it be met and conquered by a revolution which will leave it without landlords. The operation of this terrible famine will turn half the small tillage farmers-the sole strength and hope of this island-into mere labourers working for wages. The operation of the measure for repealing the corn-duties-rendered more sure and speedy by the sudden increase of demand for foreign corn-will leave landless the remainder. Heretofore, tillage land has been able to pay a higher rent than grass land. Henceforth it will be the reverse-more especially should the potato have finally failed or disappeared. The only bar that existed to the universal removal of the tillage farmer-the landlords' own personal interest in retaining him-is gone now. The result is no matter of doubt; and even if it were doubtful it ought to be provided against. Else will Ireland lose the only weapon she possesses that could conquer or cow the English government :

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

else, too, will she cease to have a people, for a population of pauperized labourers is not a people. I fear the English government, and that English garrison who say they own our soil, have a full view of their opportunity, and are determined to take advantage of it. We hear of nothing but plans and schemes to absorb surplus labour-the surplus labour that is in process of creation. The farmers are to pass over into the condition of labourers, and to be supported during their passage. Ireland is playing out her last game-and is she then, after all, to be checkmated, conquered, abolished? Not if her leaders and people be true and no cravens-true, not to any petty objects of personal distinction, or personal pelf-true, not to the foreign gang who call Ireland their own, and hold her lands by the robber's right-but true to their country and to themselves. One move will save checkmate. By one move alone you can meet and match-and by that same move vou can checkmate England. One move alone can save the stakes now-and among those stakes are the name and fame of you and yours. Men have given to you their faith, and hearts, and hopes, for your bold bearing and bold words. Even I myself am now trusting to you and to your help, instead of looking round for other help and another course. Are you ready to redeem your own words, pledged in the sunshine of summer weather-are you ready to redeem them now in this day of sadness and storm? and to justify our faith when we followed your leading? Are you up to the mark and work of this one hour, in lieu of the "life's labour" you promise? Strip then, and bid Ireland strip. Now or never, if indeed it be not too late. Oh, for one year of the bull-dog soul of England! Oh, for one year of Davis now! Whatever he may have thought in the autumn of '43, his voice would have now been louder than mine, to say what mine is too feeble to say. He would not have lain dreaming while Ireland was being trodden down, and her people conquered finally and for ever. For England is now actually winning her crowning and DECISIVE victory over us and ours for ages coming.

20. To prevent this result, and at the same time achieve independence-the only form in which Repeal can ever be carried-there is, I am convinced, but one way alone; and that is to link Repeal to some other question, like a railway carriage to the engine; some question possessing the intrinsic strength which Repeal wants; and strong enough to carry both itself and Repeal together-if any such question can be found. And such a question there is in the land. One ready prepared-ages have been preparing it. An engine ready-made-one too that will generate its own steam without cost or carea self-acting engine, if once the fire be kindled ; and the fuel to kindle-the sparks for kindling, are everywhere. Repeal had always to be dragged. This I speak of will carry itself-as the cannon ball carries itself down the hill.

What that other question is, I may possibly state, very briefly in another paper.

Yet if its name and general character be not already known, I have lost my labour.

# THE FIRST STEP-THE FELON CLUB.

(The "IRISH FELON" No. 2.)

The *Felon* has not been established for the mere purpose of speculating, or theorising, or teaching, but for that of acting, too. We feel the fact that it was the absence of anything like effective action that has made every Irish movement, hitherto, a ridiculous, as well as a melancholy, failure—a matter of mirth to our enemies, and of mockery to every people but ourselves.

A meeting that spreads over miles—an association that covers the island—a movement that continues through years—may each alike be just as much a mere speculator, theorist, and talker as any one individual man. And such has been the Irish Repeal movement up to this day. What was Conciliation Hall? What was Tara? A million of men stood there. What did they do? Speculated, spouted, cheered, resolved, declared, petitioned, and adjourned.

We have resolved, therefore, not alone to advise others to act, but to assist them, to the utmost measure of our means, and the best of our ability; and to adopt ourselves the most extensive and vigorous action which public support will enable us to take and maintain.

The amount of support which we could rely on as effective must be tendered us on a clear statement and full view of our principles, objects, and intended course of proceedings. All support otherwise obtained would, in effect, be obtained under false pretences; would be altogether unsound and fictitious; and would fail us when resorted to. We will not voluntarily deceive the public in the smallest particular, and we earnestly hope that no portion of the public will say or do aught that would tend to deceive us. We fear that public meetings in Ireland have not unfrequently applauded sentiments, and voted resolutions over night which they were utterly unprepared to act upon in the morning. But no people have a right to cheer men on to the foot of the breach, or the foot of the scaffold, and then desert them. Neither, on the other hand, ought any man leave the great mass, and general mind of the country, too far behind him. The very foremost banner should never be too far forward. In advance, but not miles nor months in advance—a stride before his regiment, a day before his people—this is a leader's place.

We hold the present existing government of this island, and all existing rights of property in our soil, to be mere usurpation and tyranny, and to be null and void as of moral effect; and our purpose is to abolish them utterly, or lose our lives in the attempt. The right founded on conquest and affirmed by laws made by the conquerors themselves, we regard as no other than the right of the robber on a larger scale. We owe no obedience to laws enacted by another nation without our assent; nor respect to assumed rights of property which are starving and exterminating our people. The present salvation and future security of this country require that the English government should at once be abolished, and the English garrison of landlords instantly expelled. Necessity demands it-the great necessity of self-defence. Selfdefence-self-protection-it is the first law of nature, the first duty of man. We refuse all appeal to the English Parliament to abolish itself. We will

85

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

not appeal against the robber to the robber's den, nor against the landlord to a Parliament of landlords. We advise the people to organize, and arm at once, in their own defence. We mean to assist them, and to set example by organizing and arming ourselves.

Such is a brief statement in outline of our principles and purposes. It leaves the principles undefended, the purposes undeveloped, all objections unanswered, all details unexplained—and details are frequently as important as principles or purposes; but these omissions are matter of mere necessity for the present.

It remains to state our intended course of proceeding, so far as may be necessary or expedient.

We have determined to set about creating, as speedily as possible a military organization, of which the *Felon* office shall be the centre and citadel.

As our first step of proceeding we are now founding a club which, it is intended, shall consist of one, two, or more persons, from each parish throughout Ireland, who are to be in immediate connexion and correspondence with this Office.

The number of members is not intended to be limited by any positive rule. But every person is not to be admissible. Certain qualifications will be required.

As a matter of common course no man will offer himself, or be accepted as a member, unless he holds our principles, and unless he be prepared to arm, and fight in support of them when called upon.

But this will not be enough, else a common labourer unable to read or write would be eligible. Such, however is not the principle on which we are forming this Club.

But every man is eligible and acceptable who

possesses any one talent or other, or any one qualification, which would fit and enable him to be of service in any civil, military, or literary capacity, and who is willing to devote that talent or qualification to the service of his country for the next six months. It is not the *common* labour, but the *skilled* labour, we desire to engage and organize in this club.

But *zeal* ranks with us as the very chief, and is, of itself alone, a sufficient qualification.

Anyone who is qualified to form, or lead, a company, or a section of pikemen—or who is willing to head a forlorn hope—or who is able to address a public meeting, or who is competent to write a paragraph fit to appear in print—any and every such person will be gladly received as a member, and welcomed as a friend and comrade.

In one word, our object is to gather together a number of men, competent to lead in cases of necessity, and a staff of contributors competent to take the conducting of this journal, if its present conductors should be removed by death or exile. We would be very desirous to name it the Felon Club, but several local clubs have already adopted that name. We think they might resign it in our favour.

A prospectus and set of rules are in preparation, which we may publish when completed.

But without waiting for such publication, we earnestly request every man in Ireland who desires to enrol himself with us as a colleague, and comrade, and as a member of the Felon Club, will signify his wish by letter, addressed to the provisional secretary, Mr. Joseph Brennan, *Felon* office, 12 Trinity Street.

Until we have obtained at least 500 members we are resolved not to make another step in advance. If

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

Ireland have not enough of confidence in us, or of heroism within herself, to furnish at least one member from each parish, we may just as well pull down our banner at once, furl it up in a corner, and fling it in the dust.

### WHAT MUST BE DONE.

# (The "IRISH FELON" NO. 3.)

The English government is determined, it seems, to conquer and carry this office by quick assault of wearing siege. Of the hundred banners hoisted against England here, how comes it that the Felonflag was the first to be assailed, and the second to be assailed? Is it deemed the most dangerous, or the most defenceless—the feeblest or the most formidable? The answer is at hand. The Castle and Conciliation, the Castle and the Confederation, the Castle and the one hundred Club-rooms, may stand together in this island; the Castle and THE FELON office cannot stand together—one or other must give in or go down.

The hand of the English government points to this journal as the foe which it hates and fears the most. If Ireland be desirous that it shall not sink, overborne by repeated assaults, there is one sure way to support and sustain it, and but one alone. I now do what I have deferred too long—I appeal to Ireland to come to the relief of her assailed and endangered fortress ; and I claim, for sake of her own success and safety, to have the fortress manned and provided—its garrison increased, its defences strengthened. I demand the immediate formation of a joint-stock company to take Mr. Martin's place, if he should be crushed, and to continue this journal under its present or some other name.

I am proposing no new or untried idea. The *Times*, I believe, has upwards of one hundred pro-

89

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

prietors, or had at one time; the Siècle (French paper) has some thousand owners. In every undertaking, and line of business, joint-stock proprietorship is taking the place of individual ownership. What is there to put the newspaper office out of the track and operation of the same principle? The advantages of applying it in the case of the Felon are obvious and easy to appreciate. An individual may be overborne or overawed, conquered, cowed or corrupted, bought, banished, or beaten down; an individual may be feeble or foolish or fearful; an individual may be fettered or altogether unfitted, by connections or circumstances, or inadequate means, by private views or personal jealousies; an individual may die. A corporation or company cannot die, nor easily be conquered or committed for felony. More to show is needless. If this plan should be approved and accepted by public opinion to any efficient extent, the principles it should be carried out on are these, so far as they require to be stated now. Into details I need not enter :---

2. Every one, and each of them, should be a known firm supporter of the felon principles of this journal. Absolutely requisite this.

3. The shares ought each to be very low in amount perhaps the price of each might be fixed at  $f_{I}$ ,  $f_{2}$ , or  $f_{2}$  10s. Any proprietor may, perhaps, be allowed to take as many shares as he chooses, within certain limits.

4. No share to be transferable, except to a party approved and accepted by a majority of the proprietors. 5. Some one or other of the shareholders to be selected and appointed as the registered and responsible proprietor, with a salary.

6. Four or five competent editors to be engaged, or, indeed, a greater number if possible.

7. Surplus profits of the paper, beyond a certain fixed amount (reserving or replacing proprietor's capital) to be devoted to advancing the public objects for which it will have been established.

8. Englishmen and Scotchmen to be admissible as proprietors; and one at least of the editors to be an English Chartist of known talent and honesty. He must of course be strictly felonious, and fully prepared to aid and abet, and assist in a "premature insurrection," within the next one hundred years at farthest, as we cannot possibly afford to admit any of these doubtful characters into the establishment who first help to blow up a flame, and then help to blow it out by the free and easy use of the words " premature " " incendiary," etc.

Into further explanation or detail it is needless to go for the present.

Am I fully understood ? It is needless to say more. Am I half understood ?—it is sufficient. I can make or enable no man to *think*—I can only help him.

There may possibly be impediments or objections to this scheme which I have overlooked. If so, I desire to be made acquainted with them; and also to be aided by suggestions for making it more efficient. These I respectfully request to have stated, as briefly as possible, by letter (and not otherwise) addressed to Mr. Joseph Brennan, FELON Office, Trinity Street. The letters must be short. A longer letter than two pages of notepaper, I never read, more especially if it

be eloquently written. I hate eloquence on all subjects, particularly on *little* subjects.

Immediate written applications and proposals, absolute or conditional, addressed to Mr. Brennan, are requested from parties desirous to become shareholders in the undertaking.

Form the company I propose, and then—before they "squelch" Ireland, they must "squelch" the FELON Office. Ha! "squelch" it—by heavens— "squelch" it !\* It is good. No middle course can answer that. Your knee to the ground—or death and defiance, oh Ireland !

JAMES F. LALOR.

## THE FAITH OF A FELON.

# (The "IRISH FELON" NO. 3.)

When Mr. Duffy expected arrest some weeks ago he drew up his profession of principles, "The Creed of *The Nation*." Under influence of similar feelings, and considerations, though not exactly the same nor excited by circumstances exactly alike, I hasten to put my own principles upon record. Until yesterday I did not expect to have done this for some weeks to come. The statement, or confession of faith that follows, I could have wished for time to make more correct and complete. It is ill-framed, ill-connected, and wants completeness. But even such as it stands, I do firmly believe that it carries the fortunes of Ireland; and even such as it stands, I now send it forth to its fate to conquer or be conquered. It may be master of Ireland and make her a Queen; it may lie in the dust and perish with her people.

Here then is the confession and faith of a FELON. Years ago I perceived that the English conquest consisted of two parts combined into one whole—the conquest of our liberties, the conquest of our lands.

I saw clearly that the re-conquest of our liberties would be incomplete and worthless without the re-conquest of our lands—would not necessarily involve or produce that of our lands and could not, on its own means, be possibly achieved; while the re-conquest of our lands would involve the other, would at least be complete in itself and adequate to its own purposes; and could *possibly*, if not easily, be achieved.

The lands were owned by the conquering race, or by traitors to the conquered race. They were occupied by the native people or by settlers who had mingled and merged.

I selected as the *mode* of re-conquest, to refuse payment of rent and resist process of ejectment.

In that mode I determined to effect the re-conquest and staked on it all my hopes here and hereafter—my hopes of an effective life and an eternal epitaph.

I was biding my time when the potato failure hurried a crisis. The landlords and English government took instant advantage of the famine, and the small occupiers began to quit in thousands. I saw that Ireland was to be won at once or lost for ever. I felt her slipping from under my feet with all her hopes, and all my own-her lights quenching, her arm withering.

It almost seemed to me as if the Young Ireland party, the quarrel, the secession, the Confederation

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Ireland is like a half-starved rat, that crosses the path of an elephant. What must the elephant do? Squelch it—by heavens—squelch it." Late Letter of Thomas Carlyle.

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

had all been specially pre-ordained and produced in order to aid me. My faith in the men who formed the Council of that body was then unbounded. My faith in them still is as firm as ever, though somewhat more measured. In the paper I published last week, and in a private correspondence that ensued with some of its members, I proposed that they should merge the Repeal question with a mightier project-that of wresting this island from English rule altogether in the only mode in which it could possibly be achieved. I endeavoured to show them they were only keeping up a feeble and ineffectual fire from a foolish distance upon the English government, which stands out of reach and beyond our power; and urged them to wheel their batteries around and bend them on the English garrison of landlords who stand here within our hands, scattered, isolated, and helpless, girdled round by the might of a people. Except two or three of them, all refused at the time, and have persisted in refusing until now. They wanted an alliance with the landowners. They chose to consider them as Irishmen, and imagined they could induce them to hoist the green flag. They wished to preserve an Aristocracy. They desired not a democratic but a merely national revolution. Who imputes blame to them for this? Whoever does so will not have me to join him. I have no feeling but one of respect for the motives that caused reluctance and delay. That delay, however, I consider as matter of deep regret. Had the Confederation, in the May or Jnue of '47, thrown heart and mind and means and might into the movement I pointed out, they would have made it successful, and settled for once and forever all quarrels and questions between us and England. I repeat

my expression of strong regret that they should not have adopted this course, instead of persisting in a protracted and abortive effort, at a most dangerous conjuncture, to form an alliance of *bargain* and *barter* with our hereditary and inveterate enemies, between whom and the people of this island there will never be a peace except the peace of death or of desolation. Regrets, however are useless now.

The opinions I then stated, and which I yet stand firm to, are these :—

I. That in order to save their own lives, the occupying tenants of the soil of Ireland ought, next autumn, to refuse all rent and arrears of rent then due, beyond and except the value of the overplus of harvest produce remaining in their hands after having deducted and reserved a due and full provision for their own subsistence during the ensuing twelve months.

2. That they ought to refuse and resist being made beggars, landless and houseless, under the English law of ejectment.

3. That they ought further, on principle, to refuse ALL, rent to the present usurping proprietors until the people, the true proprietors (or lords paramount in legal parlance) have in national congress or convention, decided what rents they are to pay, and to whom they are to pay them.

4. And that the people on grounds of *policy* and *economy*, ought to decide (as a general rule, admitting of reservations) that those rents shall be paid *to themselves*, the people, for public purposes, and for behoof and benefit of them, the entire general people.

These are the principles, as clearly and fully stated as limit of time will allow, which I advise Ireland to adopt at once, and at once to arm for. Should the 96

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

people accept and adhere to them, the English government will then have to choose whether to surrender the Irish landlords, or to support them with the armed power of the empire.

If it refuse to incur the odium and expense, and to peril the safety of England in a social war of extermination, then the landlords are nobody, the people are lords of the land, a mighty social revolution is accomplished, and the foundations of a national revolution surely laid. If it should on the other hand determine to come to the rescue and relief of its garrison-elect to force their rents, and enforce their rights by infantry, cavalry, and cannon, and attempt to lift and carry the whole harvest of Ireland-a somewhat heavy undertaking which might become a hot one too-then I, at least, for one, am prepared to bow with humble resignation to the dispensations of Providence. Welcome be the will of God. We must only try to keep our harvest, to offer a peaceful passive resistance to barricade the island, to break up the roads, to break down the bridges-and should need be, and occasions offer surely we may venture to try the steel. Other approved modes of moral force might gradually be added to these, as we became trained to the system : and all combined, I imagine, and well worked, might possibly task the strength and break the heart of the empire.

Into artistic details, I need not, and do not choose, to enter for the present.

It has been said to me that such a war, on the principles I propose, would be looked on with detestation by Europe. I assert the contrary: I say such a war would propagate itself throughout Europe. Mark the words of this prophecy.-The principle I

#### FELON LETTERS

propound goes to the foundations of Europe, and sooner or later will cause Europe to outrise. Mankind will yet be masters of the earth. The right of the people to make the laws-this produced the first great modern earthquake, whose latest shocks even now are heaving the heart of the world. The right of the people to own the land-this will produce the next. Train your hands and your sons' hands, gentlemen of earth, for you and they will yet have to use them. I want to put Ireland foremost, in the van of the world, at the head of the nations, to set her aloft in the blaze of the sun, and to make her for ages the lode star of history. Will she take the path I point out-the path to be free and famed and feared and followed-the path that goes sunward? Or, onward to the end of time will wretched Ireland ever come limping and lagging hindmost? Events must answer that. It is a question I almost fear to look full in the face. The soul of this island seems to sink where that of another country would soar. The people sank and surrendered to the famine instead of growing savage as any other people would have done.

I am reminded that there are few persons now who trouble themselves about the "conquest"; and there may be many, I know there are some—who assent to the two first of the four principles I have stated, and are willing to accept them as the grounds of an armed movement, but who object to the last two of them. I am advised to summon the land-tenants of Ireland to stand up in battle-array for an armed struggle in defence of their rights of life and subsistence, without asserting any greater or more comprehensive right. I distinctly refuse to do so. I refuse to narrow the case and claim of the island into any such petty dimen-

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

sions, or to found it on the rogue's or the beggar's plea, the plea of necessity. Not as a starving bandit, or desperate beggar who demands, to save life, what does not belong to him, do I wish Ireland to stand up, but as a decrowned Queen who claims back her own with an armed hand. I attest and urge the plea of utter and desperate necessity to fortify her claim, but not to found it. I rest it on no temporary or passing conditions but on principles that are permanent and imperishable, and universal; available to all times and to all countries, as well as to our own-I pierce through the upper stratum of occasional and shifting circumstance, to bottom and base on the rock below. I put the question in its eternal form-the form in which how often soever suppressed for a season, it can never be finally subdued, but will remain and return, outliving and outlasting the corruption and cowardice of generations. I view it as ages will view it-not through the mists of a famine but by the living lights of the firmament. You may possibly be induced to reject it in the form I propose, and accept in the other. If so you will accept the question and use it as a weapon against England, in a shape and under conditions which deprive it of half its strength. You will take and work it fettered and handcuffed not otherwise. To take it in its might, you must take it in its magnitude. I propose you should take Samson into your service. You assent but insist that his locks should be shorn. You moreover diminish and degrade down from a national into a mere class question. In the form offered it would carry independence, in the form accepted it will not even carry Repeal, in the minimum of meaning. You fling away Repeal, when you fling away the only mode of achieving it. For by force of arms alone can it ever be achieved; and never on the Repeal question will you see men stand in array of battle against England.

I trouble myself as little as anyone does about the "conquest" as taken abstractedly, as an affair that took place long ages ago. But that "conquest" is still in existence with all its laws, rights, claims, relations and results. The landlord holds his lands by right and title of conquest, and uses his powers as only a conqueror may. The tenant holds under the law of conquest—vae victis.

Public policy must be founded on public principle; and the question of *ethics* must be settled before the question of *economy* can be taken up or touched. If the Irish landlord's title be valid and good, no considerations of policy or economy could make a refusal to pay rent appear anything better than robbery.

What founds and forms the rights of property in land? I have never read in the direction of that question. I have all my life been destitute of books. But from the first chapter of Blackstone's second book, the only page I ever read on the subject, I know that jurists are unanimously agreed in considering "first occupancy" to be the only true original foundation on the right of property and possession of land.

Now I am prepared to prove that "occupancy" wants every character and quality that could give it moral efficacy as a foundation of right. I am prepared to prove this when "occupancy" has first been *defined*. If no definition can be given, I am relieved from the necessity of showing any claim founded on occupancy to be weak and worthless.

Refusing, therefore, at once to accept or recognise

this feeble and fictitious title of occupancy, which was merely *invented by theorists*, and which, in actual fact was never pleaded, I proceed at once to put my own principles in order and array.

To any plain understanding the right of private property is very simple. It is the right of man to possess, enjoy, and transfer, the substance and use of whatever *he has himself* CREATED. This title is good against the world; and it is the *sole* and *only* title by which a valid right of absolute private property can possibly vest.

But no man can plead any such title to a right of property in the substance of the soil.

The earth together with all it *spontaneously* produces is the free and common property of all mankind, of natural right, and by the grant of God; and, all men being equal, no man, therefore, has a right to appropriate exclusively to himself any part or portion thereof, except with the *common consent* and *agreement* of all other men.

The sole original right of property which I acknowledge to be *morally* valid is this right of common consent and agreement. Every other I hold to be fabricated and fictitious, null, void and of no effect.

In the original and natural state of mankind, existing in independent families, each man must, in respect of actual fact, either *take* and *hold* (ASSUME OCCU-PANCY as well as *maintain possession of*) his land by right and virtue of such consent and agreement as aforesaid, with all those who might be in a position to dispute and oppose his doing so; or he must take and maintain possession *by force*. The fictitious right of occupancy invented by jurists to cover and account for a state of settlement otherwise unaccountable and indefensible on moral principles—this right would be utterly worthless, and could seldom accrue; for except in such a case as that of a single individual thrown on a desert island, the *question of right* would generally arise, and require to be settled before any colourable "title by occupancy " could be established, or even actual occupation be effected. And then *what constitutes* occupancy ? What length of possession gives "title by occupancy "?

When independent families have united into separate tribes, and tribes swelled into nations, the same law obtains; each tribe or nation has but either one or other of two available rights to stand upon—they must take and maintain territorial possession by consent and agreement with all other tribes and nations; or they must take and hold by the *tenure of chivalry*, in the right of their might.

In either of these two modes—that of conquest, or that of common agreement—have the distribution and settlement of the lands of every country been made. Occupancy, indeed and forsooth! Messrs. BLACKSTONE, TITIUS, LOCKE and Co. Occupancy against the Goth—occupancy before the trampling hoofs of ATTILA—occupancy to stop HOUSTON or TAYLOR.

In every country the condition and character of the people tell whether it was by conquest, or common agreement, that the existing settlement and law of landed property were established.

When it is made by agreement there will be equality of distribution; which equality of distribution will remain permanent within certain limits. For under natural laws, landed property has rather a tendency to divide than to accumulate.

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

When the independent families who form the natural population of a country compose and organise into a regular community, the imperfect compact or agreement by which each man holds his land must necessarily assume the more perfect shape of a *positive and precise grant from the people*, just as *all his other rights* must be defined and ascertained—and just as all other vague rules of agreement must organise into *laws*. That grant must necessarily assume and establish the general and common right of all the people, as joint and co-equal proprietors of all the land; for such grant will be of itself an act of exercising and proceeding upon that right.

That grant, and all other grants must also, of necessity, without any express words, reserve the general right of the people as first proprietors and lords paramount, and give nothing more than a right of use and occupancy; and it must, furthermore, recognise and reserve, in like manner, the permanent right of the people to revise, alter, and amend the mode and condition of settlement then made-and to modify or withdraw all grants made upon, or in pursuance of, that mode and condition of settlement. For no generation of living men can bind a generation that is yet unborn, or can sell or squander the rights of man; and each generation of men has but a life interest in the world. But no generation continues the same for one hour together. Its identity is in perpetual flux. From whence it follows that. practically :--

> Any condition of settlement established, and all grants made thereupon, may, *at any time* thenceforth, be questioned, reconsidered. revised, altered, or amended.

And in order, therefore, to render the settlement a permanent one, it would be requisite to make it such as would give the majority and mass of the people a permanent interest in its maintenance.

But that object could not be accomplished by granting away the whole of the land to one man, or to *eight thousand men*, in absolute irresponsible ownership forever, without condition of payment, or any other condition whatever. This would be a settlement beyond the authority and right of any generation to make. Those deriving under it, could only be considered as holding forcible possession which any succeeding generation would have the clear right of ousting. And the people would either rise against such settlement, and trample it down—or sink under it into slaves.

Putting together and proceeding on the principles now stated, it will appear that if those principles be sound no man can legitimately claim possession or occupation of any portion of land or any right of property therein, except by grant from the people, at the will of the people, as tenant to the people, and on terms and conditions made or sanctioned by the people; and that every right except the right so created and vested by grant from the people, is nothing more or better than the right of the robber who holds forcible possession of what does not lawfully belong to him.

The present proprietors of Ireland do not hold or claim by grant from the people, not even—except in Ulster—by any species of imperfect assent or agreement of the people. They got and keep their

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOK

lands in the robber's right-the right of conquestin despite, defiance, and contempt of the people. Eight thousand men are owners of this island-claiming the right of enslaving, starving, and exterminating eight millions. We talk of asserting free government, and of ridding ourselves of foreign dominationwhile lo ! eight thousand men are lords of our livesof us and ours, blood and breath, happiness and misery, body and soul. Such is the state of things in every country where the settlement of the land has been effected by conquest. In Ulster the state of things is somewhat different, much to the advantage of the people, but not so much as it ought to have been. Ulster was not merely conquered, but colonised -the native race being expelled, as in the United States of America-and the settlement that prevails was made by a sort of consent and agreement among the conquering race.

No length of time or possession can sanction claims acquired by robbery, or convert them into valid rights. The people are still rightful owners, though not in possession. "Nullum tempus occurrit Deo nullum tempus occurrit populo."

In many countries besides this, the lands were acquired, and long held by right of force or conquest. But in most of them the settlement and laws of conquest have been abrogated, amended, or modified, to a greater or lesser extent. In some, an outrise of the people has trampled them down—in some the natural laws have triumphed over them—in some a despotic monarch or minister has abolished or altered them. In Ireland alone they remain unchanged, unmitigated, and unmollified, in all their original ferocity and cruelty, and the people of Ireland must now abolish them, or be themselves abolished, and this is *now* the *more urgent* business.\*

### RESISTANCE.

## (The "IRISH FELON," No. 4.)

Since the present contest began it is eighteen years; and eighteen years makes a long period, and large portion in the lifetime of one generation. Since it began, youth has grown grey and manhood gone far to the grave. It must now at length, from sheer necessity, be brought to a quick determination, whether for or against us; or it must cease altogether and forever. It can neither sustain us or be sustained any longer. And for myself I will say this, that I choose utter and eternal defeat rather than to have it last for even one year more. As hitherto con-

\*A footnote to this article in the Irish Fe'on of July 8th states that it is incomplete. The continuation was to have been published in the following week's issue. On Saturday morning (July 8th) the "Felon" Office—12 Trinity Street—was raided by the military, and the paper seized. On the afternoon of the previous day, the Editor's room had been broken open, and all manuscripts carried off. The original MS. of Lalor's article, "The Faith of a Felon," was, in all likelihood, seized and destroyed on this occasion. Even if the unpublished portion had been in type since the printing of the paper early in the week, it could not have escaped; Lalor informs his brother, in a letter written at this time, that the "entire impression of the paper" had been seized.

The "Felon" of the following week—surreptitiously printed and sold—contains the following announcement :—

#### Answers to Correspondence.

"The members of the 'Felon Club' must excuse us for not attending to their communications this week. Our office arrangements have been somewhat disturbed by the burglarious attacks of her Majesty's licensed plunderers. Some of our papers were seized —which is about the most unpleasant part of the affair. They were 'felonious' enough, at all events; and much good may the 'felony' do the authorities"!

ducted, it has been the most disgraceful in character and results that a nation of men was ever engaged in. It has been withering all our hearts, and wasting out our very souls-sapping all our virtues, strengthening all our vices, and making new vices of its own. It has gone far and well nigh succeeded in cowardizing a brave race and turning a nation of heroes into a nation of cravens. An age of the worst tyranny of England's worst times would be better than another vear of it. Human nature itself can bear such a burthen no longer, and is sickening and sinking under it fast, longing to relieve, and if possible to redeem itself. I pronounce and record my own vote to have it end. If we be able to win, let us go in and win at once. If it be otherwise let us submit and surrender, and ask for the mercies and peace that tyranny grants to slaves.

There was force enough in this island to have brought this contest to a successful issue at any time. Not deficiency of force, but disunion, dishonesty, defect of courage, and faults of conduct, have prevented this; for the result of thorough and determined resistance could not possibly be doubtful for a single week.

Among the many causes that have been hitherto in combination to produce failure and defeat, the one which now demands especial notice is this—that every position occupied by the people has been surrendered as soon as assailed, and every movement abandoned when met by resistance. This, in fact, has now come to be a fixed habit of action, counted and calculated on by our enemies; if, indeed, it be not natural to us rather than formed—a matter of melancholy doubt. Irishmen, apparently, are cowed and conquered at the very point where an Englishman only begins to be throughly roused, and to fight savagely; and more wanted, I fear, and better worth for us than a pike in every hand would be three drops of English blood in every heart—the bull-dog blood that will not sink, but boils the higher for every blow.

In the history of every successful struggle by a nation or a people, against foreign rule or domestic tyranny, one impulse and principle of action is read on every page. Wherever the force of the government was bent, there too, the people banded their force to meet it. The point of assault became the post of rally. No position was abandoned, no inch of ground was given. The attack was the signal and summons, not of surrender, but of instant, obstinate, and stern resistance. This is the road to victorythe high road; the only road that can never lead astray; the road from which every diverging byeway leads to defeat; the road that reason points out, and nature itself, and all the principles that reason acts on, and all the passions that nature owns. This is the road, and a people who can be persuaded to persist in following any other were made to be beaten, trodden down, and trampled on. Let men differ as they may about other principles, there is one that admits of no dispute, and can never be relinquished without relinquishing manhood and all its rights : the great first principle of-BLOW FOR BLOW; blow for blow in self-defence-no matter for why or wherefore, no matter for risk or result.

And now :---

The official authorities of the English government have assailed this journal and two others—the *Nation* and *Tribune*—with the clear intent as declared by

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

their acts, of crushing those journals, and smothering down the voice of the Irish people by naked force, violence and terror, not even disguised under forms of law, and in open violation of all those public and private rights of liberty, property and security, which they profess to defend, guard and guarantee.

Those rights we are firmly resolved on defending, and we appeal to the people of Ireland to aid us in their defence.

The Empire has declared to crush us, and we have determined to league in self-defence, and stand up to the Empire. I speak for the *Nation*, I speak for the *Tribune*, I speak for The FELON. We stand up in firm defence, and in full defiance.

We have determined to cease publication of the three journals named, and to establish another, or rather three others, the prospectus of which will be published in a few days.

But the means and resources at our command, or at the command of any small number of private individuals, would be altogether unequal to the contest we shall have to sustain; and we therefore request the immediate formation of a company, with a paid-up capital of at least  $f_{2,000}$  to be subscribed in shares of  $f_{I}$  each for the purpose of establishing the proposed journal, and for making the requisite arrangements for its conduct and management.

Unless this be done, and until it be done, I for one of many, shall continue mute on every other matter. One thing at a time, one thing alone, until it be finished; and here is what is first in order of importance, and necessity. If Ireland will forever, or for even one day longer, go on talking, determining, and declaring, without doing one thing practical that is proposed to her, I quit her service; and so, too, will many others beside. We don't choose to get ourselves transported, or what is worse, get ourselves laughed at, for mere idle words that spend themselves on empty space.

The general principles on which the proposed undertaking is to be founded are stated in a paper which I published in last week's *Felon*, and out of which I now republish them. The specific rules and arrangements I have neither any reason, nor, of course, any right to undertake stating. They must be determined by consideration and agreement of the joint proprietors themselves, at their first, or some subsequent meeting.

"I. The company ought to be as numerous as possible—to consist of, say, 400 to I,200 proprietors.

"2. Every one and each of them, should be a known and firm supporter of the felon principles of this journal. Absolutely requisite this.

"3. The shares ought each be very low in amount: perhaps the price of each might be fixed at  $\pounds I$ ,  $\pounds 2$ , or  $\pounds 2$  IOS. Any proprietor may, perhaps, be allowed to take as many shares as he chooses, within certain limits.

"4. No share to be transferable, except to a party approved and accepted by a majority of the proprietors.

"5. Some one or other of the shareholders to be selected and appointed as a registered and responsible proprietor, with a salary.

"6. Four or five competent editors to be engagea or indeed a greater number if possible.

108

109

L

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

"7. Surplus profits of the paper, beyond a certain fixed sum (reserving or replacing proprietor's capital) to be devoted to advancing the public objects for which it will have been established.

"8. Englishmen and Scotchmen to be admissable as proprietors; and one, at least of the editors to be an English Chartist, of known talent and honesty. He must, of course, be strictly felonious, and fully prepared to aid and abet, and assist in a 'premature insurrection' within the next hundred years at farthest."

A committee is in course of formation, for the purpose of receiving applications and proposals from parties desirous of becoming shareholders. When formed the names will be published, together with the prospectus.

## CLEARING DECKS.

## (The "IRISH FELON," NO. 5.)

It is never the mass of a people that forms its real and efficient might. It is the men by whom that mass is moved and managed. All the great acts of history have been done by a very few men. Take half a dozen names out of any revolution upon record, and what would have been the result?

Not Scotland but Wallace barred and baffled Edward. Not England but Cromwell struck a king from his seat. Not America, but six or eight American men, put stripes and stars on the banner of a nation. To quote examples, however, is needless. They must strike at once on every mind.

If Ireland be conquered now-or what would be

worse still, if she fails to fight-it will certainly not be the fault of the people at large-of those who form the rank and file of the nation. The failure and fault will be that of those who have assumed to take the office of commanding and conducting the march of a people for liberty, without perhaps having any commission from nature to do so, or natural right, or acquired requisite. The general population of this island are ready to find and furnish everything which can be demanded from the mass of a people-the numbers, the physical strength, the animal daring, the health, hardihood, and endurance. No population on earth of equal amount would furnish a more effective military conscription. We want only competent leaders-men of courage and capacity-men whom nature meant and made for leaders-not the praters, and pretenders, and bustling botherbys of the old agitation. Those leaders are yet to be found. Can Ireland furnish them? It would be a sheer and absurd blasphemy against nature to doubt it. The first blow will bring them out.

But very many of our present prominent leaders must first retire or be dismissed. These men must first be got rid of utterly. They *must*. There is nothing else for it. They are stopping our way, clinging round our arms, giving us up to our enemies. Many came into this business from the mere desire of gaining a little personal distinction on safe terms and at a cheap and easy rate—of obtaining petty honours and offices—of making a small Dublin reputation—of creating a parish fame, or a tea-table fame. They will never suffer the national movement to swell beyond the petty dimensions which they are able themselves to manage and command; and are,

112

therefore, a source not of strength but of weakness and the source of all our weakness. But for them we could walk down the whole force of England in one month.

In a movement of the nature of that which has been going on for years in this country, it was impossible to prevent the intrusion into offices of command of that class of men who mar success instead of making it. Indeed it was into their hands those offices have been almost exclusively confided up to the present hour. This can hardly be called a mistake for it was unavoidable. The movement naturally, and of necessity, belonged to them. It was of the mock heroic order, the machinery of which none but mean hands would undertake or be competent to manage. The class of men who make revolutions, and who doubtless exist here as well as elsewhere, have been altogether disgusted and driven away from the service of their country by the peculiar character of that sort of "struggle for freedom" the system of "moral agitation " which Ireland thought fit to adopt, and from which their pride of manhood and pride of country revolted. The staff of leaders which that system created and has left behind it is composed of men utterly unfit and unwilling to take charge of a military struggle, and who ought at once to be superseded and replaced. For two generations-may history forget to mention them-those men have been working to do this-the best work that ever yet was done for tyranny-to take from the people the terror of their name and make popular movement a mockery. And what now are they working to do? To hold Ireland down hand and foot while her chains are being locked and double-locked, and her four

noble prisoners sent fettered and handcuffed to a penal colony of England, and—hear it, O Earth, and hear it, O God ! for saying that Ireland should suffer famine no more. Oh ! worse for us than the foreign tyrant is the native traitor ; and worse than the open traitor in the enemy's ranks is the vile trickster and the base craven in our own. Away with them ! They must quit at once or be quashed. One man, and every man, of those now in the prison of Newgate is worth a host of the dastards and drivellers who are bidding you stand by and " bide your time," while your best and bravest are being transported as felons in the face of your city, in the sight of two islands, and in view of all the earth.

But how are you to know them, these menials of England in the green livery of their country? By this shall ye know them. Any man who objects to every plan of armed resistance that is proposed, while he produces none or no better of his own. Or any man who tells you that any act of armed resistanceeven if made so soon as to-morrow-even if offered by ten men only-even if offered by ten men armed only with stones—any man who tells you that such an act of resistance would be premature, imprudent, or dangerous—any and every such man should at once be spurned and spat at. For, remark you this and recollect it, that somewhere, somehow, and by somebody. a beginning must be made; and that the first act of resistance is always, and must be ever premature. imprudent, and dangerous. Lexington was premature. Bunker's Hill was imprudent, and even Trenton was dangerous.

There are men who speak much to you of prudence and caution, and very little of any virtue beside. But

#### PERSONAL LETTERS

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

every vice may call itself by the name of some virtue or other; and of prudence there are many sorts. Cowardice may call itself, and readily pass for, caution, and of those who preach prudence, it behoves to enquire what kind of prudence it is they speak of, and to what class of prudent persons they belong themselves. There is a prudence the virtue of the wisest and bravest there is a prudence the virtue of beggars and slaves.

Which class do those belong to who are prating now for prudence, and against premature insurrection; while rejecting every proceeding and plan for preparation?

Against the advice of those men, and all men such as they, I declare my own. In the case of Ireland now there is but one *fact* to deal with, and *one question* to be considered. The fact is this—that there are at present in occupation of this country some 40,000 armed men, in the livery and service of England; and the question is—how best to kill and capture those 40,000 men.

If required to state my own individual opinion, and allowed to choose my own time, I certainly would take the time when the full harvest of Ireland shall be stacked in the haggards. But not infrequently *God* selects and sends his own seasons and occasions; and oftentimes, too, an enemy is able to force the necessity of either fighting or failing. In the one case we ought not, in the other we surely cannot, attempt waiting for our harvest-home. If opportunity offers, we must dash at that opportunity—if driven to the wall, we must wheel for resistance. Wherefore, let us fight in September if we may—but sooner if we must.

Meanwhile, however, remember this—that somewhere, and somehow, and by somebody, a beginning must be made. Who strikes the first blow for Ireland? Who draws first blood for Ireland? Who wins a wreath that will be green for ever?

## Felon Office, Trinity Street, Sunday Evening.

DEAR RICHARD,\*-I had your letter of Sunday last in due course with its enclosure. I hadn't time to write one line until to-day. The beginning you made in Ballyroan is encouraging on many accounts. The paper you send is good-though I decidedly object to one or two sentences-and some such thing I consider absolutely requisite. But until we get a press of our own we couldn't either print or get it printed. We can't print within 10,000 of the number of copies we could sell. The only press in Dublin we can prevail upon to work for us is a poor little book-press, very different from a newspaper press, belonging to a man named Shaw. Until we can do our own work it need hardly be expected we could undertake any other; and those who will not print a newspaper for us would hardly print a placard for us either. Martin says we will have a press this week. God send. I shall try to have your paper printed as soon as at all possible.

Have you been able to engage a smith, and to set him to work? Tell me this. The pulse of Dublin goes according to the news from the country. Every club formed and every pike forged has its effect here.

I didn't publish the formation of Raheen or Ballyroan clubs as yet—because I wish to come out *strong* 

\*See Appendix 10.

PERSONAL LETTERS

with a list of ten or twelve Queen's County clubs at once. I hope you are forming one to-day in some other parish.

Could you arrange to have a parish-meeting got up by written placards, letters, messengers, etc., in some fine, good, stout parish on next Sunday—the adjoining parishes being invited to attend? Reilly, Brennan, and I would go down with a flash and dazzle of pikes of every model. If three or four, or five parishes could be got to attend even to the number of twenty or thirty from each—that number of clubs could be formed at once. Let me know by return post. We would require to know on *Wednesday*, if possible, to make our arrangements.

I sent you the last *Felon* on Saturday. Did any copy (besides yours) reach the Queen's County ? What is thought by others—and what do you think—of the paper, and specially of my share in it ? Is there anything I ought to rectify ?

Will you become a member of the proposed Felon Club?—and the others—such as Peter, young Conrahy, etc. No fee required, nor subscription. *Will* you write at *once*. I put these under cover to Father Dunne.

## J. F. L.

## Felon Office, Friday.

DEAR RICHARD,—Reilly promised to procure me the instructions for the formation of Confederate Clubs this evening, in time for post. If he do, I shall send them under cover with this—but he is much hurried to-day. You may club and subscribe for the express purpose of procuring pikes, provided you don't avow any illegal purpose. The *Nation* was under some mistake. Such clubs abound here.

I saw Devin Reilly under great disadvantage in Maryborough. He is a *very* nice fellow in *all* respects.

Will you undertake to report progress in the formation of clubs—for publication, if you have no objection; but at any rate for our own private information.

(Later.)

I now send the only portion of the Instructions Reilly can lay hands on in time. It is but a small portion, but you must do with it till the Sunday after next.

Have you got a smith?

Address to me at the *Felon* Office, 12 Trinity Street. 10,000 copies *above* what can be supplied are ordered. None I fear can be sent to Abbeyleix, I will try to send you a copy.

### J. F. L.

## Felon Office, Sunday, July 9th.

DEAR RICHARD,—I wrote to you on this day week pressing for an answer. Did you get my letter? I put it under cover to Father Dunne. Did you answer it? If you did not all I can say is this—I would be a great fool to write to you any more.

Martin is in Newgate. So is Duffy. So are Hogan. K. O'Doherty, and Williams (*Shamrock*) of the *Tribune*. Our FELON was seized—the entire impression, on yesterday morning. We printed a second edition and sold it off clandestinely. Gentlemen, and even

#### PERSONAL LETTERS

ladies were collared, pinioned, and the FELON torn out of their hands and out of their pockets, by the police. The Nation was seized last night, and the Tribune. Our office and editor's room were broken open on Friday. All manuscripts seized and carried off. Your letter and paper amongst them. I had brought them there to get the placard printed. I shall endeavour to send you four or five copies per coach, car, or train. They would be seized in the Post Office.

Could you come up here on Saturday? Things are coming to a close. I mean on Friday. I shall send you the paper per train, under cover to Dr. Fitzpatrick. Send for it.

If you got my letter of Sunday last and did not answer it, I give you up finally. Yours in haste.

J. F. L.

P.S.—*Monday*.—I have just heard that father is come to town. I am not sure whether I shall be able to send you the paper. Send to the Doctor's for it in any case.

P.S. 2.—I have heard that all our papers posted on Saturday went safe. I therefore post the last number. I may possibly send a batch of the three numbers under cover to Doctor F.—in the course of the week.

Will you write at once?

Address James F. Lalor, 4 St. Andrew Street, Dublin.

4 St. Andrew Street, Dublin, Monday Evening, July 17th.

DEAR RICHARD,—Will you start for Dublin immediately on receipt of this—I send you half-note for  $f_{II}$ . Don't be asking foolish questions about "what good you can do," etc. Am I to tell you through the Post Office? Dillon and Devin Reilly join me in requesting you to come up at once. You are wanted here that is sufficient. Get change for the half-note. If you can bring Peter, do so. Not one word of this to anyone else. Recollect this—if you don't come it is cowardice will prevent you. Bring your best dress. I won't detain you more than one day, if you can't conveniently stop longer. Come—even if father be dving.

Put your finger on your lips.

J. F. L.

#### APPENDICES

121

## APPENDICES I (a). LETTER FROM JOHN MITCHEL TO JAMES FINTAN LALOR.

[This Letter was published in February, 1850, some six weeks after Lalor's death. Mitchel was then on board the 'Neptune' off the Cape of Good Hope.]

## Dublin: 8 Ontario Terrace, Rathmines, 4th January, 1848.

My DEAR SIR,—For a month back I have been contemplating a letter to you, and have postponed it without any assignable reason. In fact and truth, I am ashamed to be forced to admit, that on the only question we ever differed about I was wholly wrong. Last summer the time had come for giving up the humbug of "conciliating classes" winning over landlords to nationality and the rest of it. Practically, last summer, I was unable for want of means to aid your schemes more than I did—I mean my own individual effort—but I ought to have urged the proper course upon our precious Council and Confederation, and, if needful, broken them up on that question.

There is no use now in regretting what I have done amiss hitherto; but I will tell you what has at last brought me to the right way of thinking. The Irish Council, in which I really had some hope, and with which I worked conscientiously, trying to bring out what good I thought was in them, turned out a mere fraud and delusion. When the subject of tenantright was broached, they shunned it like poison, and the great aggregate of the "peers and commoners," after dwindling down by degrees, as we came into the heart of the subject, at last came to the voting and division in a meeting of forty persons, amongst whom were not five landlords. I then made up my mind that all the symptoms of landlord nationality we had heard so much about were merely a screw applied to the English government. And when the coercion bill was introduced, and hailed with an atrocious howl of exultation by all the "better class," and when nationality faded instantly thereupon from all their meetings, and all their organs at the press, I perceived the old alliance was struck once more, farther than ever, and this bill to disarm the people, and enable landlords to eject and distrain in peace and safety, was merely the first fruit of a new alliance between our ancient enemies, on the basis of the status quo.

Then I was for saying so plainly in the *Nation*, and giving the people such advice as suited them in the circumstances—but I found that as I became more revolutionary, Duffy became more constitutional and safe, and insisted on preaching organization, education and so forth, with a view to some constitutional and parliamentary proceeding, at some future day; and he, being Editor of the *Nation*, and this being the only occasion on which vital difference arose between us, I closed the connexion at once, and have not written a line for a month or more.

The *Nation*, I fear, has fallen into the merest oldwomanly drivelling and snivelling, and the people are without a friend at the press.

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

In truth I fear it is but a lost people. I see nowhere any gleam of spirit, or spark of vitality in it. It is a people that will pay to the last—pay away its all to those demands—coin its very heart's blood to pay withal. Yet it is not, I say, to be abandoned in despair. So long as any true Irishman has a tongue, or pen, hand, heart or brain, there is a duty and necessity on him, for the awakening and salvation of this country.

What are you doing or about to do? I have been urged greatly by my own relatives within the last month to betake myself quietly to my profession that of an attorney—in which I had, and yet might have, good prospects; but I have chosen to put myself in the way of trying a fall with the enemy, on some ground or other. And so, as the most feasible thing for me, I am looking out for an opportunity of getting hold of some organ at the press. I was in Cork last week making enquiries about the *Southern Reporter*, which is for sale, and I think it is not improbable that it may be in my hands within a month; but if not some other will.

I should mention that Reilly broke off all connexion with the *Nation* at the same time I did, and for the same reasons. He is to go with me and help me wherever I go; so that I have no doubt we shall be able to gather an audience.

As for the Confederation, it seems likely soon to go smash upon the very same rock that broke up the *Nation*, and I have determined to change its milkand-water-course, or else to destroy it as a nuisance.

Father K. wrote to me some time ago that you had retired from interference with public affairs quite disheartened. I hope it is not so. The outlook before us is certainly dismal and black, but in any kind of storm or earthquake, there is hope. Anything that may awaken up the apathetic somnambulism in which the people walk. If not in "organization" then in disorganization—if not in the dawning of solar day, then in the shooting upward of infernal fire, there may be help. It is better to reduce the island to a cinder than let it rot into an obscure quagmire, peopled with reptiles.

Pray write me a line and tell me what you think of all those matters.

Very truly yours,

JOHN MITCHEL.

123

## 1 (b).

# THE IRISHMAN'S COMMENT ON MITCHEL'S LETTER TO JAMES F. LALOR.

"Certain unscrupulous persons have published this private letter from Mr. Mitchel to Mr. Lalor. The part of it personal to the *Nation* admits of no reply under existing circumstances. Mr. Duffy was silent under keener provocation in '48 for the sake of the public cause; his silence can only now be broken when Mr. Mitchel is a free man. But the document on the face of it suggests two considerations of a public character. In the first place, it is worth noting that it did not attain its primary object. Mr. Lalor never joined Mr. Mitchel in any manner after this period; and never wrote one line in the United Irishman; but distinctly declined to do either the one or the other. The reasons may be gathered from his own letter in the second number of the Irish Felon.

JAMES FINTAN LALOR

124

Neither did it attain its secondary object. Mr. Duffy was not personally known to Mr. Lalor at this time, but he made his acquaintance a few months afterwards, and in June, '48, Mr. Lalor anxiously sought his cooperation in a journal, then projected, to be called the Newgate Calendar. In March, '49, while Mr. Duffy was still a prisoner in Richmond he offered him the editorship and chief control of the paper, which he had made some progress towards establishing by shares; and in May, 1849, when Mr. Duffy was liberated, Mr. Lalor renewed his offer, tendering him the proprietorship (so far as it was compatible with the design of a joint-stock project) the editorship in chief, and the irresponsible control of the paper. From which it is not unreasonable to infer that Mr. Mitchel's letter was very fruitless in all respects.

"A second consideration it suggests, is the true cause of the split in the Irish Confederation. Many persons have been charged with creating and fomenting differences between Mr. Mitchel and Mr. Duffy which led to that event. But here in January, '48, before the famous three days' discussion, Mr. Mitchel tells Mr. Lalor that he regrets not having 'broken up' the Confederation in the previous summer, and, in another paragraph, declared his determination to compel it to adopt his views, or 'destroy it as a nuisance.' The split came of this determination. But to destroy the Confederation as a nuisance did not prove so easy a matter. There were men there to say-the Confederation you cannot, and shall not, destroy. If vou compel a struggle, not it, but you must give way. And so it proved. O'Brien, Meagher, Dillon, O'Gorman, McGee, and the other foremost men in the Confederation, affirmed as constitutional and safe the

snivelling and drivelling policy of the Nation. At that time the French Revolution was still in the womb of time. Ireland's opportunity had not come, and a revolutionary policy was mere nonsense, founded on utter ignorance of the Celtic peasantry, and utter want of patient, practical genius. When the opportunity did come, the Moderates took the field to a man; but it came too soon to enable the people to judge how ill and irrational the disorganising policy was, when it was first broached in the Confederation."

## 2 (a).

## JOHN MITCHEL TO SMITH O'BRIEN. (Extract.)

"I wish you would read carefully the paper signed J. F. Lalor in to-day's *Nation*. I do believe the landed proprietors, if they would, even now, or any considerable number of them, take to heart that proposal, could make fair and honourable terms for themselves, and become the most popular and powerful aristocracy on earth." (24th A pril, 1847).

## 2 (b).

## JOHN MITCHEL TO SMITH O'BRIEN. (Extract.)

"I received your letter, enclosing those of Father Kenyon, Lalor, and Trenwith. And I need hardly repeat what I mentioned to you before, that my views of those gentlemen's doctrines entirely agree with yours, so far as the practical interference of the Confederation is concerned. And to that effect I

125

M

## JAMES FINTAN LALOR

have expressed myself in my replies to all three. As to the abstract justice of the case indeed, and the ultimate settlement of the tenure question, which should be kept steadily in view, my doctrine is nearly identical with Lalor's. And if Ireland were now in *sui juris*, I should give all the help I could to any fair movement to realise and give effect to those doctrines. And in the meantime I hold it to be no more than bare honesty on my part and on the part of those who think with me, to say what we think on those points.

"I also have full confidence in the principle of the Confederation, and mean to work steadily in accordance with it. The expostulations of my correspondents have not at all converted me; on the contrary, I hope yet to convert them—at least two of them not from their theories, but from their schemes of practically carrying them out; and I hope to see Lalor and Fr. Kenyon (neither of whom we can afford to lose) working cordially with us yet."

(8th Aug., 1847).

## 2 (c).

## JOHN MITCHEL TO SMITH O'BRIEN. (Extract.)

"Mr. Lalor, of whom I told you before, is prosecuting an agitation amongst the farmers in Tipperary, which I believe he means to extend into King's County, Queen's County and Kilkenny. He does not now go for the whole of his system: but contemplates violent pressure on the landlords of those districts to coerce them into a fair settlement of the tenure question; the coercion to take the form of non-payment to such landlords as hold out. The Confederation, of course, is not mixed up with this; but several members of it (of whom I am one) have encouraged Lalor to go on, feeling that it is necessary for the tenants to apply a violent pressure from without, or else that neither individual landlords, nor the Irish Council, will do anything very effectual.....

"At the same time I must say I look to all this merely as a stimulus or spur to the Irish Council, and to the landlords generally. And there is no doubt, if they will be led or driven to frame and propose a fair, or a tolerably fair, scheme of tenant right, they will take the people out of the hands of Lalor, and of all revolutionists. But the time has nearly come when affairs must take a decisive turn, either in one way or the other. I sincerely hope it will be in the moderate direction." (Sept. 8th, 1847).

## JOHN O'CONNELL'S LETTER.

#### Dublin,

1 19th April, 1848.

ппп

127

## P. Lalor, Esq.

My DEAR LALOR,—There are few men whose hostility or neutrality I would so much deplore as yours. If we have commented in the Association on individuals, or bodies adverse to us, it has not been in the proportion of one time for fifty that we collectively and individually have been assailed. Calumny has known no limits against some of us. Believing

\$26

## JAMES FINTAN LALOR

that my beloved father's principles are the only safe and practicable foundation for a popular body and popular effort, we were inevitably compelled, from time to time, to warn the people from being led away to join any other body where their safety would be endangered. The non-reading of certain letters was compelled by the fact that it is not allowable *even* to discuss sentiments and suggestions tending to a violation of law; and of course if we did not discuss them after reading them aloud, the very fact of our so reading them without comment would be taken as an adoption of them.

At all times the conduct of a safe agitation is like leading a skittish colt through a fair! But *now* at this moment of *madness* it is like having to deal with a wild bull! If we yield an inch we are gone!

I don't expect that my words can carry any weight with them; but I owed it to my deep regard and constant high esteem for you, and I owe it also to myself, to write these hurried lines in addition to Mr. Ray's regular answer to your favour.

I am, my dear Lalor, most sincerely and truly yours,

JOHN O'CONNELL.

## DEFINITION OF POLITICAL ECONOMY.

The Right Rev. Dr. Hughes, Bishop of New York, the leading mind of the Catholic Church in America, delivered a lecture on the condition of Ireland in that city, on the 20th March, 1847. It is called "The Tyrant and His Famine," and has been published. We make one extract:—

"I may be told that famine is a visitation of Divine Providence. I do not admit that. I fear there is a blasphemy in charging on the Almighty the result of our own doings. The famine in Ireland has been for many years, like the cholera in India-indigenous. As long as it has been confined to a few cases in obscure and sequestered parts of the country, it may be said that the public administrators of the State are excusable, inasmuch as the facts did not come under their notice. But in the present instance it has attracted the attention of the world, and then they call it God's famine. No, no! God's famine is known by the general scarcity of food of which it is the consequence. There is no general scarcity. There has been no general scarcity in Ireland either during the present or the last year, except in one solitary species of vegetable. The soil has produced its usual tribute for the support of those by whom it was cultivated. But political economy, finding Ireland too poor to buy the products of its own labour, exported that harvest to a better market, and left the people to die of famine or live by alms.

"And this same political economy authorises the provision merchant, even in the midst of the destitution, to keep his door locked and his sacks of corn tied up, waiting for a 'better market,' while he himself is perhaps at his desk describing the wretchedness of the people and the extent of their misery, setting forth, it may be for the eye of the First Lord of the Treasury with what exemplary patience the poor peasantry bear their sufferings—with what admirable resignation they fall down through weakness at the threshold of his warehouse, without having even attempted to burst a door or break a window. Such

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

conduct is praised everywhere. Even her Britannic Majesty, in her royal speech, does not disdain to disapprove of it; and it is in truth deserving of universal approbation, for the sacredness of property must be maintained at all sacrifices, unless we would have society dissolve itself again into its original elements. Still—still the rights of life are dearer and higher than the rights of property, and in a general famine like the present there is no law of Heaven, no law of nature, that forbids a starving man to seize on bread wherever he can find it, even though it should be the loaves of proposition on the altar of God's temple.

"Let us be careful, then, not to blaspheme Providence, by calling this God's famine. The state that great civil corporation which we call the state is bound, so long as it has power to do so, to guard the life of its members against being sacrificed by famine from within, as much as against their being slaughtered by the enemy from without.

"But the vice inherent in our system of social and political economy is so settled that it eludes enquiry. You cannot trace it to the source. The poor man on whom the coroner holds an inquest has been murdered, but no one killed him. There is no external wound. There is no symptom of internal disease. Society guards him against all outward violence. It merely encircled him around, and in order to keep up what is called the regular current of trade, it allowed political economy, with an invisible hand, to apply the air-pump to the narrow limits within which he was confined, and exhaust the atmosphere of his physical life. Who did it? No one did it. Yet it was done." DEAR SIR,

I have only a moment to acknowledge receipt of your letter, and to say there is no occasion for a longer delay in Belfast.

> Yours very truly, WILLIAM BLOODE (?)

Wicklow,

## To Mr. James F. Lalor.

The above letter indicates the probable length of Lalor's stay in Belfast. The signature "Bloode" is not very clear in the original nor is there any further reference to the writer in the Lalor MSS. (National Library of Ireland).

## "THE FELON" PROSECUTION.

Mr. Reilly has addressed the following letters to the Under-Secretary and the Attorney-General:—

### I.

Mosapher Lodge, Rathmines, 6th July, 1848.

Mr. T. Devin Reilly hereby informs the Attorney-General that he has this day sent the letter, of which the enclosed is a copy, to the Under-Secretary at the Castle.

The Attorney-General.

130

131

July 7th, 1845.

132

## JAMES FINTAN LALOR

1I.

MR. T. DEVIN REILLY TO THE UNDER-SECRETARY.

## Mosapher Lodge, Rathmines, 6th July, 1848.

SIR,—I understand that a warrant has been issued for the apprehension of Mr. Martin for the publication of an article, or articles, in the *Irish Felon* newspaper, to which I have hitherto been a contributor.

I am as yet unaware what precise articles these are; but if I am the author of them or any of them, I now hereby offer to avow the authorship, and to assume the entire responsibility which may devolve upon all connected with their publication; and to surrender myself to you, or to any other officer of the English government, whenever, or wheresoever you may appoint, on the sole and express condition that the warrant against Mr. Martin shall be withdrawn, and that no prosecution shall be instituted against him for any past publication in the *Felon* newspaper.

I owe it to Mr. Martin to state, that I write this letter without his knowledge, and I am certain when he shall know of it, entirely against his wish; but I consider myself bound in honour and justice to adopt this course.

I shall forward a copy of this note to the Attorney-General.

I have the honour, etc.,

T. DEVIN REILLY.

The Under-Secretary, Castle of Dublin.

APPENDICES

## LETTER FROM MR. JAMES F. LALOR TO THE UNDER-SECRETARY FOR IRELAND.

## Felon Office,

## Trinity Street.

SIR,—I understand that a warrant has been taken out against Mr. Martin on a charge of felony, founded on the publication of certain articles in the *Irish Felon* newspaper.

I have reason for believing that the only articles in that journal, which could be considered to afford grounds for such a charge, were none of them written by Mr. Martin, and were published in *opposition* to his *expressed opinion*.

May I take the freedom of requesting that you will have the goodness to inform me as to whether the charges against Mr. Martin will be withdrawn if the real writer should avow the authorship of those articles, and make himself legally responsible for them. If the warrant will be so withdrawn, on that condition such legal avowal will be given.

It would not, I think, be altogether just to make Mr. Martin answerable for articles which he did not write, and which were published against his wish.

Mr. Martin knows nothing of my intention to make the present communication.

I have the honour to remain,

Your obedient servant, JAMES F. LALOR.

## JAMES FINTAN LALOR 134 LETTER FROM THE REV. NICHOLAS POWER TO PATRICK LALOR, Esq.

## Nenagh, August 14th.

My DEAR SIR,-Your son, Mr. James Lalor, was removed from the prison here at two o'clock on yesterday morning, and taken to Dublin in order to give evidence at the trial of Mr. Martin. I have not been able to learn whether he will be brought back here-the governor of the prison could not inform me. If Mr. Martin be allowed to escape Mr. Lalor will compromise himself, and incur whatever penalty Martin may be liable to, because he is resolved to assume the authorship of all the articles in the Felon. He was in very delicate health for some days before his removal. I was constantly beseeching him to walk about the prison grounds, but to no purposehe spent most of his time in bed. As far as I could I have endeavoured to cheer the poor fellow by telling him all the news and supplying him with books. He complains bitterly of the privation he suffers by being deprived of newspapers-that I cannot remedy. Meagher, Levne, and another were arrested yesterday at Holycross in this county. I had a letter this morning from a Parish Priest on the borders of the Atlantic in the county of Clare stating that his house was searched for O'Gorman by a large military and police force. He was not arrested though he was in the locality. We are perfectly tranquil in this part of the country, but the harvest prospects are most gloomy. The potatoes are gone in all quartersthe wheat crop is very bad, and nearly destroyed by the constant rain. What will become of our unhappy

people during the approaching winter? It is shocking to contemplate the future.

Mr. Egan is in good health, and desires to be most kindly remembered to you.

With best regards to Mr. Jerome Lalor, I remain, Yours truly, dear Sir, NICHOLAS POWER, C.C.

P. Lalor, Esq.

## SURRENDER OF MR. JOHN MARTIN.

## (Published in the Evening Freeman, July 8th, 1848).

Mr. John Martin, proprietor of The Irish Felon, who had been keeping out of the way for some days in order not to be forced on his trial at the late commission under the "gagging act," surrendered himself this day to the authorities, the commission having been yesterday adjourned until the 8th August. At twelve o'clock he drove to the Head Police Office, and informed the presiding officer that he came to surrender himself, to answer the charge which he understood was made against him. The magistrate told him that he had no authority to take him into custody, and referred him to College Street Police Office, or to the magistrate who issued the warrant. Mr. Martin then proceeded to the office of the Commissioners of Police, in the Lower Castle Yard, the warrant having been issued by one of them, Mr. O'Ferrall, but there was no authority there either to arrest him. Ultimately, Sergeant Prender, who had the warrant, was found, and Mr. Martin proceeded with him to College Street Police Office. Intelligence of the matter having spread about, a large number of persons soon collected in the office and the neighbourhood, and there was a good deal of excitement manifested.

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

Mr. Tyndal was the presiding magistrate. Mr. Kemmis appeared for the prosecution, and Mr. Hickey attended on the part of Mr. Martin.

Mr. Tyndal asked Mr. Martin if he were aware of the information sworn against him?

Mr. Martin: I am aware that informations have been sworn against me, but I don't know the nature of them.

Mr. Tyndal: We will have them read for you.

Mr. Martin: I do not know what the specific charge is. I am given to understand I am charged with felony under the new act of Parliament, but I do not know for what particular article.

Mr. Tyndal: The different articles which are the subject of the prosecution are set out in the informations.

Mr. Williams (the clerk) then read the portions of the informations which specified the several articles set out. The articles were as follows :- In The Felon of June the 24th, a letter addressed to the Editor of The Irish Felon, signed "James F. Lalor"; in the publication of the 1st of July an article "The First Step-The Felon Club" and commencing with the words-"The Felon has not been established," and signed James F. Lalor; in the publication of the same date of an article headed "To the Confederate and Repeal Clubs of Ireland," and commencing with the words-"The paper that follows" and ending with the words -" have lost my labour," and signed James F. Lalor; another letter in the same publication addressed "To the Members of The Clubs," commencing with the words-"my friends," and ending thus-"prepare to reap it " and signed Joseph Brennan. In the same publication, "Song for the Future," commencing thus—"The land of ours," and ending "a free republic."\*

Mr. Williams asked Mr. Martin if he should read the remainder of the informations?

Mr. Martin: I don't think there is any more in the informations that I care about. Can I get a copy of these informations?

Mr. Tyndal: The informations will be returned, and, of course, a copy can be had. The informations state that it was your intention in publishing these letters, and articles, to depose her most gracious Majesty the Queen from her style, honour and royal name, and to levy war against her. It is my duty, under the circumstances, to send you for trial.

Mr. Martin: Perhaps you will allow me to mention -for I understand it is the only way I can communicate it to the public-that I have kept myself out of the way of the persons who, I understand, had a warrant against me, for the last few days, for this reason (which I have already stated in The Felon of this day; but I understand that the paper has been seized and suppressed by the police in a manner which I consider public robbery). I wanted to get something like a fair trial; and I apprehend that I could not have had anything like a fair trial, or any chance at all of such, if I were tried at the commission which was sitting last week and which closed on Friday. I have nothing more to say. I thought perhaps that the public might suppose I was afraid to meet the consequences of my own acts, which I am not.

<sup>\*</sup>The "Song for the Future" was composed by Mitchel's young non, John, then nine years old. John, a Captain in command of "the Confederate Forces, was killed at Fort Sumter, April 14, 1861.

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

Mr. Williams: There is another information sworn by Mr. Vernon, the registrar of newspapers at the Stamp Office, stating that you are the registered proprietor of *The Felon* newspaper? Do you wish to have that information read?

Mr. Martin: No, that is merely a matter of course. I understand that I am to be indicted under the Felony Act for certain writings which appeared in *The Felon*. I acknowledge that that paper is my property and that I am responsible for the writings, both legally and morally. I am now ready to go wherever the magistrate pleases.

Mr. Martin was then conveyed to Newgate, where he was visited in the course of the day by several of his friends.

We understand that in the manuscript of the letter published by Mr. Martin in *The Felon* of to-day he stated his intention of giving himself up to the authorities of this day. This passage was struck out by some of Mr. Martin's friends connected with the paper, but without the writer's knowledge. His friends, it appears, entertained a hope that they would induce him to abandon his intention.

### PETER LALOR.

The career of Fintan Lalor's brother, Peter, was an adventurous one. He left Ireland in 1852 and arrived in Melbourne about six months later. He continued his travels until he reached Ballarat where the quest for gold was at its height. There was much discontent amongst the diggers owing to the soaring price of licences and presently Peter Lalor finds himself at the head of a "rising" of diggers who opposed the Government forces. From "outlawed rebel against Queen and Country" to Parliamentary representative, and Speaker in the Legislative Assembly, Lalor soon occupied a distinguished position in Australian public life. He lost an arm in the Eureka Hill affray, and was honoured by friend and foe for his fearless stand against injustice. Raffaelo—an Italian who took part in, and wrote the history of the Ballarat rising describes Lalor thus:—" Lalor, our leader, was an earnest well-meaning, non-John Bullised Irishman".

On two occasions Queen Victoria offered him a Knighthood, which he respectfully declined.

## RICHARD LALOR.

Richard, youngest brother of Fintan Lalor, was enrolled a member of the Irish Confederation of 7th April, 1847. He formed Confederate clubs in Raheen, Ballyroan, and other Co. Laoighis villages, and sent reports of the work of the clubs to the *Felon*.

Later, he was distinguished in his county for his uncompromising Liberal principles; and succeeded his father as Chairman of the famous "Queen's County Independent Club." During the early days of the Land League, he took a firm stand on the side of the tenants. His letter to John Bright (written prior to the Land Act of 1868) recalls in its independent tone, and phrasing, Fintan Lalor's address to the Landowners. "If you give us these things," he wrote, "it is still possible that England may have a renewed lease of this country—with the consent, and for the benefit of both parties. But if not she must still be prepared to hold this plundered and outraged country, as heretofore, at the point of the

138

#### 140

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

bayonet." Through the Club, he urged on Butt the expediency of the policy afterwards pursued by Biggar and Parnell. Besides Tenant Right he advocated Grand Jury Reform, and Amnesty of the Irish Political prisoners. A staunch Home Ruler, he was returned as member for Laoighis by a majority of nearly two thousand, at the memorable Election of 1880. He never swerved from his loyalty to Parnell, and the Parnellite policy; and when "the Split" occurred he maintained his position at the great Leader's side. He died November, 1893.

## NATIONAL BANKRUPTCY.\*

(From *The Nation* of the 8th May, 1847.)

Ireland, in all social relations between her children, is still, and ever must be to them, an integral nationality. We Irish-no matter what thraldom may repress our political existence, no matter what foreign " law" may forbid us from giving to each other mutual aid and mutual defence-are, for good or ill, a family, a people. As a family we must live, or perish. British legislatures may incorporate our means of subsistence, but they cannot and will not incorporate, or participate in, the effects of their robbery, our pauperism and our ruin. These are ours-are Irish. Nor can they divide us into sections, making one British and another native. They cannot pale round one class of our people, and confine plague or penury to that. Pauperism can have no limits, save the natural boundaries of the land-no effects which are not

\* This article was the forerunner of that which Lalor criticises in his letter "A National Council."

#### APPENDICES

equalised over the entire community. Thus the very slavery which consumes us, class by class, is a bond of union, becoming daily tightened, and strengthened into despair. And the desolation sweeping over us now is the political power which, if any can, must drive the starving province into nationhood.

One class of our people-the labouring class-has been for months entirely bankrupt. Others are flying into exile with what effects they can muster from their greatest creditor, the land which bore them. But the social network binding this Irish nation to this Irish rock is such, that a peasant cannot starve, but a landlord is ruined-a farmer cannot emigrate, but a merchant is made insolvent. As a people, we have reached the verge of bankruptcy. The blight which withered away millions of the labouring classes, unchecked, spreading with the vigour and velocity of contagion, has risen higher and higher in the social scale-through shopkeepers, provincial merchants, landlords, and metropolitan capitalists, to that class which is the head and fountain of our monetary system. In a word, trade in Ireland is at a standstill. The Dublin merchant will not, in many instances, give his wares to the country retailer save for money paid down, and "there is no money in the country." The Dublin banker (even the Bank of Ireland) is necessitated to narrow more and more every day the facilities of credit and discount.

Nor has this commercial distress reached its limit. When, as must necessarily be the case, unless some national power arise to protect what native trade we have, and give a spur to native industry, all banking credit shall have ceased—when usury will take the place of discount, and the bill-broker of the

141

N

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

bank—when private loans will impoverish and not enrich—when the existence of the struggling trader will be merely the postponement of ruin—then, indeed, the beggary of our provincialism shall have reached its limit in anarchy.

A series of evils leads to this. Our monetary affairs have been for forty-seven years in an unnatural state. Our money market has always been in entire subserviency to the London money market—at the beck and will of every British capitalist. For forty-seven years we have given to England in exports more than we have received in imports, by a huge yearly tribute of absentee rents and imperial taxes—a tribute drawn from the industrial capital of the people.

These drains alone must have eventuated in bankruptcy. But the railway panic of last year, and its ruinous effects on the small capitalists in every county, the endemic impoverishment of this year, and the consequent stagnation of all trade, save that of the food speculator—and, lastly, the sudden deficit of capital produced by an excessive panic of emigration, have precipitated a crisis which time would have silently, but inevitably, effected.

We must meet it now. Now, merchants and traders of Ireland! your fate and that of the starving peasant, and the broken landlord, are one and indivisible. You and they must lie down in ruin together, or rise up mutually enfranchised. Possibly English legislators may, in their merciful wisdom, tell you that insolvency is in the natural way of trade—may bid it *laissez faire*, and provide us with a huge new job, a bankruptcy commission, with English beggars as commissioners, to make of all Ireland "a whitened sepulchre." What a "public work" it would be to whitewash a people!

The landlords are willing to negotiate loans, for their purposes, in the London market, throwing the repayment, by income tax, as much on the Irish mercantile classes as on themselves. But, thank Heaven! that market will soon be closed against all Irish loans, whether for transporting our people or burying their labour. During the debate on Monday night week, and on Friday night in the English House of Commons, it was sufficiently evidenced that England is entering, too, on a season of commercial distress, from which she may not arise without a chastening lesson. Depending totally on imported food, she has paid so largely in gold, and not manufactures, for the great imports of this year, that the Bank of England has closed discounts, in consequence of a deficit of bullion in proportion to its paper circulation. The moneyed interests of the city of London suffer under a like depression; and when we remember our total dependence on that money market, we can guess at the extremity of our own financial distress. The manufacturing capitalists of England are now, too, in imminent peril. The labouring classes enter on a summer of idleness and stagnant trade. England then, or, as she is styled, "the Empire," will soon be unable to lend to Ireland, even under her own usurious, and, for us, destructive system. She may borrow largely, but only for her own people; and her distress, while it cannot alleviate ours, must, by still further abstracting our produced and imported food, drag us still more quickly down into perdition.

Remaining inactive under her is then inevitable ruin. To hope for her assistance is folly. She, too, must share the sorrows she has, till now, escaped by

142

143

のない。そのない

#### JAMES FINTAN LALOR

inflicting them on us. We must look elsewhere for help.

We have, too, in ourselves what she has not-a recuperative power. Our people starve, not because there is insufficient food in Ireland, but because there is insufficient available capital. Our merchants are depressed, because the capital, which should circulate through their coffers among the people is locked up in banks, or is swept away in emigrant ships. We need only some medium of exchange, and some machinery by which we can bring the food in the country, and the mouths in the country, togetherthat is, the real capital and the labour, together. A power strong enough to stay forestallers in corn, and destroy the cruellest of speculations-a power national enough to demand, and obtain, a loan from the American Government, to whose citizens has gone that hoarded wealth, the loss of which makes proud England languish now-a power Irish enough to found an Irish bank, and not an English "Bank of Ireland" -a bank to foster, not cramp, native trade-to protect, not smother, native industry-to crutch, not cripple, native credit-a power like that, and none other, can save this island, and all its interests now.

Its materials are in "ourselves alone." In the ranks of the landlords, the merchants, the traders, the tenants, the artisans, are wise heads and bold hearts enough to found a National Council which would free Ireland, and preserve all her people, without firing a shot.

And the day may not be distant when England's rulers will be forced to fling off the mask, and say, as they said in '82, "Aid yourselves—we cannot aid you."

Are we prepared for this?

## THOMAS CLARKE LUBY'S REFERENCE TO DEATH OF LALOR.

"I send along with this postcript, a verbatim copy of a letter written by me to my mother from Kilmanagh, Co. Kilkenny, bearing date July 31st, 1848, but happily more useful to him (Sir Charles Gavan Duffy) will be portion of a letter written by me to Father Kenyon early in 1850 re death of James Fintan Lalor." The above passage occurs in a "postscript to Personal Narrative of 1848" by Thos. Clarke Luby. (Luby Papers, National Library of Ireland). The letter referred to, or the copy sent to Duffy for use in his history, have unfortunately not been preserved.

## MAURICE LENIHAN'S REFERENCE TO DEATH OF LALOR.

"I have heard some strange things from persons who were present in the house after Lalor died; but the wake of poor Lalor was celebrated in a way which, though becoming enough in the eyes of some of the democrats who attended it, I shall not particularly describe; one of those present was Thomas Clarke Luby, who was associated with Lalor in his later days as an occasional contributor to his journal."—Lenihan: *Reminiscences of a Journalist.* ("Limerick Reporter and Tipperary Vindicator," April 26th, 1867.)

III. With the same object, the Journal will be published in convenient pocket size, at the convenient pocket price of twopence, and to facilitate its work it will be published TWICE A WEEK;

the opinion of the editors on the Irish Revolution being that

"If 'twere done when 'tis done, 'Twere well 'twere done quickly."

IV. Several approved Felons have promised their assistance; and the Editors have to announce that articles will appear in early numbers from C. G. Duffy, John Martin, T. D. Reilly, T. D. McGee, M. McDermott, M. Doheny, James F. Lalor, and a host of other felonious contributors.

OFFICE: 11 TRINITY STREET.

## JAMES FINTAN LALOR

The last number of the *Felon* contains the following advertisement : —

ON TUESDAY NEXT,

And on every future Tuesday and Thursday, will be published,

## "THE NEWGATE CALENDAR,"

successor to

"THE IRISH TRIBUNE."

Edited by

R. D. WILLIAMS and KEVIN I. O'DOHERTY, At present Prisoners in Newgate Gaol.

Price Twopence.

- I. The object of this journal is to teach the Irish people the ways and means of their deliverance, and the uses and results of freedom when won.
- II. That it may do this effectively a third of the journal will be occupied with military information, furnished by the ablest authorities. Under the heads of "City Fighting," "Guerilla War," "Military Engineering," and "Munitions of War," all the requisite knowledge will be carefully arranged and classified, and the "War Department" of *The United Irishman* and "The Practical Instructor," "Easy Lessons on Military Matters" of *The Nation* reprinted entire.